Also, a bill (H. R. 13863) granting an increase of pension to Mary M. Headley; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. LAMBERTSON: A bill (H. R. 13864) granting a pension to Edith Rhodes Gallion; to the Committee on Pensions.

By Mr. NOLAN: A bill (H. R. 13865) for the relief of Joseph Lane; to the Committee on Claims.

Also, a bill (H. R. 13866) for the relief of John F. Patterson; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mrs. NORTON: A bill (H. R. 13867) to authorize the Commissioners of the District of Columbia to reappoint George N. Richardson in the police department of said District; to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

By Mr. RANSLEY: A bill (H. R. 13868) for the relief of Edward Curry; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. REED of New York: A bill (H. R. 13869) granting an increase of pension to Susan Bock; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. SCHAFER: A bill (H. R. 13870) for the relief of Peter Karampelis; to the Committee on Claims.

By Mr. MURPHY: Resolution (H. Res. 331) to pay to Martha H. Miller, daughter of Thomas M. Holt, late an employee of the House, an amount equal to one year's compensation of the said Thomas M. Holt; to the Committee on Accounts.

PETITIONS, ETC.

Under clause 1 of Rule XXII, petitions and papers were laid on the Clerk's desk and referred as follows:

9157. By Mr. BUCKBEE: Petition of Mrs. William Johnson, secretary of the Swedish Ladies Union Aid Society, 903 Fourth Avenue, Rockford, Ill., and 41 others, asking the House of Representatives to vote favorably upon the stopalien representation amendment; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

9158. By Mr. COCHRAN of Pennsylvania: Petition of several citizens of Johnsonburg, Pa., urging the passage of the stop-alien amendment to the United States Constitution to cut out the 6,280,000 aliens in this country, and count only American citizens, when making future apportionments for congressional districts; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

9159. Also, petition of citizens of Marienville, Pa., urging the passage of the stop-alien amendment to the Constitution of the United States to cut out the 6,280,000 aliens in this country, and count only American citizens, when making future apportionments for congressional districts; to the Committee on the Census.

9160. By Mr. CONDON: Petition of Herbert H. Denison and 202 other citizens of Rhode Island, protesting against repeal or modification of existing legislation beneficial to Spanish War veterans, their widows, or dependents; to the Committee on World War Veterans' Legislation.

9161. Also, petition of William E. McGann and 59 other citizens of Rhode Island, protesting against any repeal or modification of existing legislation beneficial to Spanish War veterans, their widows, or dependents; to the Committee on World War Veterans' Legislation.

9162. By Mr. MEAD: Petition of the Maritime Association of the Port of New York, protesting against the Reconstruction Finance Corporation loaning money for purpose of building terminal, consisting of docks, piers, bulkheads, etc., at Bayonne, N. J.; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

9163. Also, petition of citizens of Eden, Erie County, N. Y., urging support of the stop-alien representation amendment to the Constitution to count only American citizens when making future apportionments for congressional districts; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

9164. By Mr. MURPHY: Petition of 44 citizens of Martins Ferry, Belmont County, Ohio, urging the passage of a stop-alien representation amendment to the United States Constitution to cut out the 6,280,000 aliens in this country, and count only American citizens, when making future apportionments for congressional districts; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

9165. By Mr. PERKINS: Petition of Elizabeth B. Titus, vice president of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Hunterdon County, N. J., also containing the names of 49 members of that organization, opposing legislative acts that would legalize alcoholic liquors stronger than one-half of 1 per cent; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9166. Also, petition containing 47 names of citizens of Bloomingdale, Passaic County, N. J., opposing legislative acts that would legalize alcoholic liquors stronger than one-half of 1 per cent; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9167. Also, petition containing 213 names of citizens of Warren County, N. J., opposing legislative acts that would legalize alcoholic liquors stronger than one-half of 1 per cent; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9168. Also, petition containing the names of 204 residents of Ridgewood, Bergen, County, N. J., opposing legislative acts that would legalize alcoholic liquors stronger than one-half of 1 per cent; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9169. Also, petition containing 119 names of citizens of Bergen County, N. J., opposing legislative acts that would legalize alcoholic liquors stronger than one-half of 1 per cent; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9170. Also, petition containing 162 names of citizens of Newton, Sussex County, N. J., opposing legislative acts that would legalize alcoholic liquors stronger than one-half of 1 per cent; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9171. By Mr. SEGER: Memorial of the New Jersey State Federation of Women's Clubs and the Paterson and Passaic sections of the National Council of Jewish Women on the war debts; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9172. Also, petition of 46 residents of Passaic County, N. J., expressing opposition to the return of beer; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9173. By Mr. STRONG of Pennsylvania: Petition of citizens of Blairsville, Pa., favoring the proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States to exclude aliens and count only American citizens when making future congressional apportionments; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

9174. Also, petition of citizens of Kittanning, Pa., urging immediate restoration of the 2-cent rate of postage on first-class mail; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9175. By Mr. THOMASON: Petition of citizens of Andrews County, Tex., urging the enforcement of the eighteenth amendment and opposing any modification of the Volstead Act; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

9176. By Mr. WEST: Petition of 56 citizens of Newark, Ohio, urging passage of the stop-alien representation amendment to the United States Constitution to cut out the 6,280,000 aliens in this country and count only American citizens when making future apportionments for congressional districts: to the Committee on the Judiciary.

9177. Also, petition of Woman's Home Missionary Society of Plymouth, Ohio, urging passage of a bill which will (1) establish a Federal motion-picture commission; (2) declare the motion-picture industry a public utility; (3) regulate the trade practices of the industry used in the distribution of pictures; (4) supervise the selection and treatment of subject material during the process of production; and (5) provide that all pictures entering interstate and foreign commerce be produced and distributed under Government supervision and regulation; also urging support of bill No. 1079 and Senate Resolution 170; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

SENATE

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 22, 1932

(Legislative day of Thursday, December 8, 1932)

The Senate met at 12 o'clock meridian, on the expiration of the recess.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senate will receive a message from the House of Representatives.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

A message from the House of Representatives by Mr. Chaffee, one of its clerks, announced that the House had

passed a bill (H. R. 13742) to provide revenue by the taxation of certain nonintoxicating liquor, and for other purposes, in which it requested the concurrence of the Senate.

CALL OF THE ROLL

Mr. NORRIS obtained the floor.

Mr. FESS. Mr. President, will the Senator yield to enable me to make the point of no quorum?

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Does the Senator from Nebraska yield for that purpose?

Mr. NORRIS. I yield.

Mr. FESS. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The clerk will call the roll.

The Chief Clerk called the roll, and the following Senators answered to their names:

Ashurst Couzens Schuyler Sheppard Shipstead Shortridge Cutting Kendrick Austin Bailey Bankhead Dale Davis Dickinson King La Follette Lewis Logan McGill Barkley Smith Bingham Dill Smoot Fess Frazier Stelwer Swanson Thomas, Idaho Thomas, Okla. Blaine McKellar Borah Moses George Neely Norbeck Broussard Goldsborough Bulkley Gore Townsend Grammer Hale Harrison Bulow Norris Trammell Byrnes Capper Tydings Vandenberg Nye Oddie Hastings Caraway Patterson Wagner Walcott Carey Hawes Pittman Reed Reynolds Robinson, Ark. Robinson, Ind. Hayden Hebert Walsh, Mass. Walsh, Mont. Cohen Coolidge Howell Watson Copeland Costigan Johnson Schall White

Mr. FESS. I wish to announce that the senior Senator from Oregon [Mr. McNary] is necessarily absent on account of illness.

Mr. HEBERT. My colleague [Mr. Metcalf] is necessarily detained from the Senate. I ask that this announcement may stand for the day.

Mr. HARRISON. I desire to announce that my colleague the junior Senator from Mississippi [Mr. Stephens] is detained by reason of illness.

Mr. TRAMMELL. I wish to announce that my colleague the senior Senator from Florida [Mr. Fletcher] is detained by illness.

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. I wish to announce that the senior Senator from Iowa [Mr. Brookhart] is necessarily absent by reason of illness.

Mr. SHEPPARD. I desire to announce the necessary absence from the Senate of the junior Senator from Louisiana [Mr. Long].

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Eighty-four Senators having answered to their names, a quorum is present. The question is upon the motion of the Senator from Vermont [Mr. Austin] under the unanimous-consent agreement entered into yesterday.

RECONSTRUCTION FINANCE CORPORATION

Mr. NORRIS. Mr. President, at the time we passed the act providing for the Reconstruction Finance Corporation at the last session of Congress there was very great disagreement and considerable debate over the question whether the activities of the corporation should be made public. Quite a number of Senators, including myself, believed that inasmuch as the corporation had to do all of its business upon capital supplied from the Treasury of the United States and inasmuch as it was authorized to use about \$2,000,000,000 of the taxpayers' money, complete publicity ought to be given to all its transactions. But we were defeated in our contention and the law permitted secrecy. For five months, until the law was amended, all the transactions of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation were shrouded in secrecy. It was contended on one hand that large corporations and banks would probably succeed in getting large loans, more than it was believed they ought to have. It was claimed on the other hand that this money would be loaned mostly to small institutions and that it would be scattered over the country practically in an equal division.

In the January number of Harper's Magazine there is a very illuminating article entitled "Inside the R. F. C.," written by John T. Flynn. In the article Mr. Flynn undertakes to disclose some of the secret operations which have been going on during the first five months of the life of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation. I want to quote from the article. Near the beginning of it he says:

In the very act of its birth the R. F. C. was stricken dumb by the President. Thereafter for five months it passed round hundreds of millions of dollars of public money to banks and railroads without affording either to the public, or even to Congress itself, a grain of information about the identity of the objects of its bounty.

Farther on he says that the Interstate Commerce Commission, whose proceedings are public, brought out the fact that large loans were being made to railroads. It was provided in the act that if a loan was made to a railroad it must be approved by the Interstate Commerce Commission, and that part of the proceedings, therefore, became public. In order to ease the public mind the President and various other officials from time to time issued statements tending to convey the idea to the public that the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, dealing with the taxpayers' money, was scattering it over the country and giving it mostly to small institutions. At the time the bill became a law President Hoover issued a statement, in which he said:

It [the R. F. C.] is not created for the aid of big industries and big banks. Such institutions are amply able to take care of themselves

Statements were issued by other public officials connected with the Reconstruction Finance Corporation to the same effect—

The President gave out a telegram he had received from the Bank of Abbeyville, in Louisiana, praising the R. F. C. for saving that little bank.

Three weeks after the R. F. C. started to function, the Comptroller of the Currency declared that \$24,000,000 had been lent to banks "scattered all over the country."

The evident purpose was to make the people believe that the small banks were getting the benefit and that nothing was being paid to big banks.

According to Mr. Flynn, the statement "that \$24,000,000 had been lent to banks 'scattered all over the country'" was technically correct, but it would be technically correct if practically all that money was lent to one bank. He further says:

The number of loans and the banks were, indeed, scattered. But the money was not. Twenty-one million out of the twenty-four million had gone to just two banks.

Two weeks later the White House issued a statement that the R. F. C. had lent \$61,000,000 to financial institutions, "including 255 banks, mostly small country banks." But—

Mr. Flynn says-

But of that \$61,000,000 over \$41,000,000 had gone to just three banks.

The statement technically, of course, was still correct. On the very day—

Says Mr. Flynn-

when the President gave out the telegram acknowledging the salvation of the little Abbeville bank, the R. F. C. made an unmentioned loan of \$25,000,000 to one big city bank.

And so on. He refers to the money loaned to the New York banks, and winds up the article as follows:

Out of the \$264,000,000 loaned to railroads by the R. F. C., \$156,000,000 has been advanced to the roads controlled by three groups—the Morgans, the Van Sweringens, and the Pennsylvania Railroad. Some explanation will certainly have to be made sooner or later of this amazing performance.

Mr. President, it will pay any Member of Congress to read this article. I think before we are through with this subject it will require a searching and minute investigation on the part of a committee, either of the other House or of the Senate, in order that the people of the United States may know what has been done with the millions of money that have been taken from the taxpayers of America in these

distressing times. I ask unanimous consent, therefore, that I this entire article may be printed as a part of my remarks.

There being no objection, the article was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

> INSIDE THE R. F. C .- AN ADVENTURE IN SECRECY By John T. Flynn

The Congress which now presides over the dying months of President Hoover's administration will, let us hope, bring to an end that fatuous adventure in secrecy which has stained the record of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation. In the very act

ord of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation. In the very act of its birth the R. F. C. was stricken dumb by the President. Thereafter for five months it passed around hundreds of millions of dollars of public money to banks and railroads without affording either to the public, or even to Congress itself, a grain of information about the identity of the objects of its bounty.

After these five months—in July—when Congress supplied it with additional billions, the directors were ordered to make to the House of Representatives monthly reports of the sums laid out and the persons or corporations receiving them. Through a mere misadventure in framing this publicity clause the loans made by the corporation in those first five months were omitted. For this reason we must now divide the life of the R. F. C. into two epireason we must now divide the life of the R. F. C. into two episodes. The first, covering the period from February to June, was marked by the most complete secrecy; in the second, from July to date, in obedience to Congress, the directors have been compelled to reveal the destination of their funds.

Here I shall deal with that first episode--the period of darkness, Here I shall deal with that first episode—the period of darkness, of secrecy. In that time something more than a billion dollars was authorized in loans. Of this sum, nearly 80 per cent (\$853, 496,289) was lent to bank and railroad corporations. The railroad loans we have been able to guess at because of the preliminary approval required from the Interstate Commerce Commission, whose proceedings are public. But the bank loans—\$642,000,000 of them—have never been revealed to this day.

These vast sums were laid out by a group of directors drawn from those business groups whose performances during the precrash years have rendered them objects of suspicion to the American people. The immense sums they dispensed were given to

ican people. The immense sums they dispensed were given to borrowers many of whom, to put it mildly, have forfeited, justly or unjustly, the confidence of the people. These circumstances alone cast a sinister shadow over the policy of secrecy pursued. But the case is something worse than this. The administration

did not stop at mere concealment but led the public to the acceptance of utterly false impressions.

Here I propose to reveal some of these hitherto unreported loans—enough, at least, to justify Congress in tearing away the screen altogether and bringing to light this whole story.

Before lifting a corner of the curtain let me insert a word about

this dangerous notion that government can be safely conducted in secrecy. There is a school of politicians—in close communion with their business allies—who hold to what is sometimes called the idiot theory of government, because of certain expressions which the President himself has let fall. There is a belief that which the President himself has let fall. There is a belief that the citizens are stupid; that the less they know the better off they will be; that knowledge in their immature minds will frequently produce economic disorder; and that they will be better served if they will intrust their affairs to the strong and able men set over them by Providence and a well-oiled election machine. The theory ignores a very old truth—that if there are foolish citizens there are also selfish rulers; that the poor judgment of the masses is to be trusted hardly less than the bad ethics of their leaders; and that, in any case, those who supply the funds for leaders; and that, in any case, those who supply the funds for governments and the blood for wars have a right to know what is being done with their money and their lives.

For a century this country (and the world) has been learning the solemn lesson that it has nothing to fear so much as the

public servant who is unwilling to report to society what he is doing with its funds. In America, at least, we have been warring upon secret diplomacy, secret campaign funds, secret corporation activities, secret utility and railroad managements.

activities, secret utility and railroad managements.

The R. F. C. episode is the perfect example of the policy of secrecy. The prologue to the R. F. C. was the National Credit Corporation. It was proposed at a White House conference October 6, 1931. Thirty-two leaders of all groups were summoned. The topic of the conference was kept a secret even from the conferees. They were not permitted to think in advance of what they were to discuss. The President was warned against this course but persisted in it. The corporation formed as a result—a private one composed of bankers—functioned in the most absolute secrecy. Two months later the R. F. C. was brought forward to supplant it. Democratic and Republican leaders alike sought to learn how much funds the Credit Corporation had raised, what loans it had made, what it had accomplished. The facts about it have never become what it had accomplished. The facts about it have never become known to this day.

When the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, really suggested originally by Eugene Meyer, but quietly adopted by the President, was proposed, various congressional leaders demanded a system of regular reports from the new corporation. The President defeated that proposal. Publicity would react unfavorably and perhaps disastrously on banks if it were known they sought help from the Government, he declared. But why should railroad loans be kept secret? As a matter of fact, Senator COUZENS insisted that no railroad loans be made until first approved by the Interstate Commerce Commission. This provision alone saved the rail loans from being swathed in the same concealment as the bank loans. It was apparently overlooked at the time that Inter-

state Commerce Commission proceedings are always reported. As it is, we know the loans approved by the Interstate Commerce Commission, but the R. F. C. has never revealed what it has done

Let this important and significant fact be noted—that in the first period of secrecy loans were made in immense millions to many big banks. After July 1, when secrecy became no longer possible, these big bank loans ceased.

When the R. F. C. was proposed, many critics feared it was a scheme to aid certain types of big banks. Rightly or wrongly, this apprehension was based on the belief that many big bankers had been guilty of a serious betrayal of their trusts; that sound banking practices had been thrown to the winds: that the rebanking practices had been thrown to the winds; that the resources of the banks had been made available for speculative enterprises through new and vicious forms of banking organization. There was a feeling that the Government ought not to use the funds of the people to protect and perpetuate these dubious kinds of banks.

The President was aware of this feeling. When he signed the Reconstruction Finance Corporation bill he issued a statement in

which appeared these words:

"It [the R. F. C.] is not created for the aid of big industries and big banks. Such institutions are amply able to take care of themselves. It is created for the support of the smaller banks and financial institutions and, through rendering their resources liquid, to give renewed support to business, industry, and agriculture.

When the show got under way and criticism became audible, expressing fear that the big banks were getting the money, the President and the R. F. C. officers issued numerous statements that almost all of the loans were going to small banks. Publicity was cleverly employed. The President gave out a telegram he had received from the Bank of Abbeyville, in Louisiana, praising the R. F. C. for saving that little bank. Mr. Eugene Meyer told a critical Senate committee that 92 per cent of all loans had gone to cities under 10,000 population. In these statements the emphasis was put on the number of banks beined not on the amount phasis was put on the number of banks helped, not on the amount of money lent.

For instance, three weeks after the R. F. C. started to function the Comptroller of the Currency declared that \$24,000,000 had been lent to banks "scattered all over the country." The num-ber of loans and the banks were indeed scattered. But the money

ber of loans and the banks were indeed scattered. But the money was not. Twenty-one million out of the twenty-four million had gone to just two banks.

Two weeks later the White House issued a statement that the R. F. C. had lent \$61,000,000 to financial institutions, "including 255 banks, mostly small country banks." But of that \$61,000,000 over \$41,000,000 had gone to just three banks.

On the very day when the President gave out the telegram acknowledging the salvation of the little Abbeyville bank the R. F. C. made an unmentioned loan of \$25,000,000 to one big city bank

bank.

In April Congress became restless and curious. When the R. F. C. lent money to a railroad to pay a note to J. P. Morgan & Co. there was a threat of investigation. Once again the President produced the inevitable statement. He said:

"The banks and trust companies receiving the loans totalling \$126,000,000 are located in 45 States. The great majority of these loans are to smaller communities. Less than \$3,500,000 has been authorized in cities of over a million population; more than \$116,000,000 has been authorized in towns under 600,000."

At that time over half the money lent had been lent to just three big banks. The statement, moreover, was so phrased as to

three big banks. The statement, moreover, was so phrased as to impose on the casualness of the ordinary reader. You can call a hundred-million-dollar bank in a city of 500,000 a little bank in a little city, but it will still be a big bank in a big city. Minneapolis, Milwaukee, New Orleans are big cities, though they are under 600,000. The climax was reached when at the end of July the corporation announced that it had helped 3,600 banks and that only a little over 4 per cent of them were in cities of over 200,000 population.

Can anyone doubt that the effect of these statements was to conceal the facts and to create the impression that no big banks were being aided; that, as the President said, "They were able to take care of themselves"? If it was proper to aid them, why not

say so?

Here is what actually happened: The R. F. C., in those five months, authorized \$642,000,000 in loans to 3,600 banks. But \$261,000,000 of this sum, or over 40 per cent, went to banks in just seven large cities.

Chicago alone got \$109,000,000, or 17 per cent of all loans. San Francisco got \$65,000,000, or over 10 per cent of the whole

amount lent.

Cleveland got \$27,000,000, lent to three banks.

The President, perhaps, would call Akron, Ohio, a little town.

It has 255,000 people and a big bank. One bank in Akron got loans aggregating \$18,000,000.

The loans referred to here were in just seven large cities. There were more than 230 other loans to big and little banks in cities of over half a million population which consumed more than half of all the funds laid out.

п

On June 7, 1932. Charles G. Dawes announced that his work as head of the R. F. C. was finished. His letter of resignation was a masterpiece. The Budget was balanced, the country moves toward recovery, he observed. He desired to reenter the banking business in Chicago. "It has been a privilege," he added, "to participate in the earlier stages of the organization of the corporation and its

work." But Mr. Dawes was now about to do some real participating. Less than three weeks later the R. F. C. authorized a loan

ohis bank of \$90,000,000.
The President has recently revealed that Dawes resigned not

to his bank of \$90,000,000.

The President has recently revealed that Dawes resigned not because his work was finished, but in order to look after his bank. Other stories have it that Dawes asked for no money from the R. F. C., but that the request came from other bankers in Chicago. As nearly as I can make out, that is true. But the size of the loan was, nevertheless, remarkable. It was given as \$00,000,000 in reports which leaked out at the time. How much Dawes actually got, I do not know. But the loan authorized was \$90,000,000, which covered almost the entire deposits of the bank, which were only \$95,000,000.

The President has defended this loan on the ground that the fate of 725 country banks were at stake and, through them, the fate of 21,000 other banks. The defense, however, fails to give an adequate answer to a pertinent question. What, may we not ask, was Dawes doing on the Reconstruction Finance Corporation?

Some parts of the Dawes loan story have leaked into the newspapers. Here are a few stories that have not leaked out. On February 15 Mr. Amadeo Giannini won a bitter battle in Wilmington to regain from Elisha Walker his old control of that famous Delaware corporation, the Transamerica Corporation. The Transamerica Corporation is one of those holding companies which controlled a vast tangle of banks, insurance companies, realty concerns, utility enterprises, security and investment companies, and what not. Its chief prize was the Bank of America in California. The story of Giannini's victory was, of course, in all the newspapers. What was not in the newspapers, however, was the fact that on that very day the R. F. C. authorized the lending to the Bank of America of \$15,000,000. Nor has the public ever been told that before the Reconstruction Finance Corporation got through ladling out money to this bank it authorized a series of loans—one a month—aggregating \$65,000,000. got through ladling out money to this bank it authorized a series of loans—one a month—aggregating \$65,000,000.

These two loans authorized to Dawes and Giannini totaled

\$155,000,000. Certainly no citizen could have guessed that such vast public sums were made available to just two banks from the President's pronouncements about helping little banks and not

big ones.

But there is a good deal more. A loan of \$14,000,000 was authorized to the Union Trust Co. in Cleveland. Interest in this loan rises when we are told that the chairman of the board of this bank, up to June 16 of this year, was Mr. Joseph R. Nutt, treasurer of the Republican National Committee.

Much curious comment attended the naming of the Hon. Atlee Pomerene as head of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation to represent the Atlanta of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation to

succeed Gen. Charles Ninety-Million Dawes. The honorable Atlee is a noble Roman of true senatorial exterior, a measure of whose is a noble Roman of true senatorial exterior, a measure of whose statesmanship may be deduced from his pronouncement after taking the reins which had fallen from the hands of Dawes. "If I were a Mussolini in this country," he thundered, "I would compel every merchant in the land to increase his purchase now by 33 per cent." Why the Democrat Pomerene was chosen, however, was never wholly made clear. But he was, in truth, the ideal Democrat for the job. A loan of \$12,272,000 was authorized to the Guardian Trust Co., of Cleveland, the honorable Atlee's home town. And Atlee Pomerene was a director of that bank.

There were others. In Baltimore the Baltimore Trust Co., of which that Republican stalwart, Senator Phillips L. Goldsborough, was vice chairman, was authorized to receive \$7,402,345 in April.

in April.

In Detroit the Union Guardian Trust Co., of which Mr. Roy D. Chapin, now Secretary of Commerce, was a director, was authorized to receive a loan of \$12,983,000.

In Akron—one of Mr. Hoover's "little" towns—the First Central Trust Co., of which Mr. Harry Williams was chairman and Mr. Harvey S. Firestone, jr., a director, was authorized to receive \$19,000,000

Among insurance companies very little help was needed or given Among insurance companies very little help was needed or given to life-insurance companies. A large loan, however, was made to a casualty insurance company, one which signs bonds, etc. It was authorized to receive \$8,880,000, and among its directors are such worthy objects of Government aid as Percy Rockefeller, Elisha Walker, Sidney Z. Mitchell, Charles Hayden, W. A. Harriman, Robert Goelet, George B. Cortelyou. It is worth observing that at almost the moment this company was seeking millions from the Government one of its directors at least was answering to a Senate committee for his bear operations in Wall Street.

to a Senate committee for his bear operations in Wall Street.

One large fire-insurance company got a cut of \$7,000,000—the Globe & Rutgers Fire Insurance Co. The head of this company, E. C. Jameson, will be remembered as the big-hearted patriot who handed out \$68,000 to Bishop Cannon in 1928 to carry Virginia

for Hoover and the Lord.

Is it not worth a passing thought that almost all of the big banks which had to seek help were under the dominion of those political financiers who clustered round the throne and who coyly admit that they are the architects of our prosperity?

TIT

There is a reason of commanding importance why these loans should have been made public. We have a right to know something of our economic situation. We are going to have to deal with it. We can not deal with it if the facts are deliberately concealed from us. One of our most perplexing problems is that of our banks. Mr. Mills has told us that the shock of England going off the gold standard closed a thousand banks in this country in three months. He has not told us why that shock, which has knocked a thousand of our banks into a cocked hat, failed to

close one in England herself. There must be some difference in the banks. the banks. What is it? The President and his Comptroller of the Currency have preached that the trouble had been in the small, weak, unit banks. They have urged branch banking. The comptroller has regaled us with endless statistics of the failures of small banks.

small banks.

But what of the weaknesses among the big banks? Are these to be systematically concealed? Branch banking is, I am disposed to think, sound enough. But there is another kind of banking, called group banking, which might be called holding-company banking. Branch banking with holding-company control, such as we have already witnessed in our utility business, would be a curse. There is also the vicious practice of bank affiliates. How many of these big banks which have had to yell for help were holding-company controlled or were using the dangerous investment and security affiliate practice? Almost all of them. Why did they need this money? What weakened their structure? What had their holding-company control or their security affiliates to do with it? These are questions which Congress, which must deal with our disgraceful banking condition, ought to ask. How disgraceful that banking situation is may be gleaned from the amazing boast of the Hon. Atlee Pomerene to the effect that during the first six months of the R. F. C. only 600 banks had falled. It might be added that during the 10 months of the activities of the R. F. C. some 1,100 have failed. activities of the R. F. C. some 1,100 have failed.

IV

The big New York banks and investment bankers are noticeably absent from this list of beneficiaries. But they were not forgotten. Like the overcoat in the salesman's expense account, they are there but you can not see them. They are to be found in the loans made to the railroads.

These loans to raliroads were made on the theory that railroad securities were held in great amounts by insurance companies and savings banks, and that if the roads defaulted in the interest or savings banks, and that if the roads defaulted in the interest or maturity payments on their bonds, receiverships would be inevitable and the loss would fall on these insurance policyholders and savings depositors. A look at what happened, however, reveals some amazing performances.

Up to September 30 the R. F. C. loaned to the carriers \$264,366,933. I have not been able to trace the purposes of all these loans. But I have been able to examine 70 per cent of them. What follows therefore applies not to all the railroad loans but to \$187,-000,000 of them

000,000 of them.

Of this amout, \$36,451,000 was lent for improvements, such as

Of this amout, \$36,451,000 was lent for improvements, such as the \$27,000,000 to the Pennsylvania Railroad for electrification. These are defended on the ground of making work, and may be passed over. This leaves, in round numbers, loans amounting to \$150,000,000 to railroads to enable them to pay debts.

In March a loan of \$5,750,000 to the Missouri Pacific to pay a note due J. P. Morgan & Co. came to light and produced a mild sensation. The Missouri Pacific is one of the numerous possessions of the Van Sweringens. It is controlled through a series of holding companies resembling the Insull structure—a device which has been roundly condemned by the Interstate Commerce Commission. As a matter of fact, the Morgan firm, as bankers of the Van Sweringens, are in the closest communion with the Cleveland promoters. The use of public money to enable the Van Sweringen road to pay money due the Morgans seemed to have little to do with "overcoming the crisis," as the President loves to call his program. But this was not the only loan to railroads to enable them to pay off investment bankers and large New York institute. program. But this was not the only loan to railroads to enable them to pay off investment bankers and large New York institutions. The Van Sweringens themselves got another \$6,000,000 to pay off bank loans on another one of their roads—the Nickel Plate. This was in February, and they were back again in September for another \$5,000,000 for the same purpose. The Baltimore & Ohio got at one time \$9,000,000, to be used to pay \$8,000,000 to Kuhn, Loeb (bankers), \$500,000 to the Chase National (one of whose directors sat on the R. F. C.), \$250,000 to the Central Hanover Bank, and \$250,000 to the First National Bank, all in New York.

There was plenty of this. In fact, I have accounted for 19 such advances, amounting to \$44,000,000 made to railroads to pay off bank loans.

pay off bank loans.

Congress ought to explore the character of the pressure which was brought to bear upon the Interstate Commerce Commission to approve these loans. That most of them were made reluctantly is beyond question. That the President constantly interfered to put pressure upon both the commission and the R. F. C. itself there can be no doubt. His announcement of Dawes's selection as president of the R. F. C. before the members—charged with electing their president—were named; his open boast in the last days of the campaign of the huge loans made for California projects—all call for examination in detail. In the case of these bank loans Eugene Mever, representing the President. fornia projects—all call for examination in detail. In the case of these bank loans Eugene Meyer, representing the President, went to the commission and pressed the loans, declaring they were part of the recovery plan, to put more money into the banks, so that after a while they would have so much "it would burn a hole in their pockets" and they would begin to lend it out. The futility of this plan must now be quite apparent to

Another \$24,000,000 was lent to railroads for a strange miscellaneous collection of purposes—to pay bills, meet pay rolls, pay rent on real estate, complete payments on real estate, supply cash for the drawer, and, worst of all, to enable the roads to pay rent on both real estate and on leased roads. The New York Central, for instance, got more than \$5,000,000 for this purpose. One corporation owning a railroad sometimes leases it out to

another railroad corporation. The latter pays rent for the use of the road. The rent is usually a large enough sum to pay the leasing corporation a profit or dividend on its investment. When the R. F. C. lent money to one railroad to pay rentals to another railroad, it was in effect using public funds to pay dividends to railroad stockholders. A more indefensible action could hardly be

Out of the whole sum we have traced, therefore, only \$69,-000,000 (in round numbers) was lent to railroads to pay interest or maturities on bonds held by insurance companies and savings or maturities on bonds held by insurance companies and savings banks. Certainly these loans could exercise no influence on the duration of the depression except to prolong it. A depression is a phenomenon which appears when the income of the population, always insufficient to buy what is produced, becomes so heavily saddled with debt charges that its use as purchasing power is mortally reduced. When this happens two things must follow: First, prices must come down to bring goods closer to the size of the available income. Second, income itself must be freed for purchasing by the extinguishment of excessive debts. Whether purchasing by the extinguishment of excessive debts. Whether we like it or not, this is what takes place. Any attempt to hold up prices or to save the weaker debts necessarily prolongs the depression. One thing alone can help to check the crumbling of the poorer debts. That is to increase income. The Government of the contract of the contract in th ment can do but one thing toward that end. It can create in-come by launching extensive public works. Whether it should do this or not is a question about which men are divided. I take no position on that here. But if this cure is discarded, then the Government must confess itself impotent. Certainly it can do nothing by merely shifting debts around.

Let us apply this to the railroads. Most of the actual capital invested in the roads is in the form of bonds or preferred stocks, Fifty-four per cent of their capital is in bonds, as against only 16 per cent in the case of industrial corporations. This means that in good times or bad, whether there are profits or not, the railroads must continue to pay profits on 54 per cent of their capital. The purchasing power of the railroads is hopelessly paralyzed by debt. This situation must be corrected. And certainly in the case of many of these roads there is no method

paralyzed by debt. This situation must be corrected. And certainly in the case of many of these roads there is no method of correction open save through receiverships. The quicker the correction comes, the quicker the regeneration of the road will come. This the R. F. C. has wholly ignored as part of its depression surgery. Many roads are hopelessly saddled with impossible, rigid bond loads. Instead of permitting the correction of this fatal flaw to take its course, the R. F. C. has actually added to the bond load. The roads will come out of the depression in the matter of debt worse than they went in. In any case to use public funds, so desperately needed elsewhere, to pay profits to investors is an indefensible exertion of government.

Would it not have been more intelligent to permit at least some of the roads to go into receiverships and submit to the inevitable curative processes? Some are heading that way anyhow. Two of those aided were already in receivers' hands. And another, the Frisco, since its "salvation" has gone that route. If the Government is going to start paying interest on bonds and the bonds themselves as they mature, there will be no end to it. The Baltimore & Ohio got \$32,000,000 in April and was back again in August for another \$31,625,000. The Nickel Plate had to borrow \$9,300,000 in February. It showed up for another \$6,000,000 in September. The Chicago & Northwestern got \$1,910,000 in February, nearly \$5,000,000 in April, and was around for another \$12,000,000 later.

As to saving life insurance companies, there is good reason to believe that this is one of those convenient reasons which men

As to saving life insurance companies, there is good reason to believe that this is one of those convenient reasons which men know so well how to invent. No evidence has been offered to show that these loans would save any insurance policyholders from loss. Take a single case. The Pere Marquette got \$3,000,000 to pay equipment trust certificates of the Lake Erie & Detroit. There is no evidence that the whole Marquette system would have gone into receivership if this loan of the Lake Erie had not been met. But if it had and all of the Marquette bonds had do been met. But if it had and all of the Marquette bonds had de-clined, what would have been the loss to life insurance com-panies? This road had outstanding \$77,000,000 in bonds. Of this, \$20,000,000 was in the hands of life insurance companies. But the value of these bonds at the time of the "rescue" was

But the value of these bonds at the time of the "rescue" was about \$7,500,000. A receivership would not have reduced their value much more. But suppose it had wiped out these bonds completely. These securities were distributed among about 50 companies. Just nine of these companies have reserves of over \$9,000,000,000. A loss of the entire investment would have meant a loss of less than one-fiftleth of 1 per cent based on existing market value.

In another case a loan of over a million dollars was made to one of Mr. Insull's numerous playthings—the Chicago, North Shore & Milwaukee Railroad. This road had outstanding \$2,000,000 in bonded indebtedness. But only \$732,000 of this was held by insurance companies, and 54 per cent of this was

was held by insurance companies, and 54 per cent of this was owned by a company in Canada.

The simple truth is that the credit of the railroad companies has been ruthlessly exploited during these last 10 years to provide funds for the acquisition of stocks in other roads at exorbitant prices in the mad scramble of a few promoters to get control of various systems. Now, these holdings and the control over their little empires of these clever gentlemen are threatened. And the credit of the Government of the United States is being mobilized to save them.

Out of \$264,000,000 loaned to railroads by the R. F. C. \$156.

Out of \$264,000,000 loaned to railroads by the R. F. C. \$156,-000,000 has been advanced to the roads controlled by three

groups—the Morgans, the Van Sweringens, and the Pennsylvania Railroad. Some explanation will certainly have to be made sooner or later of this amazing performance.

Mr. CONNALLY subsequently said:

Mr. President, I was not in the Chamber this morning when the distinguished Senator from Nebraska [Mr. Nor-RIS] addressed the Senate on the Reconstruction Finance Corporation. I voted against the creation of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, but in the interest of fairness I desire to ask to have printed in the RECORD a copy of an address by Hon. Jesse H. Jones, a distinguished member of that corporation from my State, entitled "Work of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation."

There being no objection, the speech was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

WORK OF THE RECONSTRUCTION CORPORATION

(Speech of Hon. Jesse H. Jones, director Reconstruction Finance Corporation in the National Radio Forum, Washington, D. C., Monday night, August 29, 1932)

The Reconstruction Finance Corporation was created by an act

of Congress in January of this year.

It is nonpartisan, the management being vested in a board of seven directors consisting of the Secretary of the Treasury, who is an ex officio member, and six other persons appointed by the President of the United States by and with the advice and consent of the Senate.

Originally the governor of the Federal Reserve Board and the farm-loan commissioner were also ex officio members, but Congress amended the act, eliminating these two.

The directors took the oath of office on February 2 and started

work

The first order of business was the creation of a nation-wide organization to make loans to meet emergencies. In addition to the general office in Washington the corporation has 32 agencies or branches throughout the United States.

In passing the act Congress named the Federal reserve banks as fiscal agents of the corporation. This was a wise provision for the reason that it made available to the Reconstruction Finance

the reason that it made available to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation the facilities of the Federal reserve banks, which are custodians of all notes, collateral, and securities on which the corporation lends money, and the funds are all disbursed and repaid at and through these Federal reserve banks.

In most cases the Reconstruction Finance Corporation pays nominal rent to these Federal reserve banks. Also, it has the benefit of the private wire service of the Federal reserve system. This wire service and these facilities enable the corporation to act with more dispatch in meeting emergency situations than other. with more dispatch in meeting emergency situations than otherwise would be possible.

While there is no affiliation or joint management between the

Reconstruction Finance Corporation and the Federal reserve banks, this provision of Congress has proven extremely helpful to the corporation, as well as a source of economy to the Government.

Each branch of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation is in charge of a manager, employed and paid by the corporation. In

every instance the manager is aided by a local advisory committee composed of bankers and business men in the particular locality. These committeemen are named by the directors of the Recon-

struction Finance Corporation and serve without compensation.

All loans to banks, insurance companies, mortgage-loan companies, agricultural-credit corporations, livestock associations, building and loan associations, and joint-stock land banks are made at the agencies, and the collateral is held there and the money disbursed and repaid there. Loans to railroads, the farmloan banks, and Federal intermediate-credit banks are made at Washington.

Applications for loans are made at these branches; and after the manager and his advisory committee make a thorough examination of the collateral offered and the purposes of the loan, the application is sent to Washington with a full description of the collateral, together with an explanation concerning the reasons for the loan the public interest involved etc.

for the loan, the public interest involved, etc.

At Washington the application is reexamined by a special examiner and a review committee. This examiner and the committee, acting separately, review all of the facts pertaining to the loan and make their recommendations to the directors of the corporation, so the application comes to the board with the recom-mendation of the local manager and the local advisory committee of a special examiner at Washington and a review committee at Washington, and is allowed or disallowed by the board. In this way the directors are able to act with a fair degree of intelligence, both as to the collateral offered and the public interest involved.

All applications for loans must be approved by the legal department before the proceeds are disbursed, and, of course, all loans must be fully and adequately secured. This is a provision of the law and not one of policy adopted by the board.

While loans are granted for a specified time, they may be repaid

while loans are granted for a specined time, they may be repaid at the convenience of the borrower with interest to date of payment. No advance interest is collected.

It is the purpose of the corporation and the genuine desire of the directors to provide credit, as far as it may do so under the act, where credit is needed; where a good purpose can be served, men put to work and business and trade stimulated; the objective being to aid in reconstructing not only the general economic con-

ditions of the country, but, as far as possible, the state of mind |

of those who are in need of credit.

In my opinion, there has been too much reluctance on the part of banks, trust companies, insurance companies, etc., to borrow for the purpose of relending, not alone from the Reconstruction Finance Corporation but from any source. Most banks have been endeavoring to get as liquid as possible, some of them too much so for the general good. Financial institutions live by lending money and while it is not my intention to criticize banking institutions or other money-lending agencies for a too conservative policy, Congress created this great corporation to enable them to help those who need to borrow.

Just before adjournment Congress broadened the activities of

the corporation so that more people and more institutions could get credit and relief through it; and while we are not permitted to lend directly to individuals or private corporations except those specified in the act, we are making credit available to many institutions that in turn can lend to individuals and industry in

I should like to say something about the personnel—the men who are directing the affairs of the corporation.

The board of directors as now constituted includes Mr. Ogden Mills, Secretary of the Treasury, and, in his absence, Mr. Arthur A. Ballantine, Under Secretary of the Treasury; former Senator Atlee Pomerene, of Ohio, chairman; Mr. Charles A. Miller, of New York, president; Mr. Gardner Cowles, of Des Moines, Iowa; Mr. Harvey Couch, of Arkansas; Mr. Wilson McCarthy, of Salt Lake City; and myself

The board is very well diversified, geographically, politically, in professions, in experience, and in temperament.

The managing force is composed of bankers and bank examiners,

rie managing force is composed of bankers and bank examiners, drawn from both national and State banking; men experienced in livestock, agriculture, and building and loan lending; railroad experts, attorneys, and accountants. They have been selected because of their experience and their qualifications to fill the places they occupy, and they are fairly representative of the best men in their various lines.

For the managing force I would say that, in 40 years of business experience, I have never seen a body of men more patriotic, more tireless in their efforts, or more intent upon rendering a real service, and this applies with equal force to our agencies and advisory

committeemen.

For many weeks in the beginning the Washington force worked an average of probably 18 hours a day, and even now it is frequently necessary for them to work Sundays and nights.

I can say for the directors that they recognize the enormity of the problem placed with them, their great responsibility and the

almost limitless opportunity to render a very great service to the country. They appreciate that in their hands has been placed the lending of more than three and a half billion dollars—the largest governmental peace-time undertaking in the history of the world.

Directors' meetings are held daily, sometimes more than one, and sometimes lasting almost the entire day. Not infrequently meetings are held on Sunday and at night.

meetings are held on Sunday and at night.

There is nothing perfunctory about the actions of this corporation, either by the directors or by the managing force. Sufficient time is given to every application and every problem presented to the corporation so that all phases and all bearings may be fairly understood and, as far as possible, a proper decision reached.

I shall not undertake to discuss the causes leading up to the creation of this great corporation. Suffice to say that without it, in my opinion, there would have been a complete collapse of all trade and industry and finance, at least for a time. And while it is admitted that conditions have been almost unbearable it is is admitted that conditions have been almost unbearable, it is probably a fact that we just barely escaped a general moratorium. Not that there was any justifiable reason for a collapse but because of an unaccountable fear that seemed to penetrate every nook and corner of the world. Particularly fear that we would be forced off the gold standard, and this led to currency hoarding and gold hoarding to an alarming extent, and to foreign balances being withdrawn in gold. withdrawn in gold.

I am glad to say, however, that this fear, this expecting something terrible to happen the next day or the next hour, is passed, and our people in all walks and all sections are now looking back upon those terrible days as history—and forward to better times. But back to the more intimate phases of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation which I want to discuss.

Lending \$3,500,000,000 and more is no light task if it is to be loaned as the law requires, upon adequate security and as authorized by Congress.

ized by Congress.

speaking of Congress, I want here to state that, in my opinion, no body of men ever faced a more difficult situation, or more conscientiously felt their responsibility to a distressed country, than did the Seventy-second Congress. You might not have agreed with them, or with all that they did. You might have been impatient and critical; but faced with the problems and conditions that the Members of this Congress were faced with, torn by their very heartstrings with appeals, condemnations, demands, and whatnot, you probably would not have done as well as they did.

The corporation was created to provide emergency financing for agriculture, commerce, and industry. As originally created, the corporation could lend to banks, savings banks, trust companies, building and loan associations, insurance companies, railroads, mortgage-loan companies, Federal land banks, joint-stock land banks, Federal intermediate-credit banks, credit unions, agricultural could be a support of the companies. tural-credit corporations, livestock-credit corporations.

As amended, the base of the corporation was broadened so that we could lend to public and private agencies for self-liquidating

projects, such as bridges, waterworks, tunnels, canals, markets, etc., and for carrying and the orderly marketing of agricultural com-

modities and livestock.

To better enable the corporation to function in the latter respect Congress authorized the Reconstruction Finance Corporation to create regional agricultural-credit corporations, as many as 12 in number—one in each Federal land-bank district. Each of these corporations is to have a capital stock of not less than \$3,000,000, furnished and owned by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, and may lend to individual farmers and stockmen for agricultural

purposes, crop production, raising, breeding, fattening, and marketing of livestock, and agricultural products.

By making available ample credit for these purposes and to these classes of our citizenship, commodities of all kinds, including livestock, should soon recover to at least a fair and living price. I am glad to say that substantial advances have already been made. price. I ar been made.

been made.

These agricultural-credit corporations may, with the approval of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, rediscount their loans with the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, or with the Federal reserve bank and Federal intermediate-credit banks, thus affording an almost limitless supply of credit to our farmers and stockmen.

The act provides that all loans of this character, and, in fact, all loans, must be fully and adequately secured; and it will not be the intention of the directors to make loans at inflated values, but rather at fair values, and to enable our farmers and stockmen to

rather at fair values, and to enable our farmers and stockmen to carry their farm products and livestock for a reasonable time, and to market in an orderly fashion.

These loans, as all others by the corporation, may be for a period not exceeding three years, though, if necessary, the corporation has the power to extend from time to time to a total of five

Congress undoubtedly had in mind that within a period of three years—five at the most—there should be such recovery and return to normal conditions as to make the lending by this govern-mental agency—the Reconstruction Finance Corporation—no longer mental agencynecessary And, in that respect, it is my firm belief the Congress

Another very wise provision by the Congress that runs all through the act is that no fee or commission shall be paid by any appli-cant for a loan; and the agreement to pay, or the payment of any

such fee or commission, is unlawful.

A phase of the work now before the directors that is occupying

A phase of the work now before the directors that is occupying a great deal of their attention is the setting up of these regional agricultural-credit corporations and machinery for the proper consideration and appraising of the self-liquidating loans.

Locations for most of the regional agricultural-credit corporations have been selected, and the personnel is now being chosen. Effort is being made to get these banks functioning as early as possible, in order that we may take care of feeder loans this fall and provide funds for feeding and marketing cattle, sheep, and hogs.

It has long since been proven that a very excellent way to market grain is to feed the grain to livestock. A fat animal brings a much better price per pound, and, of course, weighs a great deal more than a lean one.

Feeder loans in normal times are very much in demand by the banks; but it seems desirable, if not actually necessary now, to augment the usual supply of this character of credit. These agricultural-credit corporations will also lend for carrying and market-

cultural-credit corporations will also lend for carrying and marketing farm products and for crop production.

The self-liquidating loans were included by Congress in order to provide employment, especially during the coming fall and winter, that otherwise would not be available. It is not possible, during these unnatural times, to finance the construction, replacement, or improvement of bridges, tunnels, waterworks, canals, markets, and such other things as are included in this classification in the usual way; and so the Reconstruction Finance Corporation was authorized to make such loans, or to buy bonds from States, municipalities, and political subdivisions of States for these purposes. purpos

The directors of the corporation have been fortunate in securing the services of five of the most outstanding engineers of the country to aid them in handling these particular problems. These engineers are Prof. C. D. Marx, Stanford University; Maj. Gen. Lytle Brown, Chief of Engineers, United States Army; John Lyle Harrington, of Kansas City; John Francis Coleman, New Orleans; and John Herbert Gregory, Johns Hopkins University. These men bring to the corporation a wide and extensive knowledge of engineering, and with their aid we should be able to handle these

Self-liquidating projects in a fairly satisfactory manner.

You may be interested to know that applications are now coming in for this character of activity running into the hundreds of millions of dollars. Congress provided \$1,500,000,000 extra capital for the corporation when it broadened the base to include these

As most of you are already aware, the corporation is authorized to make available to States and Territories for relief and work-relief funds to the extent of \$300,000,000. These funds are to be advanced at 3 per cent interest and, if not otherwise repaid, will be deducted from that State's allotment of Federal highway aid after 1935 at the annual rate of one-fifth of such annual allotment until the amount so advanced, together with interest, has been repaid. This \$300,000,000 is to be made available for relief when and where, in the opinion of the directors of the corporation it is most needed.

tion, it is most needed.

Illinois has already received \$9,000,000 from this fund because of the very great unemployment situation, particularly in Chicago,

where approximately 600,000 people are dependent upon the pub-

Funds have been advanced to Michigan for the city of Detroit; to Louisiana for several parishes; to Wisconsin, Ohio, North and South Dakota, and applications are coming in from a great many other States.

It will not be the disposition of the directors of the Reconstruc-tion Finance Corporation to furnish aid from this fund to take the place of local aid, or aid that can be provided by the States or municipalities or by private subscriptions, but rather to supplement such local aid, and those States and municipalities and

localities which have first helped themselves by voluntary or other methods will receive the most willing consideration by the board. If we should grant in full all of the requests received for aid from this fund, the \$300,000,000 would not last very long; and so it is the hope of the directors that public officials and others charged with relief activities will bear these facts in mind and be prepared to share with the Government the responsibility of proprepared to share with the Government the responsibility of providing food, clothing, and shelter for the needy during the coming

Up to August 27, 7,400 loans have been approved to 5,545 institutions, some having been granted more than one loan. These loans aggregate \$1,336,211,000, or approximately \$7,500,000 a day. Of this amount \$780,968,000 was authorized to 4,286 banks and trust companies; \$38,960,000 was used in the reorganization or liquida-tion of 387 banks; \$79,476,000 was authorized to 633 building and loan associations; \$71,423,000 to 79 insurance companies; \$81,811,loan associations; \$71,423,000 to 79 insurance companies; \$81,811,000 to 67 mortgage-loan companies; \$1,269,000 to 10 agricultural-credit corporations; \$10,488,000 to 17 livestock-credit corporations; \$1,420,000 to 5 point-stock land banks; \$29,000,000 to 9 Federal land banks; \$405,000 to 3 credit unions; \$240,989,000 to 49 railroads, including 6 roads in receivership.

Of the \$1,336,211,000 loans authorized, \$1,108,503,000 had been disbursed on August 25 and \$144,460,000 had been repaid.

In addition to the foregoing, we have authorized \$50,000,000 for cotton-cooperative and cotton-stabilization corporations to enable them to hold their cotton until 1933.

The above figures do not include more than 507,000 individual seed loans to farmers, aggregating more than \$64,000,000, made through the Secretary of Agriculture.

The great majority of banks that have borrowed from the corporation are located in the small towns and cities, approximately

poration are located in the small towns and cities, approximately 69.7 per cent of loans to banks being in towns of less than 5,000 population, 89.6 per cent in towns and cities of less than 50,000 population. Approximately 23 per cent of all banks in the country have borrowed from the Reconstruction Finance Corporation,

directly helping and affecting probably 10,000,000 depositors and borrowers, and indirectly many, many more.

Approximately 18 per cent of our loans have been granted to railroads. Congress authorized the corporation to make loans to railroads for specific purposes, the payment of interest, taxes, maturities, etc., when such loans are approved, including the security

offered, by the Interstate Commerce Commission.
Undoubtedly these railroad loans have, in some instances, pre-

effect on the value of railroad loans have, in some instances, prevented receivership and have had a very decided and helpful effect on the value of railroad securities.

A great many railroad bonds are owned by insurance companies, savings banks, and other so-called trust investments; and in avoiding railroad receiverships the corporation has contributed substantially to these trust investments. While trains continue to contribute them when reade see into receivership, there is presentledes. operate when roads go into receivership, there is, nevertheless, a very great demoralization, a laying off of men, and a cessation of the purchase of supplies when receiverships occur. It is also a

the purchase of supplies when receiverships occur. It is also a fact that the rallroads are the greatest employers of labor in our national life. These are undoubtedly some of the reasons why Congress authorized the corporation to make railroad loans.

Never in history have life-insurance companies been required to make so many policy loans; and in order to make these policy loans they were forced either to call their own loans and thereby bring hardship to their borrowers, or to sell securities at a sacrifice price or to borrow money. Many have borrowed money from the Reconstruction Finance Corporation and from banks and, in doing so, have rendered a real service to their policyholders and doing so, have rendered a real service to their policyholders and

borrowers.

In recent weeks there has been much discussion and talk about the publication of loans granted by the corporation.

There is a very wide and positive difference of opinion as to whether or not loans by the corporation should be made public. In amending the act Congress inserted the provision that the corporation should make monthly reports of all loans granted the previous month. These reports are made "to the President of the United States, the Senate, and the House of Representatives (or the Secretary of the Senate and the Clerk of the House of Representatives if those bodies are not in session)." The act does not specifically provide that loans should be published; but the Clerk specifically provide that loans should be published; but the Clerk

specifically provide that loans should be published; but the Clerk of the House of Representatives construed the law to mean that he should make the entire report available to the public, and the first list of loans was published August 22.

Since the publication of these loans we are receiving many protests and appeals from borrowers that their loans not be published. There is a very real fear that if their loans are published they will suffer a loss of confidence on the part of their patrons and the public. In view of the tragedy and disaster through which we have been passing, this fear is easily understood and is perhaps well founded in some instances; but, on the other hand, actual bank runs have been stopped by the widest publicity of the fact that the Reconstruction Finance Corporation had come the fact that the Reconstruction Finance Corporation had come

to the rescue of the bank, as in Chicago, for instance, where it was necessary for the corporation to make a very large loan on short notice to avoid a nation-wide disaster. Several other sim-

short hotice to avoid a nation-wide disaster. Several other similar situations but not so large have been effectively met by the corporation, where wide publicity was given.

The necessity of a bank borrowing money, or the mere fact that it does borrow money, is no indication that it is in a weakened condition. Banks should borrow money when it is necessary for them to do so in order to extend credit to their customers and to the people of their communities and otherwise perform the functions for which they are in business.

the people of their communities and otherwise perform the functions for which they are in business.

The directors of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation want all money-lending agencies who are qualified to borrow from it and who have good security to offer to borrow money and relend it, all with a view to relieving distress, furnishing employment, and stimulating business and trade. This applies particularly to banks, trust companies, insurance companies, mortgage companies, farm-loan banks, Federal intermediate-credit banks, livestock associations, agricultural-credit corporations, and the like.

By providing an abundance of credit to and through such of

Stock associations, agricultural-credit corporations, and the like.

By providing an abundance of credit to and through such of
these qualified borrowers as have good security to pledge, a return
to normal living and normal spending and normal business will
sooner be accomplished; and it should also and always be remembered that it is the money borrower who gives employment, buys
supplies, and otherwise contributes to business activity.

Many borrowers, and some members of our advisory committees
at the agencies, have felt that the directors are not as liberal in
the matter of collateral as they might be in extending credit; but

the matter of collateral as they might be in extending credit; but when it is considered that we are lending money at 32 different agencies throughout the United States on almost every form of collateral, care must be taken if the taxpayers are not to be burdened with a heavy loss.

In conclusion let me say that it is my firm belief that by judithe concretion let me say that it is my min belief that by additional clous handling of this vast amount of money and credit placed at the disposal of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation—taken in connection with the rehabilitation program of both governmental and private initiative—business can be got under way, employment started, and an exceedingly tragic era ended.

PHILIPPINE INDEPENDENCE—CONFERENCE REPORT

Mr. BINGHAM. I submit a conference report on the Philippine independence bill, and I ask unanimous consent for its immediate consideration.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The conference report will be received. The Senator from Connecticut asks unanimous consent for its immediate consideration. The report will be read for the information of the Senate.

The report was read as follows:

The committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H. R. 7233) to enable the people of the Philippine Islands to adopt a constitution and form a government for the Philippine Islands, to provide for the independence of the same, and for other purposes, having met, after full and free conference have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate, and agree to the same with an amendment as follows:

In lieu of the matter proposed to be inserted by the Senate amendment insert the following:

CONVENTION TO FRAME CONSTITUTION FOR PHILIPPINE ISLANDS

"Section 1. The Philippine Legislature is hereby authorized to provide for the election of delegates to a constitutional convention, which shall meet in the hall of the house of representatives in the capital of the Philippine Islands, at such time as the Philippine Legislature may fix, within one year after the enactment of this act, to formulate and draft a constitution for the government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands, subject to the conditions and qualifications prescribed in this act, which shall exercise jurisdiction over all the territory ceded to the United States by the treaty of peace concluded between the United States and Spain on the 10th day of December, 1898, the boundaries of which are set forth in Article III of said treaty, together with those islands embraced in the treaty between Spain and the United States concluded at Washington on the 7th day of November, 1900. The Philippine Legislature shall provide for the necessary expenses of such convention.

"CHARACTER OF CONSTITUTION-MANDATORY PROVISIONS

"SEC. 2. The constitution formulated and drafted shall be republican in form, shall contain a bill of rights, and shall, either as a part thereof or in an ordinance appended thereto, contain provisions to the effect that, pending the final and complete withdrawal of the sovereignty of the United States over the Philippine Islands—

"(a) All citizens of the Philippine Islands shall owe alle-

giance to the United States.

"(b) Every officer of the government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands shall, before entering upon the discharge of his duties, take and subscribe an oath of office, declaring, among other things, that he recognizes and accepts the supreme authority of and will maintain true faith and allegiance to the United States.

"(c) Absolute toleration of religious sentiment shall be secured and no inhabitant or religious organization shall be molested in person or property on account of religious belief

or mode of worship.

"(d) Property owned by the United States, cemeteries, churches, and parsonages or convents appurtenant thereto, and all lands, buildings, and improvements used exclusively for religious, charitable, or educational purposes shall be exempt from taxation.

"(e) Trade relations between the Philippine Islands and the United States shall be upon the basis prescribed in

section 6.

"(f) The public debt of the Philippine Islands and its subordinate branches shall not exceed limits now or hereafter fixed by the Congress of the United States; and no loans shall be contracted in foreign countries without the approval of the President of the United States.

"(g) The debts, liabilities, and obligations of the present Philippine government, its Provinces, municipalities, and instrumentalities, valid and subsisting at the time of the adoption of the constitution, shall be assumed and paid by

the new government.

"(h) Provision shall be made for the establishment and maintenance of an adequate system of public schools, primarily conducted in the English language.

"(i) Acts affecting currency, coinage, imports, exports, and immigration shall not become law until approved by the President of the United States.

"(j) Foreign affairs shall be under the direct supervision and control of the United States.

"(k) All acts passed by the Legislature of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands shall be reported to the Congress of the United States.

"(I) The Philippine Islands recognizes the right of the United States to expropriate property for public uses, to maintain military and other reservations and armed forces in the Philippines, and, upon order of the President, to call into the service of such armed forces all military forces organized by the Philippine government.

"(m) The decisions of the courts of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands shall be subject to review by the Supreme Court of the United States as provided in para-

graph (6) of section 7.

"(n) The United States may by presidential proclamation exercise the right to intervene for the preservation of the government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands and for the maintenance of the government as provided in the constitution thereof, and for the protection of life, property, and individual liberty and for the discharge of government obligations under and in accordance with the provisions of the constitution.

"(o) The authority of the United States High Commissioner to the government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands, as provided in this act, shall be recognized.

"(p) Citizens and corporations of the United States shall enjoy in the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands all the civil rights of the citizens and corporations, respectively, thereof.

"SUBMISSION OF CONSTITUTION TO THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES

"Sec. 3. Upon the drafting and approval of the constitution by the constitutional convention in the Philippine Islands, the constitution shall be submitted within two years after the enactment of this act to the President of the United States, who shall determine whether or not it conlowing exceptions:

forms with the provisions of this act. If the President finds that the proposed constitution conforms substantially with the provisions of this act he shall so certify to the Governor General of the Philippine Islands, who shall so advise the constitutional convention. If the President finds that the constitution does not conform with the provisions of this act he shall so advise the Governor General of the Philippine Islands, stating wherein in his judgment the constitution does not so conform and submitting provisions which will, in his judgment, make the constitution so conform. The Governor General shall in turn submit such message to the constitutional convention for further action by them pursuant to the same procedure hereinbefore defined until the President and the constitutional convention are in agreement.

"SUBMISSION OF CONSTITUTION TO FILIPINO PEOPLE

"SEC. 4. After the President of the United States has certified that the constitution conforms with the provisions of this act, it shall be submitted to the people of the Philippine Islands for their ratification or rejection at an election to be held within four months after the date of such certification, on a date to be fixed by the Philippine Legislature, at which election the qualified voters of the Philippine Islands shall have an opportunity to vote directly for or against the proposed constitution and ordinances appended thereto. Such election shall be held in such manner as may be prescribed by the Philippine Legislature, to which the return of the election shall be made. The Philippine Legislature shall by law provide for the canvassing of the return and shall certify the result to the Governor General of the Philippine Islands, together with a statement of the votes cast, and a copy of said constitution and ordinances. If a majority of the votes cast shall be for the constitution, such vote shall be deemed an expression of the will of the people of the Philippine Islands in favor of Philippine independence, and the Governor General shall, within 30 days after receipt of the certification from the Philippine Legislature, issue a proclamation for the election of officers of the government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands provided for in the constitution. The election shall take place not earlier than three months nor later than six months after the proclamation by the Governor General ordering such election. When the election of the officers provided for under the constitution has been held and the results determined, the Governor General of the Philippine Islands shall certify the results of the election to the President of the United States, who shall thereupon issue a proclamation announcing the results of the election, and upon the issuance of such proclamation by the President the existing Philippine government shall terminate and the new government shall enter upon its rights, privileges, powers, and duties, as provided under the constitution. The present government of the Philippine Islands shall provide for the orderly transfer of the functions of government.

"If a majority of the votes cast are against the constitution, the existing government of the Philippine Islands shall continue without regard to the provisions of this act.

"TRANSFER OF PROPERTY AND RIGHTS TO PHILIPPINE COMMONWEALTH

"Sec. 5. All the property and rights which may have been acquired in the Philippine Islands by the United States under the treaties mentioned in the first section of this act, except such land or other property as has heretofore been designated by the President of the United States for military and other reservations of the Government of the United States, and except such land or other property or rights or interests therein as may have been sold or otherwise disposed of in accordance with law, are hereby granted to the government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands when constituted.

"RELATIONS WITH THE UNITED STATES PENDING COMPLETE INDEPENDENCE

"SEC. 6. After the date of the inauguration of the government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands trade relations between the United States and the Philippine Islands shall be as now provided by law, subject to the following exceptions:

"(a) There shall be levied, collected, and paid on all refined sugars in excess of 50,000 long tons, and on unrefined sugars in excess of 800,000 long tons, coming into the United States from the Philippine Islands in any calendar year, the same rates of duty which are required by the laws of the United States to be levied, collected, and paid upon like articles imported from foreign countries.

"(b) There shall be levied, collected, and paid on all coconut oil coming into the United States from the Philippine Islands in any calendar year in excess of 200,000 long tons. the same rates of duty which are required by the laws of the United States to be levied, collected, and paid upon like

articles imported from foreign countries.

"(c) There shall be levied, collected, and paid on all yarn, twine, cord, cordage, rope, and cable, tarred or untarred, wholly or in chief value of manila (abacá) or other hard fibers, coming into the United States from the Philippine Islands in any calendar year in excess of a collective total of 3,000,000 pounds of all such articles hereinbefore enumerated, the same rates of duty which are required by the laws of the United States to be levied, collected, and paid upon like articles imported from foreign countries.

"(d) In the event that in any year the limit in the case of any article which may be exported to the United States free of duty shall be reached by the Philippine Islands, the amount or quantity of such articles produced or manufactured in the Philippine Islands thereafter that may be so exported to the United States free of duty shall be allocated, under export permits issued by the government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands, to the producers or manufacturers of such articles proportionately on the basis of their exportation to the United States in the preceding year: except that in the case of unrefined sugar the amount thereof to be exported annually to the United States free of duty shall be allocated to the sugar-producing mills of the islands proportionately on the basis of their average annual production for the calendar years 1931, 1932, and 1933, and the amount of sugar from each mill which may be so exported shall be allocated in each year between the mill and the planters on the basis of the proportion of sugar to which the mill and the planters are respectively entitled. The government of the Philippine Islands is authorized to adopt the necessary laws and regulations for putting into effect the allocation hereinbefore provided.

"(e) The government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands shall impose and collect an export tax on all articles that may be exported to the United States from the Philippine Islands free of duty under the provisions of existing law as modified by the foregoing provisions of this section, including the articles enumerated in subdivisions (a), (b), and (c), within the limitations therein specified, as follows:

"(1) During the sixth year after the inauguration of the new government the export tax shall be 5 per cent of the rates of duty which are required by the laws of the United States to be levied, collected, and paid on like articles imported from foreign countries;

"(2) During the seventh year after the inauguration of the new government the export tax shall be 10 per cent of the rates of duty which are required by the laws of the United States to be levied, collected, and paid on like articles imported from foreign countries;

"(3) During the eighth year after the inauguration of the new government the export tax shall be 15 per cent of the rates of duty which are required by the laws of the United States to be levied, collected, and paid on like articles imported from foreign countries;

"(4) During the ninth year after the inauguration of the new government the export tax shall be 20 per cent of the rates of duty which are required by the laws of the United States to be levied, collected, and paid on like articles imported from foreign countries;

"(5) After the expiration of the ninth year after the inauguration of the new government the export tax shall

be 25 per cent of the rates of duty which are required by the laws of the United States to be levied, collected, and paid on like articles imported from foreign countries.

"The government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands shall place all funds received from such export taxes in a sinking fund, and such fund shall, in addition to other moneys available for that purpose, be applied solely to the payment of the principal and interest on the bonded indebtedness of the Philippine Islands, its Provinces, municipalities, and instrumentalities, until such indebtedness has been fully discharged.

"When used in this section in a geographical sense, the term 'United States' includes all Territories and possessions of the United States, except the Philippine Islands, the Virgin Islands, American Samoa, and the island of

Guam.

"SEC. 7. Until the final and complete withdrawal of American sovereignty over the Philippine Islands-

"(1) Every duly adopted amendment to the constitution of the government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands shall be submitted to the President of the United States for approval. If the President approves the amendment or if the President fails to disapprove such amendment within six months from the time of its submission, the amendment shall take effect as a part of such constitution.

"(2) The President of the United States shall have authority to suspend the taking effect of or the operation of any law, contract, or executive order of the government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands, which in his judgment will result in a failure of the government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands to fulfill its contracts, or to meet its bonded indebtedness and interest thereon or to provide for its sinking funds, or which seems likely to impair the reserves for the protection of the currency of the Philippine Islands, or which in his judgment will violate international obligations of the United States.

"(3) The chief executive of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands shall make an annual report to the President and Congress of the United States of the proceedings and operations of the government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands and shall make such other reports as

the President or Congress may request.

"(4) The President shall appoint, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate, a United States high commissioner to the government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands who shall hold office at the pleasure of the President and until his successor is appointed and qualified. He shall be known as the United States high commissioner to the Philippine Islands. He shall be the representative of the President of the United States in the Philippine Islands and shall be recognized as such by the government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands, by the commanding officers of the military forces of the United States, and by all civil officials of the United States in the Philippine Islands. He shall have access to all records of the government or any subdivision thereof, and shall be furnished by the chief executive of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands with such information as he shall request.

"If the government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands fails to pay any of its bonded or other indebtedness or the interest thereon when due or to fulfill any of its contracts, the United States high commissioner shall immediately report the facts to the President, who may thereupon direct the high commissioner to take over the customs offices and administration of the same, administer the same, and apply such part of the revenue received therefrom as may be necessary for the payment of such overdue indebtedness or for the fulfillment of such contracts. The United States high commissioner shall annually, and at such other times as the President may require, render an official report to the President and Congress of the United States. He shall perform such additional duties and functions as may be delegated to him from time to time by the President under

the provisions of this act.

"The United States high commissioner shall receive the same compensation as is now received by the Governor General of the Philippine Islands, and shall have such staff and assistants as the President may deem advisable and as may be appropriated for by Congress, including a financial expert, who shall receive for submission to the high commissioner a duplicate copy of the reports of the insular auditor. Appeals from decisions of the insular auditor may be taken to the President of the United States. The salaries and expenses of the high commissioner and his staff and assistants shall be paid by the United States.

"The first United States high commissioner appointed under this act shall take office upon the inauguration of the new government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands

"(5) The government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands shall provide for the selection of a resident commissioner to the United States, and shall fix his term of office. He shall be the representative of the government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands and shall be entitled to official recognition as such by all departments upon presentation to the President of credentials signed by the chief executive of said government. He shall have a seat in the House of Representatives of the United States, with the right of debate, but without the right of voting. His salary and expenses shall be fixed and paid by the government of the Philippine Islands. Until a resident commissioner is selected and qualified under this section, existing law governing the appointment of resident commissioners from the Philippine Islands shall continue in effect.

"(6) Review by the Supreme Court of the United States of cases from the Philippine Islands shall be as now provided by law; and such review shall also extend to all cases involving the constitution of the Commonwealth of the Philippine

Islands.

"Sec. 8. (a) Effective upon the acceptance of this act by concurrent resolution of the Philippine Legislature or by a convention called for that purpose, as provided in section 17—

"(1) For the purposes of the immigration act of 1917, the immigration act of 1924 (except section 13 (c), this section, and all other laws of the United States relating to the immigration, exclusion, or expulsion of aliens, citizens of the Philippine Islands who are not citizens of the United States shall be considered as if they were aliens. For such purposes the Philippine Islands shall be considered as a separate country and shall have for each fiscal year a quota of 50. This paragraph shall not apply to a person coming or seeking to come to the Territory of Hawaii who does not apply for and secure an immigration or passport visa, but such immigration shall be determined by the Department of the Interior on the basis of the needs of industries in the Territory of Hawaii.

"(2) Citizens of the Philippine Islands who are not citizens of the United States shall not be admitted to the continental United States from the Territory of Hawaii (whether entering such Territory before or after the effective date of this section) unless they belong to a class declared to be nonimmigrants by section 3 of the immigration act of 1924 or to a class declared to be nonquota immigrants under the provisions of section 4 of such act other than subdivision (c) thereof, or unless they were admitted to such Territory under an immigration visa. The Secretary of Labor shall by regulations provide a method for such exclusion and for the admission of such excepted classes.

"(3) Any Foreign Service officer may be assigned to duty in the Philippine Islands, under a commission as a consular officer, for such period as may be necessary and under such regulations as the Secretary of State may prescribe, during which assignment such officer shall be considered as stationed in a foreign country; but his powers and duties shall be confined to the performance of such of the official acts and notarial and other services, which such officer might properly perform in respect of the administration of the immigration laws if assigned to a foreign country as a con-

sular officer, as may be authorized by the Secretary of State.

"(4) For the purposes of sections 18 and 20 of the immigration act of 1917, as amended, the Philippine Islands shall be considered to be a foreign country.

"(b) The provisions of this section are in addition to the provisions of the immigration laws now in force, and shall be enforced as a part of such laws, and all the penal or other provisions of such laws, not inapplicable, shall apply to and be enforced in connection with the provisions of this section. An alien, although admissible under the provisions of this section, shall not be admitted to the United States if he is excluded by any provision of the immigration laws other than this section, and an alien, although admissible under the provisions of the immigration laws other than this section, shall not be admitted to the United States if he is excluded by any provision of this section.

"(c) Terms defined in the immigration act of 1924 shall, when used in this section, have the meaning assigned to such terms in that act.

"Sec. 9. There shall be no obligation on the part of the United States to meet the interest or principal of bonds and other obligations of the government of the Philippine Islands or of the Provincial and municipal governments thereof, hereafter issued during the continuance of United States sovereignty in the Philippine Islands: Provided, That such bonds and obligations hereafter issued shall not be exempt from taxation in the United States or by authority of the United States.

"RECOGNITION OF PHILIPPINE INDEPENDENCE AND WITHDRAWAL OF AMERICAN SOVEREIGNTY

"SEC. 10. On the 4th day of July, immediately following the expiration of a period of 10 years from the date of the inauguration of the new government under the constitution provided for in this act, the President of the United States shall by proclamation withdraw and surrender all right of possession, supervision, jurisdiction, control, or sovereignty then existing and exercised by the United States in and over the territory and people of the Philippine Islands, including all military and other reservations of the Government of the United States in the Philippines (except such land or property reserved under section 5 as may be redesignated by the President of the United States not later than two years after the date of such proclamation), and, on behalf of the United States, shall recognize the independence of the Philippine Islands as a separate and self-governing nation and acknowledge the authority and control over the same of the government instituted by the people thereof, under the constitution then in force: Provided, That the constitution has been previously amended to include the following provisions:

"(1) That the property rights of the United States and the Philippine Islands shall be promptly adjusted and settled, and that all existing property rights of citizens or corporations of the United States shall be acknowledged, respected, and safeguarded to the same extent as property rights of citizens of the Philippine Islands.

"(2) That the officials elected and serving under the constitution adopted pursuant to the provisions of this act shall be constitutional officers of the free and independent government of the Philippine Islands and qualified to function in all respects as if elected directly under such government, and shall serve their full terms of office as prescribed in the constitution.

"(3) That the debts and liabilities of the Philippine Islands, its Provinces, cities, municipalities, and instrumentalities, which shall be valid and subsisting at the time of the final and complete withdrawal of the sovereignty of the United States, shall be assumed by the free and independent government of the Philippine Islands; and that where bonds have been issued under authority of an act of Congress of the United States by the Philippine Islands, or any Province, city, or municipality therein, the Philippine government will make adequate provision for the necessary funds for the payment of interest and principal, and such

obligations shall be a first lien on the taxes collected in the Philippine Islands.

"(4) That the government of the Philippine Islands, on becoming independent of the United States, will assume all continuing obligations assumed by the United States under the treaty of peace with Spain ceding said Philippine Islands to the United States.

"(5) That by way of further assurance the government of the Philippine Islands will embody the foregoing provisions (except paragraph (2)) in a treaty with the United States.

"NEUTRALIZATION OF PHILIPPINE ISLANDS

"Sec. 11. The President is requested, at the earliest practicable date, to enter into negotiations with foreign powers with a view to the conclusion of a treaty for the perpetual neutralization of the Philippine Islands, if and when Philippine independence shall have been achieved.

"NOTIFICATION TO FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS

"SEC. 12. Upon the proclamation and recognition of the independence of the Philippine Islands, the President shall notify the governments with which the United States is in diplomatic correspondence thereof and invite said governments to recognize the independence of the Philippine Islands.

"TARIFF DUTIES AFTER INDEPENDENCE

"SEC. 13. After the Philippine Islands have become a free and independent nation there shall be levied, collected, and paid upon all articles coming into the United States from the Philippine Islands the rates of duty which are required to be levied, collected, and paid upon like articles imported from other foreign countries: Provided, That at least one year prior to the date fixed in this act for the independence of the Philippine Islands, there shall be held a conference of representatives of the Government of the United States and the government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands, such representatives to be appointed by the President of the United States and the Chief Executive of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands, respectively, for the purpose of formulating recommendations as to future trade relations between the Government of the United States and the independent government of the Philippine Islands, the time, place, and manner of holding such conference to be determined by the President of the United States; but nothing in this proviso shall be construed to modify or affect in any way any provision of this act relating to the procedure leading up to Philippine independence or the date upon which the Philippine Islands shall become independent.

"IMMIGRATION AFTER INDEPENDENCE

"Sec. 14. Upon the final and complete withdrawal of American sovereignty over the Philippine Islands the immigration laws of the United States (including all the provisions thereof relating to persons ineligible to citizenship) shall apply to persons who were born in the Philippine Islands to the same extent as in the case of other foreign countries.

"CERTAIN STATUTES CONTINUED IN FORCE

"SEC. 15. Except as in this act otherwise provided, the laws now or hereafter in force in the Philippine Islands shall continue in force in the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands until altered, amended, or repealed by the Legislature of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands or by the Congress of the United States, and all references in such laws to the Philippines or Philippine Islands shall be construed to mean the government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands. The government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands shall be deemed successor to the present government of the Philippine Islands and of all the rights and obligations thereof. Except as otherwise provided in this act, all laws or parts of laws relating to the present government of the Philippine Islands and its administration are hereby repealed as of the date of the inauguration of the government of the Commonwealth of the Philippine Islands.

"Sec. 16. If any provision of this act is declared unconstitutional or the applicability thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the validity of the remainder of the act and the applicability of such provisions to other persons and circumstances shall not be affected thereby.

" EFFECTIVE DATE

"SEC. 17. The foregoing provisions of this act shall not take effect until accepted by concurrent resolution of the Philippine Legislature or by a convention called for the purpose of passing upon that question as may be provided by the Philippine Legislature."

And the Senate agree to the same.

HIRAM BINGHAM,
HIRAM W. JOHNSON,
BRONSON CUTTING,
KEY PITTMAN,
HARRY B. HAWES,
Managers on the part of the Senate.
BUTLER B. HARE,

BUTLER B. HARE,
GUINN WILLIAMS,
HAROLD KNUTSON,
Managers on the part of the House.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Connecticut asks unanimous consent for the present consideration of the conference report. Is there objection?

Mr. BORAH. Mr. President, I have no objection; but the Senator is going to explain it fully, is he not?

Mr. BINGHAM. I shall be glad to explain it and answer any questions which Senators may desire to ask.

Mr. COUZENS. Mr. President, I withhold my consent until after the Senator from Connecticut shall have explained the report.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Michigan reserves the right to object. The Senator from Connecticut is recognized.

Mr. BINGHAM. This is a privileged matter, and I could move to take it up.

Mr. COUZENS. I do not think it will be necessary to move to take it up, for the Senate, after it has heard the Senator's explanation, may be willing to proceed to the consideration of the report, and there may not be any objection to unanimous consent.

Mr. BINGHAM. Mr. President, the House and Senate conferees have unanimously agreed to the report. The chief matters in controversy were, first, the length of time before which independence could be achieved; in other words, the length of the probationary period. In the bill as passed by the House the time was fixed at 8 years, and in the bill as passed by the Senate it was 12 years; the conferees arrived at a compromise, and made it 10 years.

The House bill provided that during the entire eight years there would be no change at all in the tariffs. The bill as passed by the Senate provided that during the latter five years of the period there should be a gradual step-up of 5 per cent of the United States tariffs, to be used as an export tax by the Philippines, to be applied to the bonded indebtedness of the Philippine Islands. The House receded and agreed to the Senate provision, so that for five years the status quo will remain and after five years the tariff step-ups will begin to operate.

The question of immigration was at issue.

Mr. NORRIS. Mr. President, may I interrupt the Senator?

Mr. BINGHAM. Certainly.

Mr. NORRIS. I do not quite understand from the Senator's remarks whether the provision as he has just stated it is in the conference agreement.

Mr. BINGHAM. It is in the conference agreement, the period having been reduced from 12 years to 10, during the first five years of which the status quo is to remain and during the second five years the tariff step-ups as provided by the Senate are to be operative, the House receding on that.

Mr. VANDENBERG. Mr. President, will the Senator yield for a question?

Connecticut yield to the Senator from Michigan?

Mr. BINGHAM. I yield.

Mr. VANDENBERG. The Senator has not indicated what specific figures are used in respect to the limitations.

Mr. BINGHAM. I am about to reach that point. Mr. VANDENBERG. I beg the Senator's pardon.

Mr. BINGHAM. The House declined to recede on their figures having to do with the limitation on exports of sugar and coconut oil to this country. The House also declined to recede from its position on immigration. The Senate receded on both those questions.

Mr. NORRIS. Will the Senator state briefly just what

those provisions are?

Mr. BINGHAM. The House bill permitted the importation into this country from the Philippines of 800,000 tons of raw sugar and 50,000 tons of refined sugar, and also 200,000 tons of coconut oil. The House declined to yield, and the Senate receded on those provisions.

The House receded on the provision for making the act effective by concurrent resolution of the Philippine Legisla-

ture or by convention.

With regard to immigration the Senate receded; so that the House provision was retained permitting 50 Filipinos a year to come in for 10 years, and at the end of the period when independence shall be a fact the Philippine Islands will be placed exactly on the same basis as are Japan, China, India, and other Asiatic countries.

Mr. BORAH. Mr. President, before the Senator goes further, let me inquire what amount of coconut oil is now

admitted free?

Mr. BINGHAM. At the present time it is all free of duty, I will say to the Senator.

Mr. BORAH. And that continues to be so for five years?

Mr. BINGHAM. Under the House bill the amount allowed to come in is somewhat less than the actual receipts during the past year or two, the actual receipts being about 225,000 tons and the House figures being 200,000 tons in the case of coconut oil.

Mr. BORAH. That continues for five years?

Mr. BINGHAM. It continues during the first 5-year

Mr. BORAH. And the amount which may be imported

each year during the first five years is how much?

Mr. BINGHAM. Two hundred thousand tons. May I say to the Senator that the only possible advantage to anyone from reducing that amount would be to the pressers of copra on the Pacific coast, and the only disadvantage would be to those in the Philippine Islands who press the copra and turn it into coconut oil, since copra is at the present time free of duty?

Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts. Mr. President-

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Does the Senator from Connecticut yield to the Senator from Massachusetts?

Mr. BINGHAM. I yield.

Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts. Will the Senator state when the immigration restriction commences to operate?

Mr. BINGHAM. The immigration restriction, limiting the number of Filipinos who may come in to 50 per year, commences as soon as the Philippine Legislature accepts the bill and starts the machinery in progress.

Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts. Is that limitation changed

during the 10-year period?

Mr. BINGHAM. That limitation is not changed during the 10-year period. At the end of 10 years, the Filipinos having secured their independence, the Philippine Islands become an Asiatic country like any other country in the Orient.

Mr. VANDENBERG. Mr. President, will the Senator yield for a further question?

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Does the Senator from Connecticut yield to the Senator from Michigan?

Mr. BINGHAM. I yield.

Mr. VANDENBERG. Might not some confusion arise from the Senator's answer to the question submitted by the

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Does the Senator from | Senator from Idaho? The 5-year period during which the 200,000-ton limitation applies does not start immediately: it does not start until the new commonwealth is established. Is not that correct?

Mr. BINGHAM. That is true, Mr. President; it does not

start until the beginning of the 10-year period.

Mr. VANDENBERG. Precisely. So that, so far as this year is concerned, and next year, and perhaps another year, there is no limitation whatever? Is not that correct?

Mr. BINGHAM. That is true of both bills. The only difference is that the House receded from its position with regard to when the Philippine Legislature might fix the date of the beginning, the Senate having required that the Philippine Legislature act within one year. The House receded on that. Under the original bill they could postpone their action for any length of time?

Mr. VANDENBERG. Mr. President, will the Senator indicate his judgment as to how long it will be before in normal expectancy these limitations will begin to operate?

Mr. BINGHAM. Under the provisions of the bill as adopted in the Senate and as agreed to by the House conferees the convention must start to meet within one year after the enactment of this measure. It is hardly conceivable that their convention would remain in session for more than a few weeks, possibly a few months. Then the action of the convention must be submitted to a plebiscite of the people to accept the constitution. The House receded in regard to the vote on the constitution being regarded as a declaration in favor of independence.

Mr. VANDENBERG. There is an indeterminate factor, however, is there not, in view of the fact that the constitution must pass back and forth between the islands and the President until they are in agreement that it meets the

specifications of the bill?

Mr. BINGHAM. That is true. There will be a period of several weeks there.

Mr. VANDENBERG. It might be several years.

Mr. BINGHAM. That matter, however, was not in con-

Mr. REED. Mr. President-

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Does the Senator from Connecticut yield to the Senator from Pennsylvania?

Mr. BINGHAM. I yield.

Mr. REED. Recurring to the immigration matter, is there any distinction made in the bill as agreed to by the conferees between immigration into the American mainland and immigration into Hawaii?

Mr. BINGHAM. The House receded and accepted the Senate provision.

Mr. REED. That amounts to what?

Mr. BINGHAM. The bill as passed by the Senate provided that immigration into the Hawaiian Islands from the Philippine Islands should be regulated by the Secretary of the Interior in accordance with what he deemed to be the interest of the labor market in the Hawaiian Islands; in other words, if there was unemployment there, he would not permit any to come in, while if they were in need of labor, then he might permit what he deemed wise. That was the provision in the Senate bill, and the House receded and accepted it.

Mr. DICKINSON. Mr. President-

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Does the Senator from Connecticut yield to the Senator from Iowa?

Mr. BINGHAM. I yield to the Senator.

Mr. DICKINSON. I understand, then, that until this constitutional convention is held, and the action we take is ratified by the people of the Philippines in a popular election, there is no restriction on the imports of vegetable oil.

Mr. BINGHAM. That was the case in both the Senate bill and the House bill.

Mr. DICKINSON. And then for five years the matter is on the basis of 200,000 tons maximum, in case they act favorably?

Mr. BINGHAM. That is correct.

Mr. DICKINSON. And then the graduated scale of export taxes starts on both sugar and coconut oil?

Mr. BINGHAM. Five per cent a year for five years, each year adding 5 per cent of the United States tariff.

Mr. DICKINSON. What was done with reference to the section in the latter part of the bill having to do with an economic conference between the representatives of the Philippine Islands and the American representatives after independence has been declared?

Mr. BINGHAM. The Senate and the House bills were exactly alike in that regard and that matter was not in conference.

Mr. DICKINSON. When is that conference to be held? At the end of the probationary period?

Mr. BINGHAM. The House agreed to the Senate amendment, which states that it shall be held one year prior to the date fixed in the act for the independence of the Philippine Islands. The House receded and agreed to the Senate amendment on that point.

Mr. DICKINSON. But what I had in mind was this: By reason of the fact that we adopted the Senate amendment, which gives the people of the Philippines the right to declare their independence at the same time they adopt a constitution, I was wondering whether the conference is going to be held within a year after the acceptance of the constitution or after the final severance of relations.

Mr. BINGHAM. The Senate bill, to which the House agreed, provided that the conference should be held one year prior to the date fixed for independence.

Mr. DICKINSON. When is independence to come, according to the bill? Is it after they have voted for it?

Mr. BINGHAM. Independence comes at the end of the 10-year period. As the bill passed the Senate, the Philippine Islands are not to become free and independent until the end of the 10-year period. As the bill passed the Senate it provided for a 12-year period.

Mr. DICKINSON. Then the conferees of the Senate do not believe that it made any difference that we adopted the amendment which provides that there shall be a declaration of independence when the constitution is accepted?

Mr. BINGHAM. Oh, certainly, Mr. President. As I explained on the floor, it made a very great difference. It makes it impossible for the Filipinos, having once voted to call a constitutional convention and having once voted a plebiscite on the constitution as adopted by that convention, later to say that they do not like independence and do not want to be free. They have no choice; but independence does not become consummated until the end of the 10-year period. One year before the end of that period, under the Senate bill, the conference is to be held.

Mr. DICKINSON. There is a very keen desire on the part of the agricultural interests of this country that the time be made shorter and that the limitations be made lower on the imports of both sugar and dairy products. As I take it, this conference report is absolutely against the interests of the sugar people and of the farm people with reference to time and with reference to tonnage.

Mr. BINGHAM. Mr. President, in one regard it is worse for them and in another regard it is better. As the bill passed the Senate, no general tariff was to start to be laid until the end of seven years' probationary period. In the conference report it starts at the end of five years, so that there is a 2-year benefit there, during which time, under the Senate bill, 600,000 or less tons of sugar and 150,000 tons of coconut oil could come in free of duty. Under the conference report, however, during those two years there is an increased tariff of 5 per cent of the regular rates placed on those products, which is for the benefit of the producers in this country.

Mr. SHIPSTEAD and Mr. COPELAND addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. Does the Senator from Connecticut yield; and if so, to whom?

Mr. BINGHAM. I yield first to the Senator from Minnesota.

Mr. SHIPSTEAD. Mr. President, do I understand that the importations of copra are to remain as they are?

Mr. BINGHAM. There is no tariff on copra from any country at the present time.

Mr. SHIPSTEAD. And there is no limitation on importations of copra?

Mr. BINGHAM. Naturally. Copra from all countries is on the free list at the present time.

Mr. SHIPSTEAD. There is no limitation on the quantity that can be imported?

Mr. BINGHAM. There never is any limitation on the quantity of any product coming in under a free-trade provision; is there?

I yield now to the Senator from New York.

Mr. COPELAND. Mr. President, I assume that the Senator is not intending to put this measure on its passage until the conference report has been printed, so that the Senate may understand what it is all about. Am I right in that?

Mr. BINGHAM. I desire to have it passed to-day, and therefore I have asked unanimous consent for the immediate consideration of the conference report.

Mr. COPELAND. In a matter so important as this, where changes have been made in a conference, is it not unusual to pass the measure without printing the report?

Mr. BINGHAM. I am trying to explain in full exactly what happened to the matters in which there was any difference between the two Houses. I think I have done so. The chief matters were those of immigration, the length of time, the tariff step-ups, and the amount of material that should come in free of duty. Those were the chief subjects in dispute between the two Houses. The other differences were rather minor, except so far as the time when the act is to go into effect is concerned; and I have already explained that the House receded, and accepted the Senate bill, in that regard.

Mr. COPELAND. I understand that the report is subject to a point of order because the conferees have gone beyond the language of either House in fixing the dates involved.

Mr. BINGHAM. Oh, no, Mr. President. The time fixed in the Senate bill was 12 years. The time fixed in the House bill was 8 years. The conferees have accepted a compromise of 10 years.

Mr. COPELAND. Is there any question of date involved in any other part of the bill?

Mr. BINGHAM. No, Mr. President; none whatever.

Mr. COPELAND. Is the Senator quite satisfied that on the recommendations made by the conferees no point of order could be raised against the report?

Mr. BINGHAM. I am satisfied, Mr. President. The drafting clerks, the legislative counsel, and the conferees have been very careful to stay within the limits; and I may say to the Senator that the greater part of the bill as accepted by the conferees is the Senate bill. I have explained the only matters in which the House did not yield.

Mr. COPELAND. I must accept the statement of the Senator that the language of the report is strictly in accordance with the rule. It has been stated to me that the report is subject to a point of order along the line I have suggested. That was the reason why I thought it would be wise if the matter could go over until to-morrow so that the report might be printed; but, of course, I have the assurance of the Senator that it is not possible to raise the point against it.

Mr. DILL and Mr. HOWELL addressed the Chair.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. To whom does the Senator from Connecticut yield?

Mr. BINGHAM. I yield first to the Senator from Washington.

Mr. DILL. Mr. President, what is the rush? Why can we not have this report printed and see it to-morrow?

Mr. BINGHAM. We desire to have the matter settled before the Christmas holidays. We desire to have the report sent over to the House to-day, so that the House may act. The House asked us to have the matter settled as soon as possible. I can assure the Senator that there is no desire on

my part, or on the part of the conferees, to conceal anything. I have endeavored to explain everything frankly, and I shall

be glad to repeat any part of it.

Mr. DILL. I know; but in the case of a conference report on a bill so important as this, it seems to me we ought to have a chance to look it over. I do not think the Senator ought to press us for action on it to-day. I do not doubt what the Senator says; but when we sit down and read a thing, sometimes it looks different than it does when we hear it explained.

Again, I can not see the rush about the matter. There is going to be a period of 10 years after the constitution is adopted before independence is achieved, and a few days' delay will not hurt. In the meantime, it seems to me the Senator ought not to press for action at this time.

Mr. HOWELL and Mr. PITTMAN addressed the Chair. The PRESIDENT pro tempore. To whom does the Sen-

ator vield?

Mr. BINGHAM. I yield first to the Senator from Nebraska.

Mr. HOWELL. Mr. President, when does this period of 10 years begin to run? Assume that the bill is approved before the 1st of January; at what date will the 10-year period begin to run?

Mr. BINGHAM. I will say to the Senator that there is no difference between the Senate bill and the conference report in that regard. They are exactly alike. The House accepted the Senate's position. The Philippine Legislature must take action and the constitutional convention must begin to sit within one year. After that it depends on how long they sit and whether there has to be an exchange several times between them and the President with regard to whether the constitution which they adopt is in accordance with the bill as it passed the Senate, and then a plebiscite is to be held. It is difficult for me to imagine that it would take more than one year for the provision of the Senate bill to go into effect; so one might say that the probationary period would begin not less than two years from the date of the acceptance of the act.

Mr. HOWELL. But it might be five years?

Mr. BINGHAM. I will say to the Senator that the conference report is no different than the Senate bill in that

Mr. HOWELL. That is very true.

Mr. BINGHAM. I can hardly imagine that it would possibly take five years for this reason: The constitutional convention must meet within one year after the act is accepted. Then the constitutional convention may be in session for four or five months. It is difficult to imagine they would be in session longer than that. Then there is the period of exchange of notes; so that I see no likelihood of anything going longer than one year, particularly as they are anxious to get independence.

Mr. HOWELL. There is no likelihood of it, and yet it would be possible that the time might be extended to five vears.

Mr. BINGHAM. It was possible under the Senate bill. There has been no change in the Senate bill in that regard.

Mr. FESS. Mr. President, will the Senator yield? Mr. BINGHAM. I yield to the Senator from Ohio.

Mr. FESS. I am sure the Senator from Nebraska has in mind the same thing that I have: As to whether, under the bill as it now stands-whether it was in the Senate bill or in the House bill-by nonaction of the Philippine Legislature the whole thing can be defeated.

Mr. BINGHAM. Oh, certainly. The final clause in the bill as it passed the Senate provides that the act shall not go into effect until the Philippine Legislature has accepted it and started the wheels in motion.

Mr. FESS. So that instead of the time being five years, it might be wholly indefinite; that is, it is possible.

Mr. BINGHAM. Oh, the act does not even begin to go into effect until the Philippine Legislature acts; but they must act and they must get their constitutional convention

under way within one year. If they turn it down, then we go back and begin de novo.

Mr. FESS. That is the idea.

Mr. COUZENS. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. BINGHAM. I yield to the Senator from Michigan. Mr. COUZENS. Even in the eventuality that the Senator from Nebraska mentioned and that the Senator from Ohio had in mind, in case the Filipinos did not act, there would be nothing binding upon us to act at some later date, would

Mr. BINGHAM. We could act at the next session of Congress and pass an entirely different bill, granting immediate independence.

Mr. COUZENS. In other words, this measure does not tie us up for 10 or 12 or 15 years if the action of the people of the Philippines is not in accordance with the bill?

Mr. BINGHAM. Not at all. It does not tie us at all unless they act favorably within one year.

Mr. BORAH. Mr. President-

Mr. BINGHAM. I yield to the Senator from Idaho.

Mr. BORAH. Do I understand that according to the terms of the bill as finally accepted in the conference report if the Filipinos do not act within one year with reference to the constitution we would be entirely relieved from any obligation under this bill?

Mr. BINGHAM. It seems to me so, because the act requires them to have their constitutional convention meet at a certain place and within one year of the date of their first

Mr. SMOOT. Mr. President, will the Senator yield? Mr. BINGHAM. I yield to the Senator from Utah.

Mr. SMOOT. Will not the Senator let this matter go over until to-morrow? I should like to see the conference report and I should like to see just what the effect of the changes is.

Mr. BINGHAM. I will say to the Senator that the bill is almost as it passed the Senate, with the exceptions I have mentioned. In other words, the House yielded on practically everything except immigration and the amount of sugar and coconut oil.

Mr. SMOOT. I judged that to be the case from what the Senator said. I noticed, however, that some of the leaders of the Filipino people, if the press dispatches from the Philippine Islands the other day can be relied upon, are absolutely opposed to either the House or the Senate bill.

Mr. BINGHAM. They were opposed to the Hare bill, and also opposed to the Hawes-Cutting bill, according to the newspapers.

Mr. SMOOT. Yes; that is what the newspapers said. Does the Senator know whether or not that is the case?

Mr. BINGHAM. I have no means of knowing what may be going on in the mind of anybody in the Philippines, any more than the Senator from Utah has.

Mr. SMOOT. But the Senator knows that these newspaper statements purport to be made by men who virtually are directing this whole matter in the Philippines.

Mr. BINGHAM. Yes, Mr. President. The leaders in the Philippines have been asking for immediate, complete, and absolute independence for many years. Naturally, they are not satisfied with the House bill, which puts it off for 8 years, or with the Senate bill, which puts it off for 12 years. The conference report puts it at 10 years. Naturally, they are not satisfied with that. They want immediate independence. They have been preaching that; but the bill as the conferees have reported it out is virtually as it passed the Senate in all its essential particulars, with the exception of the two things I have mentioned.

Mr. SMOOT. The Senator also remembers that according to the report that came from the Philippines, it was not only the limit of time to which they objected. They objected to other provisions.

Mr. BINGHAM. Yes; they objected to the immigration section, on which we yielded to the House.

Mr. HAWES. Mr. President, will the Senator yield?

Mr. BINGHAM. I yield.

Mr. HAWES. A small element in the Philippines objected to three things. The first was the drastic exclusion provision, which has been taken from the bill. The second was the limitation placed in the bill by the Senate, and the third was the element of time. If Senators will follow this, especially Senators interested in the farm matter, they will find that while we put back the limitation placed in the bill by the House, from which they refused to recede, we have taken two years off the time.

Mr. SMOOT. Off the time of the Senate provision. Mr. HAWES. No; the House provided for eight years. Mr. SMOOT. And the Senate provided for 12.

Mr. HAWES. The Senate provided for 12.

Mr. SMOOT. Then it was taken off the Senate provision. Mr. HAWES. No; we took three years off the limitation fixed in the House, making it 5, and then the 5-year tariff

Mr. SMOOT. It is to be graduated.

begins-5, 15, and 25.

Mr. HAWES. As to the farmers in our country, whom the Senator has in mind: While it maintains the limitation, they have at the same time stopped the period of limitation sooner, if I make myself clear.

Mr. SMOOT. I understand the situation. Mr. HAWES. The practical inquiry has been made several times of the Senator from Connecticut as to when this bill would become operative. I asked that question of the mission, because that is a question which they alone could determine. We do our part, and then their part begins. The brilliant speaker of the Philippine House, Mr. Osmena, said to me that, in their judgment, that machinery would be completed within the period of 16 or 18 months, and certainly they are going to move just as fast as they can.

I want to call the attention of both the Senator from Utah and the Senator from Nebraska to this fact: That while that limitation went up, the time came down. Is that clear to the Senator from Nebraska?

Mr. HOWELL. Yes.

Mr. SMOOT. Let us take the limitation on sugar, for instance. When the tariff bill of 1930 was before us it was stated to the committee that under no conditions could more than 585,000 tons of sugar be produced in the Philippines. That has been raised to 800,000 tons.

Mr. HAWES. The present production in the Philippines, this year's crop, as estimated by the Philippine people, as

well as our people here, is 1,023,000 tons.

Mr. SMOOT. Yes; and I think that is only the beginning. Mr. HAWES. One year later it will be a million and a quarter.

Mr. SMOOT. Whatever may be said as to the amount of sugar the islands can produce has no effect upon what the real result will be. I think myself that the islands can produce just about as much sugar as Cuba can.

Mr. HAWES. That is right, Senator.

Mr. SMOOT. I do feel that we allowed them all they said they produced a few years ago, and I thought that the proper thing would have been to hold to the 500,000 tons.

Mr. HAWES. The chairman of the House committee, returning from the Philippines, is now advocating that we fix not 850,000 tons but a million tons, as the result of his observations, and there is no chance of the House receding on that limitation. We gave up on the time, we adopted the policy the Senate expressed in the matter of the plebiscite, and there is not a single date in dispute.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore. The Senator from Connecticut asks unanimous consent for the present consideration of the conference report. Is there objection?

Mr. COPELAND. Mr. President, reserving the right to object, I wish the Senator would let the matter go over until to-morrow and have the report printed. There are a number of Senators, I know, who would like to see what is in this report and would like to give it a little study. The independence of the Filipinos will not be delayed. Certainly it could be acted upon to-morrow.

Mr. BINGHAM. Mr. President, I am very sorry, but I shall be obliged to move that the Senate proceed to the consideration of the conference report.

Mr. COPELAND. The Senator need not do that. I will withdraw my objection, if he persists in his request.

Mr. BINGHAM. If we were not so near the date of the Christmas holidays and had not been so long in the consideration of the bill, I would be able to agree.

Mr. DILL. Mr. President, the House is to be in session, we are told, through the Christmas holidays, so that argument will not apply as to the House. The House could take it up on Monday or Tuesday of next week.

Mr. PITTMAN. Mr. President-

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. Fess in the chair). Does the Senator from Connecticut yield to the Senator from Nevada?

Mr. BINGHAM. I yield.

Mr. PITTMAN. Those who are anxious to pass this legislation ought to act on it now, because it is perfectly evident that we are about to take a recess in the Senate, and if the matter shall be delayed until after the holidays we will then have before us the Glass banking bill, a very important measure, and it is quite probable there will be other important matters coming over from the House of Representatives, and some reported from our committees, so it is very likely that after we come back there will be a legislative jam in the Senate.

The statement of the Senator from Connecticut as to the situation was very clear, and I think every member of the committee concurs in the statement. There is practically no difference at all, except that the immigration clause was rewritten by the senior Senator from California [Mr. Johnson], and agreed to by both sides. The House cut down the length of time from the period we fixed, 7 and 5 years, to 5 and 5 years, and insisted that there be a quota in effect during the 5 years, as provided in the bill. I venture to say that is all there is in the conference report that was not in the bill passed by the Senate; I am sure that is correct.

When this matter comes up for consideration, if we take it up, the conference bill of course can be read from the desk, if Senators desire, and there will be at the disposal of Senators all the copies of the conference report we have, at least for those Senators who desire to see it.

I really feel that it is of vast importance to the farmers of this country that we get early action on this report. I believe that the Legislature of the Philippine Islands will act early, and they can not start to act until we submit something to them. It would be a very unfortunate thing if this matter should be caught in a legislative jam after the holidays, and be defeated.

I know that some Senators feel that the farmers of our country are not getting what they should have in this matter. I do not agree with that; but I shall not give the reasons for my position, because I have already stated them on the floor. I am free to confess that if I had my way about it I would do more for the Philippine people than this conference report does. But we have worked as hard as we could to get a compromise bill.

Let Senators consider the different elements involved. In the first place, there are the two Houses of Congress to get together. There has been a wide difference of opinion as to the length of time it would take to bring about what was desired. It has been made 5 and 10 years. I think the time suits practically everybody.

As far as the quota is concerned, we cut the time down from eight to five years; the House committee thought it ought to have been more, but the situation is practically this: There are the Philippine Islands to be considered in this matter, their legislature and their people, we have the Congress to consider, and then, as a part of the legislative power of this country, whether we like it or not, we have to consider the President of the United States in the matter.

I think anyone will understand the difficulty of writing a compromise bill. This fight has been going on for 15 years. I think it is perfectly remarkable that we got a unanimous report on a conference bill in this matter. It is the first time we have ever had one.

There is just one other question. The Congress of the United States is never going to extend our tariff to the people of the Philippine Islands so long as they are under our sovereignty, except under such an agreement as we have reached in this legislation. If this conference bill shall be defeated, it will be defated through selfishness and lack of knowledge of the problem, whether that selfishness be in this country or whether it be in the Philippines; and I think there has been a lot of it manifested by some of the politicians in the Philippines recently. The delegation who are representing the Philippine Islands here are broad, able, unselfish men, and the complaint as to demagoguery we have heard about comes from demagogues in the Philippine Islands, and not from this delegation.

If this measure shall be defeated, and shall come up a year from now or two years from now, there will be Senators and Representatives who will insist that the Filipino people be treated fairly; and instead of having the quota of sugar at 850,000 tons, which is 100,000 tons under the amount they are actually shipping here this year, although we propose to sustain the quota, the figure will be 950,000 tons; and if it is a year later, it will go up again. I think it is to the interest of the farmers of this country, intensely to their interest, to aid in consummating this legislation.

Mr. BINGHAM. Mr. President, I would like now to proceed in my own right for just a moment; and I would like to have the attention of the Senator from Utah [Mr. Smoot]. The Senator from Utah was asking a few minutes ago about the sugar limitation, and the Senator from Iowa [Mr. Dickinson] is also interested in what I am about to say.

Under the conference report the amount of sugar which will come in free of duty has been reduced by 105,000 tons. Under the 5-year limitation the amount is increased each year by 215,000 tons, under the conference report. That is the difference between 585,000 tons and 800,000 tons. There is an increase of 215,000 tons a year, but that is for five years, which is an increase of 1,075,000 tons over the Senate provision, so far as that is concerned.

The Senate provided, however, for free sugar for seven years, at a rate of 585,000 tons a year, which, for the two additional years, gives 1,170,000 more tons of sugar. The difference between the conference report and the Senate bill is the difference between 1,170,000 tons and 1,075,000 tons, so that actually the conference report cuts down the amount of free sugar by 105,000 tons.

Let us take the question of coconut oil. Under the provision as it was in the Senate bill there were to be 150,000 tons of coconut oil admitted free of duty for seven years, and for the two additional years there would be a total of 300,000 tons additional. Under the House bill they get 50,000 tons more for five years, which makes 250,000 tons. So that actually under the final Senate bill there is a total reduction of 50,000 tons of coconut oil.

Mr. SMOOT. But if we extend that 5 years to 20 years, we will see exactly what would happen. What hurts is the year when the greatest amount of sugar comes in from the Philippine Islands.

Mr. BINGHAM. There is nothing that can prevent a large amount of sugar coming in for the next two years, either under the Senate bill or the House bill. Naturally, that was not before the conferees.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the request of the Senator from Connecticut?

Mr. COPELAND. I do not want to be disagreeable about this matter, but I find so much protest against the conference report that it does seem to me the Senator should consent to let the matter go over until to-morrow. We have pending here a local bill which has been in the Senate for 10 years, and we have succeeded after great turbulence in coming to an agreement regarding its various features. I think that ought to be disposed of to-day. Then to-morrow morning, if the Senator chooses to bring up the conference report, so far as I am concerned, I shall not object to it being taken up for immediate consideration; but I do think the report ought to be printed so that we may fa-

There is just one other question. The Congress of the miliarize ourselves with its details and study their signifinited States is never going to extend our tariff to the cance. I can not see how a delay of 24 hours can be fatal.

> Mr. BINGHAM. Mr. President, I renew my unanimousconsent request for immediate consideration of the conference report.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Is there objection?

Mr. HOWELL. Mr. President, the last report from the Department of Agriculture, dated November 29, shows that whereas the farmer received 100 for his products in 1913 he is now receiving 54, and whereas he was paying 100 for what he bought in 1913 he is now paying 106, a damning indictment of our national economy.

This measure affects the farmer, affects agriculture in this country, agriculture that is in the depths of despair; and yet the question of the independence of the Philippine Islands is to be left entirely to the Filipinos. It is acknowledged here that the bill may not be effective at all, that even though the constitutional convention is held there might elapse a period of 5, yes, 15 years before independence.

Mr. BINGHAM. Mr. President-

The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Nebraska yield to the Senator from Connecticut?

Mr. HOWELL. I yield.

Mr. BINGHAM. Mr. President, the Senator realizes the Senate conferees had no power to change the bill as it passed the Senate in that regard. The provision to which the Senator evidently has reference was in the House bill.

Mr. HOWELL. That is true, and yet it is just as pertinent at this time that agriculture is affected by the bill, that agriculture has not been given any consideration, that it is left entirely to the Filipinos as to whether independence shall come to the islands now or 15 or 20 or 50 years from now, so far as this bill is concerned. Yet not only do the products of the Philippine Islands depress certain agricultural products of this country, but the Philippine Islands and their possession by the people of the United States are the greatest external menace that confronts us. Despite that fact we are leaving it to the Filipinos as to whether this menace shall be terminated. We are leaving it to the Filipinos as to whether their competition with our agriculture—agriculture in the condition in which it is to-day—shall continue indefinitely.

Why is this? The Filipinos want independence immediately. The fact that the possession of these islands is a menace demands, so far as the interests of the Government of the United States are concerned, that independence shall be granted immediately. So far as the agricultural interests of the United States are concerned the same is true. Why is it that something immediate is not provided for? It is because of a fourth interest—investments in the Philippine Islands. It is because of investments, creditors; because of dollars and cents.

But when we think about the farmers, we forget dollars and cents. When we think about the Philippines, we can think of dollars and cents—that is, the dollars and cents of those who have invested in the Philippine Islands, who have gone there with their eyes open, knowing that we were committed to independence. Yet here, with agriculture in the depths, we continue a consummated menace to agricultural welfare as well as an international menace to governmental welfare. When we consider dollars and cents in this connection, it may mean nothing as compared with the cost in treasure, to say nothing of American lives, that this menace may mean to us in the not distant future.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Is there objection to the unanimous-consent request submitted by the Senator from Connecticut? The Chair hears none, and the conference report is laid before the Senate. It has been read. The question is on agreeing to the conference report.

The report was agreed to.

DISPOSITION OF USELESS PAPERS

The PRESIDENT pro tempore laid before the Senate a letter from the Acting Secretary of Commerce, reporting, pursuant to law, relative to an accumulation of documents and papers on the files of the department not needed nor useful in the transaction of current business, and asking for

action looking toward their disposition, which, with the accompanying list, was referred to a Joint Select Committee on the Disposition of Useless Papers in the Executive Departments.

The PRESIDENT pro tempore appointed Mr. Johnson and Mr. Fletcher members of the committee on the part of the Senate.

PETITIONS AND MEMORIALS

The PRESIDENT pro tempore laid before the Senate resolutions adopted by members of the First Church of the Nazarene, of Pasadena, Calif., protesting against the repeal of the eighteenth amendment of the Constitution or the modification of the national prohibition law, which were referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

He also laid before the Senate a letter in the nature of a memorial from Richard A. Scott, president, American Business Men's Prohibition Foundation, Chicago, Ill., remonstrating against the repeal of the eighteenth amendment of the Constitution or the modification of the national prohibition law, which was referred to the Committee on the

He also laid before the Senate the memorial of the American Temperance Society of Seventh-day Adventists, Takoma Park. D. C., remonstrating against the repeal of the eighteenth amendment of the Constitution or the modification of the national prohibition law, which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

Mr. CAPPER presented a resolution adopted by branches of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Manhattan, Kans., protesting against the repeal of the eighteenth amendment of the Constitution or the modification of the national prohibition law, which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

He also presented petitions, numerously signed, of sundry citizens of Ellsworth, Goodland, Hays, Newton, and Victoria, all in the State of Kansas, praying for the repeal of the tax on bank checks, which were referred to the Committee on Finance.

He also presented a resolution adopted by the Rice County Bankers Association, at Lyons, Kans., favoring the immediate repeal of the tax on bank checks, which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

He also presented a telegram, in the nature of a petition, from Mrs. Fannie Kreps, president American Legion Auxiliary, of Abilene, Kans., praying for the passage of legislation known as the widows and orphans pension bill, and protesting against reduction in the benefits being paid to disabled veterans and their dependents, which was referred to the Committee on Finance.

REORGANIZATION OF GOVERNMENTAL DEPARTMENTS

Mr. ROBINSON of Indiana presented a resolution adopted by members of the Indiana Republican Editorial Association, which was referred to the Committee on Appropriations and ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

The members of the Indiana Republican Editorial Association in session at the Columbia Club, Indianapolis, express their approval of the plan of reorganization of governmental departments submitted by President Hoover, and in view of the great savings which could thus be effected urge that the President's plan be supported by the Members of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

BROADCASTING STATIONS

Mr. ROBINSON of Indiana presented a resolution adopted by members of the Indiana Republican Editorial Association, which was referred to the Committee on Interstate Commerce and ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

The members of the Indiana Republican Editorial Association in session at the Columbia Club, Indianapolis, urge the Senate to pass the Davis bill, now pending before the Interstate Commerce Committee of that body, making applicable to broadcasting stations the same penalties for violation of Federal laws as are now applicable to newspapers.

That the secretary of this association be authorized to send a copy of this motion to the two Indiana Senators and Members of the House of Representatives from Indiana.

PROHIBITION ENFORCEMENT

Mr. ROBINSON of Indiana presented a resolution adopted by the Indiana Woman's State Committee for Law Enforcement, which was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary and ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

We, the members of the Indiana Woman's State Committee for Law Enforcement, stand for allegiance to the Constitution of the United States and the strong enforcement of the supreme law of the land.

We are against relegalization of beer, against the submission of an amendment to repeal the eighteenth amendment, and against ratification of amendment by conventions rather than as formerly, by legislatures.

All places where liquor is sold, whether called the saloon or speakeasy, must be credited to the liquor traffic. Regardless of where liquor is sold, it produces the same characteristic results that is, drunkenness, immorality, degeneracy, poverty, alcoholism,

insanity, and death.

The effects of alcohol can not be repealed. No method has ever been devised to dispense alcohol that does not debauch and

The speakeasy exists because it is financially supported by

The speakeasy exists because it is financially supported by drinkers; the saloon existed, and would exist again, because it has to be financially supported by drinkers.

The opponents of the eighteenth amendment are appealing for the relegalization of beer, to the tax-ridden people, claiming that it will be a revenue producer, yielding an annual income of \$400,-000,000. It is reputed that this must result in actual consumption by each drinker of at least \$160 worth of beer a year, which in this period of economic crisis will increase poverty and inefficiency and result in poorer homes, lower wages, unemployment, crime.

and result in poorer homes, lower wages, unemployment, crime, neglect of children, and wife desertion.

Taxes must be reduced not by the return of legalized beer or wine but by lowering the costs of the operation of National, State, and city government through reorganization, and otherwise raising taxes.

We therefore oppose any change and ask our United States Senwe therefore oppose any change and ask our United States Senators and Congressmen to vote against all legislation intended to nullify, weaken, or repeal the eighteenth amendment and the Volstead Act and to vote for adequate appropriations for law enforcement and a campaign of education in law observance.

We call upon the people to stand by the Constitution of the United States and demand enforcement and obedience to the letter and the spirit of the law.

Mrs. Felix T. McWhirter, Indianapolis, chairman; Mrs. R. J. Hudelson, Indianapolis, secretary; Mrs. R. E. Hinman, Indianapolis, secretary; Mrs. Frank J. Lahr, Indianapolis, secretary pro tempore; Mrs. Madison Swadener, Indianapolis, corresponding secretary; Mrs. S. W. Terry, dianapolis, corresponding secretary; Mrs. S. W. Terry, Indianapolis, treasurer; vice chairmen: Mrs. Edwin N. Indianapolis, treasurer; vice chairmen: Mrs. Edwin N. Canine, Terre Haute; Mrs. O. B. Christy, Muncie; Mrs. Charles W. Craig, Indianapolis; Mrs. Brandt C. Downey, Indianapolis; Mrs. Hamet D. Hinkle, Vincennes; Mrs. W. J. Hockett, Fort Wayne; Mrs. Curtis A. Hodges, Indianapolis; Mrs. Paul T. Hurt, Indianapolis; Mrs. Ovid Butler Jameson, Indianapolis; Mrs. A. F. Kemmer, La Fayette; Mrs. W. P. Knode, Indianapolis; Mrs. Frank J. Lahr, Indianapolis; Mrs. P. J. Mann. Hammond; Mrs. Edwin F. Miller, Peru; Mrs. W. E. Miller, South Bend; Mrs. John W. Moore, Indianapolis; Mrs. Charles A. Mueller, Indianapolis; Mrs. Albert L. Pauley, Indianapolis; Mrs. David Ross, Indianapolis; Mrs. E. C. Rumpler, Indianapolis; Mrs. L. C. Trent, Indianapolis; Mrs. George A. Van Dyke, Indianapolis; Mrs. Edward Franklin White, A. Van Dyke, Indianapolis; Mrs. Edward Franklin White, Indianapolis; Mrs. Byron Wilson, Greencastle; Indiana Woman's State Committee for Law Enforcement.

TARIFF BARRIERS

Mr. COOLIDGE. Mr. President, on Monday, December 19, page 674, Congressional Record, I called the attention of the Senate to telegrams from Massachusetts, stating that it appeared that the Bermuda government intended placing a prohibitive tariff on food products from the United States. Appearing in the Washington Daily News of yesterday, December 21, city edition, front page, and continued on page 6, a short article, with the following caption, "Canada and France May Sign Trading Pact Against United States."

Mr. President, out of order, I ask unanimous consent to have this article printed in the RECORD and appropriately referred.

There being no objection, the matter was referred to the Committee on Finance and ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

CANADA AND FRANCE MAY SIGN TRADING PACT AGAINST UNITED STATES—CANADIAN OFFICIAL TO VISIT PARIS ON WHAT IS REPORTED TO BE COMMERCIAL MISSION

-The war debts controversy will enter an important eco-PARIS. nomic phase this week with the arrival of Charles Hazlitt Cahan, Canadian Minister of State, possibly here on a trade mission costly

Cahan conferred with Canadian Prime Minister R. B. Bennett in London regarding a new Franco-Canadian trade treaty expected to increase Canadian trade here at the expense of United States firms.

The French were believed eager to complete a new agreement with Canada, especially in view of the temporary impasse in Franco-American negotiations, due partly to ill feeling created by

the debts situation.

The French were angered by Canada's denunciation of the trade accord existing before the Ottawa imperial conference. The Government was understood to be willing now, however, to buy Canadian goods in preference to American, including electrical appliances, agricultural machinery, tractors, fruit, radio parts, automobiles, and canned goods.

SUBMIT LIST

The French submitted a list of articles to Canadians on which preferential treatment will be asked. The list included soap, oils, perfumery, and wines. The articles will not conflict with Canada's concessions under the Ottawa agreements.

Increasing purchase of Canadian goods over American was shown in trade statistics for the first 11 months of 1932. Chief among the Canadian imports was wheat, 23,500,000 bushels of Canadian grain against 7,800,000 bushels of American, although there was a higher throot duty on the Canadian grain after denunciation of the old import duty on the Canadian grain after denunciation of the old

trade treaty.

Canadian goods are cheaper in the French market than previously, due to depreciation of Canadian exchange.

CONSTRUCTION AND UNEMPLOYMENT RELIEF

Mr. SCHALL. Mr. President, I ask leave to print in the RECORD a letter I recently received that contains some information that may be of value in the matter of unemployment relief and ask that it be referred to the appropriate com-

A similar letter was inserted in the RECORD in the last session indicating that this building material would lower costs for construction purposes, especially houses, thus serving a dual purpose, cheaper homes and unemployment relief

There being no objection, the letter was referred to the Committee on Banking and Currency and ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

THE PAULY MANUFACTURING Co., Washington, D. C., December 17, 1932.

Senator Thomas D. Schall,

Senate Office Building, Washington, D. C.

Dear Senaror: In accordance with your suggestion I am writing to summarize our employment opportunity and possibility of economy in the event that the Reconstruction Finance Corporation act is amended so that persons or companies with assured self-liquidating reproduction projects will be eligible for loans from the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, whether they are of public or private returns. public or private nature.

First. We would employ 250,000 men on a 6-hour-day basis directly and as many more indirectly for a considerable time to replace local clay unit plants (nonpottery) and nonclay refractories with Pauly poured (cast) cement concrete unit plants which are considered to have superior structural qualities.

Second. Building local plants for manufacturing Pauly poured (cast) cement concrete units will save in freight and material over

400,000,000 annually, as compared with the cost of manufacturing clay and ordinary concrete units.

Third. Labor and material per Pauly unit is \$2.60 for each \$1

paid for labor.

Labor and material per unit of ordinary concrete units is \$3.75

for each \$1 paid labor.

Average freight per unit to consuming center of clay units is \$3.50 plus labor and material of \$2.76 total per \$1 paid labor \$6.26.

Pauly units will be manufactured at each consuming center minus freight

Hence, labor will receive \$1 of each \$2.60 of the Pauly unit; it will receive only \$1 of each \$3.75 of ordinary concrete units; and it will receive only \$1 of each \$6.26 from clay units.

Fourth. In 1929 labor received \$106.918,237 for producing clay units and in 1927 it received \$24,897,709 for producing ordinary concrete units. Cheaper material and less freight should stimulate greatly this very important source of revenue for labor.

Fifth. Census reports indicate that 1,749 clay plants employed 93,336 persons and 2,438 concrete plants employed 16,506 in 1929. It is believed conservative to state over 25 per cent of the clay plants and 50 per cent of the concrete plants are out of business for an indefinite time, if not altogether, at the present time.

Sixth. When and as Pauly poured (cast) unit plants are ready to start production it is our intention to give the clay and/or concrete unit manufacturers whose plants have been replaced the first chance to operate Pauly plants and to purchase their plant equipment (not land) for scrapping. Purchasing plant equipment will not be considered until arrangements for operating Pauly plants have been made and equipment scrapping prices have been mutually agreed to have been mutually agreed to.

Seventh. Rebuilding or rehabilitating clay and ordinary concrete plants is estimated to consume 2,000,000 tons of castings and steel; also on completion of such a change an annual consumption of thirty-five to forty million barrels of cement.

Eighth. While rebuilding is under process, the number of employees in clay and ordinary concrete plants will not be affected, nor will the number of employees be affected when changes are made from manufacturing clay and ordinary concrete units to manufacturing Pauly poured (cast) cement concrete units. In fact, employment is likely to be increased when a more economical unit is marketed, especially one for producing hollow masonry units. units

Ninth. Pauly tile will make semifireproof homes possible and will be a substitute in every way for lumber in outer walls, thus helping to preserve our forests. Manufacturing Pauly units locally of ash, cinders, etc., will tend to reduce power and light cost. Laboratory test by a large power company indicates pulverized coal ash has pozzuolanic qualities similar to some volcanic ash.

Tenth. It is not believed that a question of quality for structural and other uses can be raised against poured (cast) concrete units, except when color in structural facing units enters the subject, and this exception can to a certain extent be overcome by using concrete aggregate with desired colors.

Eleventh. The strength and permeability of poured (cast) concrete units, where solid or hollow, can be scientifically regulated. If this were not a fact, regulating the strength and permeability of concrete in the Hoover Dam and reinforced-concrete structure floors, etc., would not be possible.

I have tried briefly herein to set forth reasons for developing a comparatively new industry, that of building houses and other structures from Pauly poured (cast) cement concrete units, namely, that it will help in this depression in the employment of idle labor. This material can be produced cheaper. It will save in freight. For these reasons production costs will be less, thus more conducive to development in spite of the so-called hard times. It is one industry that can truly be said to suffer from It is one industry that can truly be said to suffer from

times. It is one industry that can truly be said to suite from lack of credit. It is new.

Private credit hesitates to develop it. The Reconstruction Finance Corporation as it is now constituted is unable to assist it. It is without authority of law to do so.

Hence, your support in effecting an amendment to the present law granting credit to persons or companies with assured self-liquidating reproduction projects, whether they are of public or private nature, will be appreciated.

Yours very truly,

A. A. Pauly.

A. A. PAULY.

ENROLLED BILL PRESENTED

Mr. VANDENBERG, from the Committee on Enrolled Bills, reported that on the 21st instant that committee presented to the President of the United States the enrolled bill (S. 1863) to authorize the direct transfer of Widow's Island, Me., by the Secretary of the Navy to the Secretary of Agriculture for administration as a migratory-bird refuge.

BILLS INTRODUCED

Bills were introduced, read the first time, and, by unanimous consent, the second time, and referred as follows:

By Mr. SHEPPARD:

A bill (S. 5268) for the relief of J. H. Bowling; to the Committee on Claims.

By Mr. CAREY and Mr. THOMAS of Idaho:

A bill (S. 5269) to extend the operation of the act entitled "An act for the temporary relief of water users on irrigation projects constructed and operated under the reclamation law," approved April 1, 1932; to the Committee on Irrigation and Reclamation.

By Mr. REED:

A bill (S. 5270) to authorize the adjustment of a part of the western boundary line of the Plattsburg Barracks Military Reservation, N. Y.; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. COPELAND:

A bill (S. 5271) for the relief of the Great American Indemnity Co. of New York; to the Committee on Claims.

By Mr. McKELLAR:

A bill (S. 5272) granting a pension to Fred P. Lanier (with accompanying papers); to the Committee on Pensions,

By Mr. LOGAN:

A bill (S. 5273) authorizing national banks to establish branch banks, and to secure deposits; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

By Mr. NYE:

A bill (S. 5274) to regulate service of contest notices in all cases affecting mining locations or claims, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Public Lands and Surveys.

By Mr. ROBINSON of Indiana:

A bill (S. 5275) granting a pension to Blanch T. Stephenson (with accompanying papers); to the Committee on Pen-

By Mr. WHEELER:

A bill (S. 5276) granting a pension to James A. Boone (with accompanying papers); and

A bill (S. 5277) granting a pension to Sarah J. Gould (with accompanying papers); to the Committee on Pen-

By Mr. SHIPSTEAD:

A bill (S. 5278) for the relief of Henry R. Harris; and

A bill (S. 5279) for the relief of certain riparian owners for losses sustained by them on the drained Mud Lake bottom, in Marshall County, in the State of Minnesota; to the Committee on Claims.

TAX-EXEMPT SECURITIES—CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

Mr. ASHURST. Mr. President, I introduce a joint resolution, and ask that it be read at length and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

The joint resolution (S. J. Res. 224) proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States, relative to taxes on certain incomes, was read the first time by its title, and the second time at length, and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary, as follows:

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled (two-thirds of each House concurring therein), That the following article is proposed as an amendment to the Constitution of the United States, which shall be valid to all intents and purposes as a part of the Constitution when ratified by the legislatures of three-fourths of the several States:

"SECTION 1. The United States shall have power to lay and collect taxes on income derived from securities issued after the ratification of this article by or under the authority of any State, but without discrimination against income derived from such securities and in favor of income derived from securities issued after the ratification of this article by or under the

authority of the United States or any other State.

"Sec. 2. Each State shall have power to lay and collect taxes on income derived by its residents from securities issued after the ratification of this article by or under the authority of the United States, but without discrimination against income derived from such securities and in favor of income derived from securities issued after the ratification of this article by or under the authority of such State."

Mr. ASHURST. Mr. President, I do not wish at this time to discuss the joint resolution at length.

Our country is sitting in the shadow, and has for many months sat in the shadow, of a great fear. Property owners are mulcted by high taxes. Investors are reluctant to risk their money, hence they take refuge in tax-exempt securities. It would amaze-indeed, I use a stronger word-it would astound Senators to know the amount of money tied up in tax-exempt securities. In my judgment no property should escape taxation, and unless and until a constitutional amendment is submitted and ratified preventing the issuance hereafter of tax-exempt securities, money will continue to go into tax-exempt securities instead of going into business and industry which would pay wages.

Mr. President, I am pioneering no new movement. Some years ago this proposition was submitted to both Houses of Congress and by a few votes such a joint resolution was defeated in another branch of Congress, but there has been a vast change in the opinion of our countrymen, there has been a change in the opinion of Congress, and I believe that one of the reforms which would bring about a lessening of taxes and certainly would do justice would be to propose that hereafter neither a State nor the United States shall issue tax-exempt securities.

Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts. Mr. President, may I ask the Senator from Arizona about counties and municipali-

ties?

Mr. ASHURST. I am of the opinion that if we propose to tax the securities of a State, it would comprehend the political subdivisions of the State.

When I was a boy, I had a morbid fear of ghosts; of disembodied spirits. I shall not regale the Senate with the resulted.

interesting circumstances which relieved me of that morbid and silly fear under which I was for a time bound. Mr. President, the business interests of the United States are under a morbid fear comparable to the boyish fear of ghosts. Business does not see the apparition, the shade, the shadow, but business sees rather the corpse, the dead body of its investment, and until we can relieve the country of that shadow of fear which hangs over it, the depression will continue. Confidence must be restored; investors must be given to understand that they will not be mulcted out of their original investment by high taxes or amercements if we are ever to come from under the shadow of this devastating fear. Mr. President, if we were to announce to the country that hereafter neither the United States nor any State nor subdivision thereof will issue any more tax-exempt securities, then, in the language of a very great orator, we would be smiting the rock of the national resources, and abundant streams of revenue would gush forth.

Mr. BINGHAM. Mr. President-

The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Arizona yield to the Senator from Connecticut?

Mr. ASHURST. I yield. Mr. BINGHAM. The Senator has been a member of the Judiciary Committee for many years. I have had before that committee for some time a proposed amendment to the Constitution, providing that there shall be no more taxexempt securities issued, but I have never heard that the committee or any member of it took sufficient interest in it to have any action taken.

Mr. ASHURST. Mr. President, I admit that I was not aware that the Senator from Connecticut [Mr. BINGHAM] had introduced such a joint resolution. I am not surprised, however, that he has introduced such a proposal, because there is no more learned and philosophical Senator here than is the Senator from Connecticut. The action he has taken is a tribute to his courage and to his statesmanship and his accurate thinking, and I would cheerfully withdraw my own joint resolution, because I am sure that, master of parliamentary language and master of expression as he is, the resolution of the Senator from Connecticut would be more nearly correct than would be mine.

Mr. BINGHAM. May I say to the Senator that my resolution was not original with me? I took it from a measure in the House of Representatives some years ago, where, as the Senator remembers, the subject was debated in the House and the proposal was defeated only by a few votes. The measure passed by a large majority, but did not secure the requisite two-thirds.

Mr. ASHURST. Mr. President, I hope the Senator will come before the Judiciary Committee, when it convenes in January, and argue in behalf of his joint resolution. While it may be somewhat impolite, certainly not chivalrous, to refer to lame ducks, I think the Senator has humor enough to know that I mean no offense when I say that if he will make an argument, as he can do, in support of his resolution, I will state that I wish we had more such lame ducks in the Senate.

Mr. GORE. Mr. President-

The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Arizona yield to the Senator from Oklahoma?

Mr. ASHURST. I yield.

Mr. GORE. I merely wish to inquire whether this resolution contemplates or authorizes a tax on ghosts?

Mr. ASHURST. On ghosts?

Mr. GORE. Yes, sir.

Mr. ASHURST. Mr. President, I am not sufficiently familiar with the plans and purposes and events of another sphere of activity which is beyond this one to make the Senator any definite answer. I am not much of a mystic, and I do not see, if we should levy a tax upon them, how we could enforce its collection.

Mr. GORE. My point was that if we could levy a tax on ghosts of departed incomes we could raise abundant revenue. Last winter we indulged in the pastime of levying a phantom tax on phantom incomes, and only phantom revenues have

Mr. ASHURST. Mr. President, I appreciate the pungency of the Senator's statement, and I wish to say, in conclusion, that we must grapple with this question, sooner or later, everywhere. Our citizens have had every opportunity of purchasing tax-exempt securities, and who can blame them for doing so? It is lawful; such securities are legitimate investments; and who is there who would blame them for purchasing them?

Mr. BARKLEY. Mr. President-

The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Arizona yield to the Senator from Kentucky?

Mr. ASHURST. I yield. Mr. BARKLEY. Does the Senator take into consideration the fact that whatever tax may be levied on public securities of States, counties, and cities by the Federal Government will be reflected in an increased interest rate, which will have to be borne by the taxpayers of the States, counties, and cities in whose name the bonds are issued?

Mr. ASHURST. That might be true, but I think that all the evils of increased rates of interest would be counterbalanced or overweighed by the enormous public good that

would result by taxing all property.

Mr. BARKLEY. In other words, any increased revenue obtained by the Federal Government, if it should result in an increased rate of interest on public bonds which bear a low rate of interest because of their tax exemption, would be, in effect, allowing the Federal Government to take money out of the taxpayers of the States in order to increase its own revenues at their expense?

Mr. GORE. Mr. President-

The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Arizona yield to the Senator from Oklahoma?

Mr. ASHURST. I yield.

Mr. GORE. I desire to say, seriously, that Senators investigating this proposal might do well to reexamine the reports of Alexander Hamilton on public credit and on manufactures. He made the point that the essence of public credit and public securities is the promise of the Government to pay, and that if the Government reserved the right and exercised the right to tax its own promises to pay it could tax them out of existence-could destroy their value and lenders would not venture their money in public securities.

Mr. BINGHAM. Mr. President-

The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Arizona yield to the Senator from Connecticut?

Mr. ASHURST. I yield.

Mr. BINGHAM. May I call the Senator's attention to the fact that in the conference report on the Philippine independence bill, adopted this morning, the House receded and agreed to a Senate provision that the bonds and other obligations of the Philippine government or provincial and municipal governments hereafter issued shall not be exempt from taxation in the United States.

Mr. ASHURST. That is a very far step in the right direction.

Mr. President, there may be two sides, of course, to the question; but, for the life of me, I do not see how anyone can argue that morally and ethically anyone is entitled to have in his breast pocket or in his strong box millions upon millions of dollars' worth of securities upon which he pays no taxes whatever. If that is not wrong, then nothing is wrong.

Mr. GORE. Mr. President-

The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Arizona yield to the Senator from Oklahoma?

Mr. ASHURST. I yield.

Mr. GORE. Mr. President, I do not mean at this time to embark on a discussion of this very interesting subject, but here is one point which gives me pause: Suppose the amendment now suggested should become a part of the Constitution of the United States and the United States were involved in a desperate war and should make an offer of its securities to the public, which securities, under the resolution now being discussed, would be taxable by all the States and by all the counties and by all the cities; the required to do things that will be unpopular and that may

purchaser of those bonds would capitalize the prospective tax to be imposed by these various authorities and would subtract that capitalized tax from the amount which he would pay for the bonds in the first instance. So it would work out, in the long run, perhaps a more serious burden than the taxexempt securities themselves.

In addition to that, when an individual to-day buys taxexempt securities from some other owner, although it may be said that his capital is invested in a tax-exempt security instead of being invested in business or enterprise; yet the man from whom he has bought the bonds has received payment of the money, the capital, which is available for investment in business and presumably would be invested in business unless reinvested in some other tax-exempt security. In other words, when a tax-exempt security changes hands the purchaser has indeed placed his money in a tax-exempt investment but the seller receives the money, receives the purchase price, which is available for business-production or trade.

Mr. ASHURST. Mr. President, my reply to the learned Senator from Oklahoma is, first, that my resolution, and I understand the resolution of the Senator from Connecticut. does not propose to tax any of the securities that might be issued prior to the ratification of the proposed amendment. The able Senator from Oklahoma seems to descry some fear that in a great war money would not pour into the coffers of the country and that the country would be denied the power to obtain money.

Mr. President, in a great war who is it that stands to lose the most? Money. Mr. President, money has been accused in this Chamber and elsewhere of being unpatriotic, but certainly it is not bereft of intelligence.

Mr. FESS. Mr. President-

The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Arizona vield to the Senator from Ohio?

Mr. ASHURST. Let me finish the sentence, and then I will yield. Those who possess vast sums of money will realize first in time of war that if the Nation were unsuccessful their wealth would be of no value, and they would, I believe, from a selfish interest, if not from a patriotic motive, pour into the Treasury of their country the revenues needed. What a poor compliment to the patriotism of our country it is to say that we might lose a war unless we granted money and wealth relief from taxation! What a poor compliment to this country to indicate in the Senate that somebody would slack in patriotism and would not honorably endeavor to serve on the field of battle or in the lines of industry unless he could secure tax-exempt securities as a reward for his patriotism! Now I yield to the Senator from Ohio.

Mr. FESS. Mr. President, I should like to make a brief observation, if the Senator will permit me.

Mr. ASHURST. Certainly.

Mr. FESS. The issuance of tax-exempt securities-and the practice of issuing such securities has been continued pretty regularly—has been condemned on all sides, so much so that at times I thought there would be no difficulty in preventing it. However, I have noticed, as the Senator has no doubt noticed, that, with a general conviction that such a practice ought not to be indulged in, we constantly violate that conviction whenever any proposal comes up here, such as the farm-land bank or the joint-stock land bank or other measures, in connection with which we permit the issuance of debentures or bonds. In almost all such instances we put the tax-exempt feature in the law. What strikes me is that we never have any opposition to it; it goes through practically unanimously.

Mr. ASHURST. I have been just as guilty of that practice as anyone here has been.

Mr. SHORTRIDGE. But a different time has now come. Mr. ASHURST. As the Senator from California very pertinently suggests, Mr. President, we are at the crossroads of history. No man knows what may come within a year or a month. We have reached a point where we must take advanced ground; we must boldly seize the nettle of life and grasp it, not avoid responsibility. We will be

relegate some of us to private life; but what of that? The Government got along very well before we came into public life. We must be courageous, bold, willing to advance, and not sit idle and say because we have had one hundred and forty and odd years of tax-exempt securities we should continue to have them.

I say, in reply to the Senator from Oklahoma, if we refuse to take the necessary action for fear of being laughed at or carped at we shall take root here where we stand or stand staid statues only.

Mr. GORE. Mr. President, I have listened almost enthralled to the grandiloquent remarks of the Senator from Arizona.

Mr. ASHURST. If I could enlighten the Senator, instead of enthralling him, I would be happy.

Mr. GORE. I am glad to see a Senator who trembles on so many occasions and is so daring, dashing, on this occasion. However, Mr. President, the Senator says that money is intelligent; let us admit whatever else it has or has not, it has that attribute. When the United States, whether in peace or in war, offers an issue of bonds to the people of this country, if those bonds are subject to taxation by 48 States, by 3,200 counties, and by 1,000 cities and municipalities, the intelligence of money will compute the probable tax and will exaggerate rather than underestimate the tax, and will subtract that capitalized taxation from the price which it pays for those bonds in the first instance. Money is a little too intelligent to be taxed by such an ad captandum proposition as this.

I realize the purpose the Senator has in view, but this proposition is futile. It will not a complish the result.

Mr. ASHURST. Mr. President-

The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Oklahoma yield to the Senator from Arizona?

Mr. GORE. I do.

Mr. ASHURST. I have the greatest respect for the matured views of the Senator from Oklahoma. He asked me about ghosts. Now he has raised up a whole array of invisible spirits. He pictures, or tries to picture, a bondholder as being taxed by the Federal Government, by the State, by the county, and by the city. Why, the farms of our land are taxed by the Federal Government indirectly, by the State, by the county, by the district, and by the precinct. Why does the Senator, therefore, seek to secure for bondholders a privilege not enjoyed by other classes of citizens?

Mr. GORE. Mr. President, I do not wish to confer a privilege on the bondholder or anyone else; neither do I wish to do a vain thing, and least of all to deceive myself. I think taxes should be based on wealth and not on want and should be measured by ability to pay. The Federal Government does not impose a tax on farms; but if there be anything in our present system of taxing farm lands which commends itself to the Senator as a precedent, I can not follow him to that conclusion.

The only point I make is that this proposal would be futile. We are going through a pantomime. We are attempting to tax the moneyed class when they buy securities, and we may deceive some people into the belief that we are successful in this attempt. The attempt, however, will be abortive. The bondholder will elude this tax by paying less for the bonds.

Mr. CONNALLY. Mr. President, will the Senator yield? The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Oklahoma yield to the Senator from Texas?

Mr. GORE. Yes.

Mr. CONNALLY. Right on that point, may I call the Senator's attention to the fact that some years ago a similar joint resolution was presented in the House of Representatives. It was exhaustively debated. Mr. Mellon was the proponent of that joint resolution at that time. It proposed to remove the tax exemption.

On the point the Senator is now discussing I desire to call his attention to the fact that during the war we issued $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent tax-free bonds, absolutely tax free. We also issued $4\frac{1}{4}$, $4\frac{1}{2}$, and $4\frac{3}{4}$ per cent bonds that paid a surtax

only to the Federal Government. In the course of that discussion I computed the statistics in the Treasury Department, and I found that on those higher issues the Treasury Department had paid out over \$100,000,000 of increased interest over and above what it would have paid on the $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent bonds and got back in taxes less than \$25,000,000. So that the practical operation of taxing those bonds, even on the surtax, resulted in the Government's paying out over \$100,000,000 in increased interest rates, because of the fact that they were taxable, and getting back less than \$25,000,000 in the form of taxes.

I thought that might be of interest.

Mr. GORE. I thank the Senator for his valuable contribution to this debate. I was regretting that I did not have in mind the statistics he has just cited. I did not know what the practical result had been, but I felt certain that the fact would correspond with what he has said. It could not be otherwise.

Mr. COPELAND. Mr. President, will the Senator yield to me before he takes his seat?

The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Oklahoma yield to the Senator from New York?

Mr. GORE. I yield; yes.

Mr. COPELAND. The Senator said a moment ago that money is intelligent.

Mr. GORE. I was quoting the Senator from Arizona [Mr. Ashurst]. I disclaim any responsibility and withhold any commitment either way.

Mr. COPELAND. I should like to express my view about it. That is of little consequence; but I do not think money is intelligent just now. What this country needs more than anything else is credit. There can be no restoration of business in America until credit is reestablished. The merchants in the small towns can not fill up their shelves because they can get no credit. The banks have dried up credit. So if it is stated that money is intelligent, no matter who made the statement, I dispute it, because to my mind what we need more than anything else is the circulation of money which comes from the establishment of credit, and the willingness of men who hold tax-exempt securities, or any other securities, whether the holder may be an individual or may be a bank, to grant credit. Until that money is made available to the people, and until credit is established, there can be no restoration of business in America and no relief of this economic depression. That is my solemn conviction.

Mr. GORE. Mr. President, I have great respect for the Senator's solemn convictions, but I differ from him fundamentally on the point that the great need of the country is increased credit. I should have expected that argument to come last in this Chamber from the Senator from New York. He is a physician by profession. Better than anyone else, he knows that the cause of the disease must be eradicated in order to relieve the patient.

My judgment is that one of the fundamental causes of this depression, of this unexampled debacle, was the use, the misuse, the overuse, and the abuse of credit. That is one of the major causes, if not the controlling cause.

When this breakdown occurred in 1929 the people of this country had succeeded in obtaining credit to the amount of \$203,000,000,000. That indicates that credit had not been scarce or overdifficult to obtain. At that time our national wealth was estimated to be \$360,000,000,000, as against an indebtedness of \$203,000,000,000. It is now estimated that our national wealth has shrunk to \$180,000,000,000, and that we have paid off some \$20,000,000,000 of our indebtedness, reducing it to approximately \$180,000,000,000. So that to-day our national wealth and our national debts are just about in balance. We balanced that budget, at any rate.

I do not believe that the best way to get out of debt is to get further into debt. I do not believe that we can ever get out of debt by borrowing money to pay our debts. I remarked at a meeting of the Committee on Banking and Currency this morning that I sometimes think it would be a public service if we should make it a crime to use the word "credit." It is an alluring word. It is soft. It is euphonious. It is as seductive as the siren's song—credit and more credit until you once sign on the dotted line; and from that fated hour forward it is no longer credit. It is debt—debt, with all its evils and with all its agonies.

If we would make it harder for our people to obtain credit than easier, had we done so in the past, it would have minimized the calamities of this day. There is not any doubt but that the easy-credit policy of the Federal Reserve Board in 1927, when it made the discount rate as low at $3\frac{1}{2}$ per cent, invited this disaster.

Mr. COPELAND. Mr. President-

The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Oklahoma further yield to the Senator from New York?

Mr. GORE. I yield; yes, sir.

Mr. COPELAND. I do not believe there is the slightest difference of opinion between the Senator from Oklahoma and myself. Any sane man must object to the sort of credit he has been describing. But what is the farmer going to do who has a mortgage upon his farm and the banker or the holder of the mortgage is seeking to foreclose it? Unless there can be an extension of credit to that farmer, he is in distress.

Many, many thousands of our citizens have equities in homes. They are likely to lose those homes by reason of the fact that credit can not be extended. How does the Senator imagine for a moment that there can be any restoration of happiness and prosperity in the country until there shall be extension of these credits?

How can the merchant in the small town, who heretofore has had a credit at the bank of \$25,000 and now has been cut down to \$10,000, carry on the normal operations of his business—a business which he has carried on in the past by reason of the credit which he has had at the bank?

Those are the credits of which I am speaking. I am not speaking of credits which have resulted in an orgy of gambling, the sort of credits which have been extended and which have made possible the things that have gone on in Wall Street. I am speaking about the sort of credits which would make it possible for the country to resume its normal operations, because in its normal operations there was a well-established line of credit for every man who had business.

That is the sort of credit of which I am speaking; and I am convinced that there can be no restoration of business until such credit is reestablished.

Mr. BAILEY. Mr. President-

The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator from Oklahoma yield to the Senator from North Carolina?

Mr. GORE. I yield.

Mr. BAILEY. Is not this question entirely academic? There is nothing before us relating to it. There is no bill here dealing with it. It is a constitutional amendment that is in the far future. Am I not right about that?

The VICE PRESIDENT. The debate grows out of the request of the Senator from Arizona to present a joint resolution to be referred to the Committee on the Judiciary, and the joint resolution will be so referred.

Mr. BAILEY. And that is all that could possibly happen to the joint resolution?

The VICE PRESIDENT. At this time; yes.

Mr. BAILEY. Very well.

Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts. Mr. President-

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Senator from Oklahoma has the floor.

Mr. GORE. I yield to the Senator from Massachusetts.

Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts. I desire to bring up another subject. Has the Senator finished?

Mr. GORE. I will yield the floor. On some other occasion I may take the floor to discuss the suggestion of the Senator from New York. I do not think more debt is the remedy for too much debt, yet I appreciate his point that debts constitute the center of gravity of this trouble. For my part I want more and better markets, not more and heavier debts.

HOUSE BILL REFERRED

The bill (H. R. 13742) to provide revenue by the taxation of certain nonintoxicating liquors, and for other purposes, was read twice by its title.

Mr. ROBINSON of Arkansas. I ask that the bill be referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Is there objection?

Mr. HARRISON. I am very anxious that that order shall be made. It is understood, as I understand it, that the Judiciary Committee is going to study certain features of the measure and report it back, and then it will be referred to the Committee on Finance.

Mr. ROBINSON of Arkansas. That is the anticipation. The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, the bill will be referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

THE EIGHTEENTH AMENDMENT

Mr. GORE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the resolution (S. Res. 259) for the preparation of constitutional amendments based on the declarations contained in the Republican and Democratic National Convention platforms respecting prohibition, submitted by me on June 30 (calendar day of July 5), last, be taken from the table and referred to the Committee on the Judiciary.

There being no objection, the resolution was referred to the Committee on the Judiciary, as follows:

Whereas the Republican Party in national convention assembled has declared in favor of modifying the eighteenth amendment to the Constitution; and

Whereas the Democratic Party has in like manner declared in favor of repealing the eighteenth amendment to the Constitution; and

Whereas it will soon become the duty of Congress to consider the question of submitting one or the other or both of such proposed amendments to the people of the several States for ratification or rejection: Therefore be it

Resolved, That the Judiciary Committee be directed to prepare and report to the Senate two constitutional amendments, one based on the declaration contained in the Republican national platform respecting the eighteenth amendment, and the other based on the declaration contained in the Democratic national platform respecting said eighteenth amendment.

VERDE RIVER IRRIGATION AND POWER DISTRICT

Mr. ASHURST. Mr. President, more than five months ago the Verde River Irrigation and Power District, organized under the law of Arizona, applied to the Reconstruction Finance Corporation for a loan, but so far as I am advised the loan has not been granted. I ask leave to submit the following resolution, which I ask may be read and referred to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

The resolution (S. Res. 309) was read and referred to the Committee on Banking and Currency, as follows:

Resolved, That the Reconstruction Finance Corporation be, and is hereby, requested to report to the Senate whether any loan had been made by the said Reconstruction Finance Corporation to the Verde River Irrigation and Power District, organized under the laws of Arizona, and if not why not?

ADDITIONAL FOREIGN MARKETS

Mr. COPELAND submitted the following resolution (S. Res. 310), which was referred to the Committee on Commerce:

Resolved, That the Committee on Commerce is directed to consider whether it would be feasible and advisable to establish a joint committee of Congress to investigate the possibility of creating additional markets for American industrial and agricultural products in foreign countries, particularly in India, China, and other Asiatic countries, and to make recommendations to the Congress with respect to appropriate methods of stimulating the sale of American products in foreign countries. The committee shall report to the Senate as soon as practicable, but not later than the expiration of the second session of the Seventy-second Congress, the results of its deliberations, together with its recommendations.

SUSPENSION OF EXECUTIVE ORDER RELATING TO VOCATIONAL EDUCATION

Mr. NORBECK submitted the following resolution (S. Res. 311), which was ordered to lie on the table:

Whereas on June 30, 1932, the Congress enacted provisions for the reorganization of executive departments and to accomplish the purposes as set forth in section 401 of Title IV, Part II, of the act authorizing the President of the United States by Executive order to transfer executive agencies to and from departments and to designate titles and duties of officials connected therewith: and

Whereas on June 7, 1932, certain amendments to the act of June 30, 1932, were approved by the Senate with the expressed intention of excluding the Federal Board for Vocational Educa-

intention of excluding the Federal Board for Vocational Education from the group of executive agencies included in Title IV,
sections 403 to 407, inclusive, of the act of June 30, 1932; and
Whereas the expressed intention of the Senate in approving
this amendment is clearly shown on page 12156 of the Congressional Record of June 7, 1932, in the statement of Mr. Bratton
(a member of the Senate Economy Committee), "Mr. President,
as a member of the committee—and I think I voice the views of
the other members—I may say it was not intended to abolish vocational education. It was not intended to merge that service
into any other department or bureau * * ": Therefore be it
Resolved, That the Executive order dated December 9, 1932.

Resolved, That the Executive order dated December 9, 1932, ordering "the administrative duties, powers, and functions of the Federal Board for Vocational Education which are hereby transferred to the Office of Education, and the board shall serve in an advisory capacity to the Secretary of the Interior," be, and the same is hereby, disapproved in accordance with the provisions of section 407 of Title IV of Part II of the act approved June 30,

Mr. NORBECK. Mr. President, I have to-day introduced Senate Resolution No. 311 to suspend the effect of the Executive order, bringing the Vocational Education Board and work under the Secretary of the Interior.

Mr. President, the message of the President of the United States dated December 9, 1932, transmitting Executive orders for the consolidation and grouping of education, health, and recreation activities in the Department of the Interior, provides on page 29 that "the administrative duties, powers, and functions of the Federal Board for Vocational Education which are hereby transferred to the Office of Education, and the board shall serve in an advisory capacity to the Secretary of the Interior."

The intent of Congress with respect to the continuance of the Federal Board for Vocational Education, with the full exercise of its functions, is clearly set forth in the Congres-SIONAL RECORD of June 7, 1932, page 12155, during the discussion of the so-called economy act, as follows:

Mr. Norbeck. Mr. President, among other powers conferred upon the President in the way of consolidation there is one relat-ing to education. The question has been raised whether that would place the Board of Vocational Training under one of the Cabinet officers, whether it meant standardization and centralization of education to the point where a board composed partly of Cabinet officers might become a bureau under another Cabinet officer. I address myself to some member of the committee that drafted the measure to see what it really refers to. Can the

drafted the measure to see what it really refers to. Can the Senator from New Mexico answer my question?

Mr. Bratton. Mr. President, as a member of the committee—and I think I voice the views of the other members—I may say it was not intended to abolish vocational education. It was not intended to merge that service into any other department or bureau. * * * [naming various educational bureaus that might be consolidated] * * * The object the committee had in might was to authorize a coordination of these various services. in mind was to authorize a coordination of these various services

but it was not intended to abolish vocational education.

Mr. Norbeck. Nor to place that board under another depart-

Mr. Bratton. No; that was not intended. Mr. Norbeck. I thank the Senator from New Mexico.

Senator Blaine then introduced an amendment to the economy bill to definitely carry out the expressed intention above quoted. This amendment, in substance, was adopted without a dissenting vote. Preceding the adoption of the amendment, I again quote from the Congressional Record of June 7, 1932, page 12156.

Mr. Bratton. * * * It was not our purpose to disturb vocational education nor to abolish it. We had other services in mind. So far as I am concerned I am willing to accept the amendment which will carry that thought into execution.

The order of the President transferring the Federal Board for Vocational Education to the Department of the Interior is not in accord with the expressed intent of Congress as shown by the excerpts from the RECORD of June 7, 1932. Furthermore, I do not believe such a transfer would come within the declared policy of Congress as expressed in the economy act of June 30, 1932.

Mr. President, I have a brief, prepared by Paul M. Chapman, of the State College of Agriculture, Athens, Ga., reviewing this matter. Mr. Chapman has been one of the

strong forces in the promotion of vocational education and, incidentally, in the legislation to protect same. I ask that same may be printed in the RECORD.

The VICE PRESIDENT. It is so ordered.

The brief is as follows:

THE DECLARED POLICY OF CONGRESS

Section 401 of the economy act of June 30, 1932, is quoted to the effect that it is the declared policy of Congress to group, coordinate, and consolidate Government agencies "in order to further reduce expenditures and increase efficiency in government."

To bring any proposed change under the authority of this section it would accordingly seem necessary to introduce evidence that the proposed change will in fact either effect some economy

or improve efficiency.

It is not clear in what way, if at all, the proposed transfer of the powers and duties of the Federal Board for Vocational Education to the Department of the Interior will do either of these

things.

In this instance, therefore, the proposed transfer does not appear to be in line with any declared policy of Congress or to be within the authorization of section 401 of the economy act.

THE "MAJOR PURPOSE" OF THE REGROUPING

The procedures by which the declared policy of Congress to effect economy or improve efficiency were to be realized were specifically designated in section 401. These included grouping Government agencies "as nearly as may be, according to major purpose."

The major purpose justifying the proposed transfer of the Federal Board for Vocational Education to the Department of the Interior in the newly created Division of Education, Health, and

Recreation would appear to be education.

It is true that the functions of the Federal board are educational. This educational character of the board's functions is recognized in the composition of the board, which includes the Commissioner of Education as a member. But vocational education is also an economic program, involving the economic interests of labor of sericulture and of manufacturing. In recognitions of tion is also an economic program, involving the economic interests of labor, of agriculture, and of manufacturing. In recognition of its economic character, Congress in the act creating the board provided that the economic as well as the educational interests involved should be represented on the board. This representative character of the board was determined upon by Congress in response to the widespread and insistent demand of chambers of commerce, manufacturers' associations, organized agriculture, and labor organizations that the administration of the vocational education act should be practical and not be dominated by academic interests. demic interests.

WHY CONGRESS CREATED AN INDEPENDENT REPRESENTATIVE BOARD TO ADMINISTER VOCATIONAL EDUCATION

In the years preceding enactment of the vocational education act of 1917 Congress gave very careful consideration to the nature of the agency to be set up as the Federal agency for administering the act and for cooperation with State boards for vocational education. A summary of the various proposals presented to Congress in 1916 and 1917, and of the reasons which induced Congress to create an independent representative board, was introduced by Representative LaGuardia in a speech before the House, April 29, 1932.

It appears from this summary that Mr. Smith, of Georgia, on March 16, 1916, requested to have printed in the RECORD certain resolutions with reference to the vocational education bill which had been reported with the unanimous approval of the Senate Committee on Education and Labor, providing for a board of control consisting of Cabinet members. This bill had been submitted to the department of superintendence of the National Education Association, the American Home Economics Association, and the educational committee of the American Federation of Labor. These organizations through committees had given careful study to the bill, and had indorsed it with exception that they favored a board of control different from that proposed in the bill. Mr. Smith asked that the following resolutions from these organizations be printed in the Record:

RESOLUTIONS

[From department of superintendence, National Education Association, February 24, 1916]

"Resolved, That the department reaffirms its approval of Federal aid to vocational education as proposed in the Smith-Hughes bill and now before Congress. It believes, however, that the work to be done is so important and so diversified as to require the creation of a Federal board to administer the act, who shall give their undivided attention to the subject and who shall be representative of the educational interests to be served."

[From American Federation of Labor]

"Resolved, That the executive council of the American Federation of Labor indorse the Smith-Hughes bill for industrial education with the declarations made by the National Society for the Promotion of Industrial Education as contained in the quoted parts of the letter to Congress of January 27, 1916."

[From American Home Economics Association, February 25, 1916] "The American Home Economics Association, assembled in Detroit, reaffirms its approval of Federal aid to vocational educa-tion as provided for by the Smith-Hughes bill, recommended by the President's Commission on National Aid to Vocational Educa-

"The association believes, however, that the ends to be served are so important and so diversified as to require a Federal board, the members of which shall give their undivided attention to the administration of the act and shall be representative of the interests

to be served."

The following excerpts, introduced by Representative LaGuardia, from the Congressional Record of 1916 and 1917, summarize briefly the subsequent discussion in Congress and the reasons which induced Congress to set up an independent representative board:

[Sixty-fourth Congress, second session, vol. 54, pt. 1, pp. 720-721, December 11, 1916]

"Mr. Powers. In other words, if this board should be composed of educators as suggested, with the Commissioner of Education, of course, himself an educator, it would be within the power of the board to allot the entire \$200,000, or any part of it it might deem proper, to the Bureau of Education to make these investigations. se of us who are afraid that a Federal board would be appointed largely of educators believe that this section 6 should be so amended that the great industries of this country, that the bill proposes to try to reach and help, should have representation on the board, and that they should be called in from the fields of agriculture, and that the commercial interests should be represented. The purpose of the bill is to reach and prepare the students for useful employment. That is the idea of it; that is the foundation of it; that is the reason of its existence."

[Sixty-fourth Congress, second session, pt. 1, pp. 175, 176, 177, December 11, 1916]

* * I wish to discuss it now rather than to wait until the bill shall actually come before us for considera-tion, because I think it is a matter of such importance that the success or failure of this bill when it is enacted into law will de-pend very largely upon how Congress shall deal with that funda-mental point, and I sincerely hope that between now and the time when this bill shall be actually considered by us the membership of this House will give serious consideration to the matter that I propose to discuss. That matter is the method of the organization of this Federal board.

"As Doctor Fess has stated, the Senate bill provides for an ex officio board composed of five members of the Cabinet. The House bill provides for a board consisting of five members, four of them to be appointed by the President of the United States, no more than two of whom shall belong to the same political body, and the fifth member, the Commissioner of Education, who shall ex officio be a member of the board. Now, to my mind, neither of these systems or methods will bring about the result that ought to be gained by the enactment of this bill. There are only two grounds upon which Federal aid for this purpose can to my mind be justified: One, to secure the establishment of practical standards of vocational education; second, to stimulate the States by Federal aid to accept these standards. I have no sympathy with the view sometimes expressed that the Federal Government should aid the States in carrying the burdens of vocational education. On the contrary, any State that to-day has any practical system of vocational education can well afford to continue it out of its own funds, for there is no expenditure that the State can make that will bring better or larger returns to it than a practical system of vocational education. But it is necessary to establish practical standards, and in order to secure the adoption of those standards it is necessary that Federal aid such as is proposed in this bill be

given.

"Now, the House bill provides that the Commissioner of Education shall ex officio be the chairman of the board; that four members shall be appointed by the President. And I am afraid that that is going to mean that the fixing of these standards and the control of this subject will be in the hands of general educators rather than in the hands of practical men. And I want to say very frankly that I do not believe general educators are qualified to fix standards for vecetional education such as we quiet to have to fix standards for vocational education such as we ought to have in the United States. It is no reflection upon any general educa-tor when I say that any more than it might be considered to be

tor when I say that any more than it might be considered to be a reflection upon me if some one should say that I was not qualified to perform a surgical operation.

"In the fixing of these standards we will agree that they should be practical standards. They should be standards such as, when adopted by the State, are going to result in training boys and girls for vocations. Is that going to be secured unless those standards are passed upon by practical men? If not passed upon by practical men they will be fixed by an examination in the field, in the first instance, by trained men it is true, college graduates trained investigators in getting ray material but who have field, in the first instance, by trained men it is true, college graduates, trained investigators in getting raw material, but who have never had any practical experience in industry or in trade. They in turn will send their reports in to the Bureau of Labor or other department, as the case may be. That raw material will be interpreted by experts who have never had any practical experience; and, finally, general educators through these channels will fix the standards, and they themselves are not practical men in these lines. So we have theory from beginning to end as against the fixing of standards by practical men. So it is my purpose at the proper time to offer an amendment providing, as the House bill provides, for five members of this general board, the Commissioner of Education to be a member ex officio, 4 members to be appointed by the President of the United States, but with the qualifications that 1 of those members shall be representative of labor, 1 of them representative of manufacturing, 1 representative of commerce, and 1 representative of agriculture. And

I want to say, Mr. Chairman and gentlemen of the committee, that this method, which I shall at the proper time propose, is not only indorsed but it is urged by the United States Chamber of Commerce, by the National Association of Manufacturers, and by the American Federation of Labor.

"Mr. Lengoot. Coming back to where I was interrupted, where I was stating that the method I proposed has the indorsement of the National Chamber of Commerce, the National Association of Manufacturers, and the American Federation of Labor, I want to suggest that if upon any question the American Federation of Labor and the National Association of Manufacturers can agree, it is a matter of very serious consideration for the membership of this House. With reference to the United States Chamber of Commerce, the Members of the House no doubt have received a pamphlet from them where the chamber of commerce specifically asks for the amendment of the bill in the certain particulars asks for the amendment of the bill in the certain particulars that I propose to offer as an amendment here. The National Association of Manufacturers has adopted a resolution declaring that, in their opinion, that board should be made up of the interests specially to be advanced—labor, employment, and education. The American Federation of Labor in its report to the executive committee made at their annual convention last month used this language:

"We had hoped that the provisions of the act relative to the board would have been changed so that the Secretaries of the board would have been changed so that the Secretaries of the several departments of Agriculture, Interior, Commerce, and Labor would not have been delegated as the board. We felt that their duties are already altogether too onerous and too complex to have this additional responsibility thrust upon them. There is in addition a double danger in having department secretaries serve as the Federal Board for Vocational Education—first, administrations and administrative officials are subject to quadrennial changes, and it has happened in the past that sometimes Cabinet members have been changed several times during an administration. This objection in itself should be sufficient for us to object to the Federal Board for Vocational Education being so constituted. The second objection is a more serious one, namely, that of the possible injection of partisanship into the administration of this new field of educational effort.

"'It is our opinion that this new board should be composed of

of this new field of educational effort.

"It is our opinion that this new board should be composed of representative men but not partisan representatives of the administration in power. Its personnel should represent the great fundamental activities of life, namely, agriculture, labor, commerce, industry, and education, and the local advisory boards should be equally representative, so that the human activities of the Republic could feel assured that experienced, tested men from their own vocations, such as labor, commerce, agriculture, industry, and education, should be fairly and efficiently represented.

"It is our opinion that when this measure is once launched it should be directed along proper channels at the start. If we permit politicians to direct its energies, there is a danger that it may become a mere political adjunct of the party in power. If we permit the present academic educational group of the Nation to dominate, the whole force and virtue of genuine vocational trade training will be in danger of being lost sight of, and the Nation's appropriations will probably be misdirected along minor lines of endeavor, such as manual training, amateur mechanics, and other endeavor, such as manual training, amateur mechanics, and other trifling, impractical, valueless schemes. Neither can we afford to permit this great measure to be overweighted by any special trade, commercial, or vocational interests. The agriculturists should not predominate, neither should the commercial or even the labor and industrial interests. We should insist that the board be properly balanced to start with and that the interest of each of the great divisions of activity should be fairly and properly conserved, and unless we are otherwise instructed by this convention we shall make endeavors to change the proposed law according to the lines berein laid down!" herein laid down.'

"That, Mr. Chairman, is the view of the American Federation of Labor. Now, I submit that the views of the manufacturers and of labor, when they agree upon a proposition like this, are entitled not only to weight in this House, but in a matter of this kind ought, it seems to me, to control, rather than the views of the ought, it seems to he, to control, rather than the views of the general educators, who very humanly desire to have for themselves all the power that they can get. It is no reflection, as I said a moment ago, upon the general educators that they desire this power; but if this is to be a workable and successful measure, as I hope it will be, we ought to do everything within our power to make it practical in every sense of the word."

[From the Congressional Record, pp. 769-770, January 2, 1917] "Mr. Borland. Is not a board a rather clumsy method of doing

"Mr. Fess. No; not if it is a small board.

"Mr. Borland. There is a tendency to create more boards than we really need. In fact, I very gravely doubt—and it is my one doubt about this bill—whether we need this Federal education board, and whether it would not be entirely better and more efficient and appropriate to have it managed by the Federal super-intended of education or Commissioner of Education intended of education or Commissioner of Education.

"Mr. Fess. I think the work is so very comprehensive that it ought to have a board of managers well equipped for this particular work.

[From Congressional Record, p. 3426, conference report No. 1495, February 16, 1917]

"The measure as it passed the Senate provided that the Federal Board for Vocational Education be composed of the Postmaster General, the Secretary of the Interior, the Secretary of Agriculture.

the Secretary of Commerce, and the Secretary of Labor, and the board was authorized to select an advisory board of seven members. A number of experts and specialists were also authorized to assist the board.

"The measure as it passed the House provided for the appointment by the President of a representative of manufacturing intera representative of commercial interests other than manufacturing, a representative of labor, and a representative of agriculture to act with the United States Commissioner of Education as a board of five to administer the act, and provided for the employment of such assistants as might be necessary.

"The provision agreed to by the conferees is a blending of the

two proposals, so that the new system is to be linked with the Government by the designation of the Secretary of Agriculture, the Secretary of Commerce, the Secretary of Labor, and the Commissioner of Education as ex officio members of the board, and the appointment by the President, with the advice and consent of the Senate, of a representative of the manufacturing and commercial interests, a representative of the agricultural interests, and a representative of labor to act with them as members of the

"The House receded from its amendments to the bill, which had the effect of merely 'authorizing' the appropriations, and the ap-propriations are definitely made in the bill as reported from conference."

The major purpose of vocational education is to provide vocational training for our boys and girls and adults of all ages engaged in or preparing for entrance into agricultural, trade, industrial, commercial, and home-making pursuits. It is vitally essential for the promotion of this program that these fields of economic activity be represented in the administration of the Federal act. Closer association with those who are primarily interested in academic education will not compensate for the separation of relationships with the economic interests involved, and for abolition of representative control. Vocational education in this country could not be the control. try could not have developed under academic control, and it can not continue with unimpaired efficiency except by maintaining those intimate contacts with the economic interests involved, which it is now proposed to sever by immediate transfer of func-tions and eventually by legislation abolishing the representative

OVERLAPPING AND DUPLICATION OF EFFORT

The President notes a second procedure by which Congress proposed to effect economy or improve efficiency under section 401, namely, by elimination of overlapping and duplication of effort.

No other agency of the Government is charged with the duty

of promoting of promoting vocational education or vocational rehabilitation of persons disabled in industry or otherwise. No overlapping or duplication of effort can develop in the performance of these duties, which are entirely unique in character. The promotion of vocational programs in the States and local communities is effected under statutory provisions for cooperation of the Federal board with State boards for vocational education. No other agency of the Government is in any way whatever involved in this coopera-tion with State boards, except in so far as other Government agencies—the Departments of Labor, Commerce, and Agriculture, and the Office of Education being specifically designated—may co-operate with the Federal Board for Vocational Education in the conduct of studies investigations and reports to aid the States in conduct of studies, investigations, and reports to aid the States in developing their programs. This cooperation of departments of the Government with the Federal Board for Vocational Education would be made more difficult by transfer of the board's staff to a division in the Department of the Interior and abolition of the Federal board.

ESTABLISHMENT OF A DIVISION OF EDUCATION, HEALTH, AND RECREA-TION IN THE DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

The composition of the division of education, health, and recrea tion proposed by the President to be established in the Department of the Interior is indicated in the President's message as follows:

- "I have established a division of education, health, and recre tion in the Department of the Interior and have designated that one of the assistant secretaries shall be called 'Assistant Secretary of Interior for Education, Health, and Recreation,' and have trans-
- ferred to that division the following organizations and functions:
 "1. The Office of Education, now in the Department of the Interior
- "2. Howard University, now in the Department of the Interior.
 "3. The Columbia Institution for the Deaf, now in the Department of the Interior.
- The American Printing House for the Blind, which is trans-
- ferred from the Treasury Department to the Office of Education.

 "5. The administrative duties, powers, and functions of the Federal Board for Vocational Education, which are transferred to the Office of Education, and the board shall serve in an advisory capacity to the Secretary of the Interior.
- The Bureau of Indian Affairs, now in the Department of
- the Interior.

 "7. The Public Health Service, which is transferred from the
- Treasury Department to the Department of the Interior.

 "8. The Division of Vital Statistics, which is transferred from the Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce, to the Public Health Service in the Department of the Interior.

 "9. St. Elizabeths Hospital, now in the Department of the Interior.
- Interior. "10. Freedman's Hospital, now in the Department of the Interior.
 "11. The National Park Service, now in the Department of the Interior.

"12. The national parks, monuments, and cemeteries, which are transferred from the War Department to the Department of the Interior !

POWER TO SERVE IN AN ADVISORY CAPACITY TO THE SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR

The President proposes in paragraph 5, quoted above, to direct by Executive order that the Federal board shall serve in an advisory capacity to the Secretary of the Interior.

The Federal board has no statutory power to serve in an advisory capacity to any executive or administrative agency. This Executive order, therefore, proposes to reserve to the board a statutory function which it does not possess, namely, the power of advising an independent Federal executive. The only powers which the board has, namely, those which it is authorized to exercise as the Federal agency of cooperation with the States in promoting their State and local programs of vocational education, are to be conferred by Executive order upon the Secretary of the Interior, to be exercised by him through an Assistant Secretary of Education, Health, and Recreation in the Office of Education, provisionally with the personnel of the board's staff.

of Education, Health, and Recreation in the Office of Education, provisionally with the personnel of the board's staff.

Incidentally it may be noted that these powers so transferred necessarily include the judicial as well as the purely administrative powers of the board, since only so-called "advisory" powers, which it is assumed the board has, are reserved to the board. Such a transfer of judicial powers to an executive agency is inconsistent with the fundamental principles of separation of judicials.

consistent with the fundamental principles of separation of judicial from administrative functions in accordance with which the regrouping of agencies has been proposed.

It is stated (page I of the message) that under the orders issued the administrative functions of many commissions have been "placed under various departments, the commissions retaining their advisory functions only." The separation of powers in the Executive order as "administrative" and "advisory" is, in the case of the Federal board, purely factitious. It is apparently made in consideration of the limitation placed upon the Executive in the provision of the economy act that statutory boards may not be abolished by Executive orders. abolished by Executive orders.

not be abolished by Executive orders.

Furthermore, the creation by Executive order of "advisory" functions for the Federal Board for Vocational Education would appear to be of doubtful legality. The functions of the Federal board, administrative and other, as defined in the fundamental act creating the board, relate entirely to the development of State and local programs of vocational education under cooperative arrangements with State boards for vocational education. They are, and must be, exercised with and through the board's staff in dealing with and assisting State boards. To order that staff in dealing with and assisting State boards. To order that the Federal Board for Vocational Education shall henceforth act in an "advisory capacity" to the Secretary of the Interior would appear to be in contravention of these provisions of the fundamental act defining the powers of the board as an agency of cooperation with the States.

To sum up: The board has no statutory authority to act in an advisory capacity to any executive agency of the Government. No such power, therefore, can be reserved to the board to act in an advisory character to the Secretary of the Interior. An act of Congress would seem to be required to authorize the Federal board to act in an advisory character to any independent executive agency of the Government.

ASSUMPTIONS MADE IN JUSTIFICATION OF THE PROPOSED TRANSFER

First. Assumption that "the function of the Federal Government in respect to education is principally that of research and the dissemination of the results thereof to assist State and local governments to a better and more uniform performance of their duties in the administration of educational systems." Page 24.

The conduct of research and dissemination of the results thereof to aid the States in one field of education is one function of the Federal Board for Vocational Education. It is not, however, the "principal" function of this board, nor does the field of vocational education coincide with or overlap the field of general or academic education.

Principally the board functions through its staff as a service agency to aid the States in developing their vocational programs.

In respect to the function of research, however, it is not proposed to bring together in the Department of the Interior all agencies of the Government engaged in research. Presumably the purpose of the regrouping is to bring together only such research agencies as may be expected to derive some advantage in the more intimate administrative association of the several agen-cies involved; and it is assumed that, since the Federal Board for Vocational Education and the Office of Education both have to do with education, their research activities are more or less related to one another. This assumption is not justified.

There is, in fact, research in many other offices of the Govern-ment with which the research of the Federal Board for Vocational Education is much more intimately associated than it is or can be

with that of the office of education.

For vocational education the fields of research are in agriculture, industry, commerce, and the home. The Federal act defining these fields of research for the board provides that the studies, investigations, and reports which the board is instructed to make to aid the States "shall include agriculture and agricultural processes and requirements upon agricultural workers; trades, industries, and apprenticeships, trade and industrial requirements upon industrial workers, and classification of industrial processes and pursuits; commerce and commercial pursuits and require-ments upon commercial workers; home management, domestic science, and the study of related facts and principles; and prob-

study and instruction in vocational subjects."

The fields of research designated in the act lie entirely outside the fields of research for general or academic education in which the office of education is primarily interested. For vocational agriculture the field of research is farming and marketing of agricultural products and the results of the technical research of the Department of Agriculture; for vocational trade and industry the field is our manufacturing and mining industries, the job requirements of trade and industrial occupations and processes, and the continuous modification of vocational requirements in consequence of technological and other changes in industry; for commercial education it is our commercial organization, activities, and the job requirements of commercial pursuits; and for home economics it is the broad field of child care, family health, home making, home economy, and home sanitation. These are special fields of research as remote from the fields of general or academic

deducation as are the fields of research for the natural sciences. Since its organization in 1917, over a period of 15 years of continuous research by the Federal Board for Vocational Education in cooperation with numerous other agencies, including offices and departments of the Federal Government, no proposal of major research has come to the board from and no major research has come to the board from and no major research has come to the board from and no major research has been expedited in cooperation with the office of education. has been conducted in cooperation with the office of education. This is true, although such cooperation was expected to develop and was provided for in the vocational education act by including the Commissioner of Education as a member of the board.

the Commissioner of Education as a member of the board.

During this period of 15 years the board has cooperated in research work with officers and bureaus of the Department of Agriculture, the Department of Commerce, and the Department of Labor, and with other Government agencies, but in no instance with any office or bureau of the Department of the Interior.

This is not an accident, nor is it the result of any indisposition on the part either of the Office of Education or of the Federal Board for Vocational Education to cooperate with one another. The plain fact is that neither office has over the past 15 years of activity found any single occasion to cooperate with the other, for the simple reason that the fields of research and the interests of these offices are quite separate and remote from one another. offices are quite separate and remote from one another.

The Federal board has had frequent occasion to use the results

of research conducted by many other offices, including the Office of Education, but it has had more occasion to use the results of research conducted in any one of the Departments of Agriculture, Commerce, and Labor, than of research conducted in the Departments of the Authority of the Commerce of the

ment of the Interior.

The mere fact of conducting and disseminating the results of research without regard to the character of the research certainly does not provide any basis whatever for the grouping of Govern-ment agencies. Nor does the fact that the research of the Federal board has in a special sense an educational bearing provide a basis of reorganization with an agency which is educational in a totally different sense. If research is to be taken as a basis of organization, it must be with respect to the cooperation of interested agencies in the conduct of research, rather than to the mere fact of undertaking research and disseminating the results thereof.

Second. Assumption that the administrative functions of the Federal Board for Vocational Education are similar to or in any respect correlated with those of the Office of Education or the Depart-

ment of the Interior.

The administrative functions of the Federal Board for Vocational The administrative functions of the Federal Board for Vocational Education are expressly defined in the acts administered by the board, principally in the vocational education act of 1917, the civilian vocational rehabilitation act of 1920, and the act of 1929 designating the Federal board as the agency for administering vocational rehabilitation in the District of Columbia.

Under these acts the Federal Board for Vocational Education is vested with specific expressly defined responsibilities. It is required to ascertain annually whether the States are using or prepared to use the Federal money appropriated to them in accordance with

to ascertain annually whether the States are using or prepared to use the Federal money appropriated to them in accordance with the provisions of the acts making the appropriations, and is required further to cooperate with State boards for vocational education—which, it should be noted, have been created or designated in each of the 48 States by State statutes enacted in compliance with the requirements of the Federal act—in the promotion of vocational education and vocational rehabilitation. This promotional work is in very large measure field service rendered by the board and its staff of regional agents.

The board, accordingly, acts as a judicial, advisory, coordinating, and cooperating service agency to assist State boards in promoting

and cooperating service agency to assist State boards in promoting their vocational programs under specific statutory provisions. It will be clear from a mere enumeration of these responsibilities that no similar functions devolve upon the Office of Education or upon any other Government agency. The Office of Education is not a coordinating service agency. It conducts research in the not a coordinating service agency. It conducts research in the field of education, but it has no administrative responsibilities of

cooperation with State or local agencies under any act. It has no authority to cooperate with any State or local agencies.

The services rendered by the Federal board and its staff are the services of specially trained and qualified experts. It is not apparent how any benefit could be derived from any juxtaposition of the board's staff with any office or offices in the Department of the Interior or any other department. Submergence of the staff of the Federal board in a newly created division of the Department of the Interior would only pile up overhead administrative control and render less direct and simple all relations of the board with those State agencies with which it is required to cooperate. More-over, the complete separation of the board from its staff and the taking away from the board of all its statutory functions except

lems of administration of vocational schools and of courses of that of acting in an "advisory capacity" to the Secretary of the study and instruction in vocational subjects." such advisory function as is proposed) would tend seriously impair the efficiency of the service.

Third. The assumption that a statutory board, which may not be abolished by Executive order, may nevertheless be deprived of all of its statutory functions by such an order.

Section 407 of the economy act, requiring submission of Executive orders regrouping governmental agencies to Congress, provides that the President is authorized and requested to proceed with the consolidation of certain governmental activities without the application of this section. Among the activities which may be merged by Executive order without submission to Congress education is designated, but with the express reservation that "the Board of Vocational Education shall not be abolished."

No action affecting the Federal Board for Vocational Education has been taken by the President under this provision authorizing

has been taken by the President under this provision authorizing has been taken by the President under this provision authorizing merger without submission to Congress. He has elected to effect the transfers of the duties, powers, and functions of the Federal board by Executive order submitted to Congress under the general provision of section 407 of the economy act requiring such

erai provision of section 40% of the economy act requiring such submission except in the case of designated activities. The economy act expressly provides in section 406 as follows:

"Sec. 406. Whenever, in carrying out the provisions of this title, the President concludes that any executive department or agency created by statute should be abolished and the functions ereof transferred to another executive department or agency or

eliminated entirely, the authority granted in this title shall not apply, and he shall report his conclusions to Congress with such recommendations as he may deem proper."

Although the President has concluded and recommended to Congress that the Federal board be abolished, he has nevertheless proceeded to transfer its functions in contravention of section 406. Having reached this conclusion it is not clear what less proceeded to transfer its functions in contravention of section 406. Having reached this conclusion, it is not clear what authority remained vested in him to take any action whatever transferring the board's functions. His only recourse, it would seem, was to make recommendations to Congress.

It may perhaps be contended that in some strictly legalistic sense authority may be found for depriving a statutory board which, as expressly provided in the economy act, may not be abolished by Executive order—of all of its statutory functions—which also, as expressly provided in this act, may not be abolished—and continuing the board as a Government agency deprived of all of its functions, and by this devious procedure avoid technical violation of the express statutory provision that neither the heart agency agency and the statutory provision that neither

the board nor its functions shall be abolished by Executive order. In considering this procedure it should be borne in mind that, as noted above, the Vocational Board is expressly designated by name as a board which may not be abolished. In this respect the Vocational Board is no different from any other statutory board. No statutory board can be abolished by Executive order. and the only explanation of the designation of the Vocational Board by name would seem to be that Congress intended to leave no possible ambiguity in the act under which the continuance and functioning of the board might be interfered with or prevented by Executive order.

To any except an extremely legalistic mind depriving a board of all its duties, powers, and functions is equivalent to abolishing the board, even though the Government continues to pay the

salaries of members of the board.

In this matter the intentions of Congress are made perfectly clear in the economy act. It proposed to reserve all action relating to the Vocational Board to itself, and to protect the board in the full exercise of its functions against any interference by Executive order. One can not avoid the charge of murder by producing the dead body of his victim.

Fourth. The assumption that economy can be effected by the

transfer of administrative duties, powers, and functions. Possibility of economy must, it would seem, be found in (1) reduction of personnel and pay-roll expense, or (2) reduction of office expenditures, as for rooms and equipment, or (3) reduction

of services rendered.

It is not apparent that any such economies can be effected by

the proposed transfer of functions.

It is true that the salaries of the three appointive members might be saved by abolition of the board, and this very inconsiderable saving may be contemplated by the President in his recommendation that the board be abolished by legislation.

Savings of this character can, of course, be effected by abolishing any executive agency of the Government. Whether in any given instance it is wise public policy to effect a saving by abolishing an established agency of the Government depends entirely upon the services and achievements of the agency and the amount of expenditure involved.

The achievements of the past 15 years in the field of vocational education in this country, under the leadership of the Federal board in cooperation with State boards, are without parallel in the history of education in any country. In the opinion of State officials and educators, who have been associated with and cooperated in effecting these achievements, they must be credited largely to the institution of an independent representative Federal board for administration of the act. Congress in the beginning realized that the success or failure of the act would depend upon the creation of such a board, and the experience of a decade and a half has demonstrated beyond question the wisdom of Congress in this matter. Without such an agency, representative of the practical economic interests involved, vocational education would

have been stillborn, or at best would have died in early infancy

smothered in the arms of academic tradition.

A board functioning freely, independently of undue, uninformed, and indifferent or cynical academic control, is even more essential to-day for the continuous success of the program than it was in 1917 for initiation of the program. It is more essential to-day because of the administrative organization built up in each of the 48 States and in Hawaii and Puerto Rico, which would be disrupted by abolition of the established and functioning Federal agency of cooperation, which is the central coordinating administrative agency for the country as a whole. The difference between now and 1917 is the difference between a successfully operating going concern on the one hand, and on the other a paper plan of organization for such a concern. The paper plan may be torn up and the proposed enterprise be abandoned without disrupting any established administrative agencies; but the going concern, with 15 years of successful experience and operation in close cooperation with 50 State and Territorial agencies to its credit, can not be abolished offhand without very serious and far-reaching conse-

It would seem only reasonable to expect Congress to give very careful consideration to any proposal to reverse a long-established and successful public policy with the objective of effecting in-

and successful public policy with the objects significant savings.

As regards possibilities of effecting saving in office expenditures for rooms and equipment, no such savings can be effected unless the personnel of the board's staff is reduced, and it is expressly provided in the Executive order that all personnel of the staff of the board shall be transferred with the duties, powers, and functions of the board.

The possibility of economy by reduction of services rendered is not contemplated in the Executive order or in the legislation recommended, except that the order provides that the Secretary of the Interior may, with the approval of the President, by order or regulation, effect further consolidations, eliminations, and redistributions of bureaus, agencies, offices, or activities and/or their functions within the department.

Savings may, of course, be effected by reduction of services being rendered in assisting the States in developing their vocational programs. Whether or not savings should be effected in this way is a matter of public policy. Any saving so effected necessarily means withdrawal of services being rendered, and the same considerations must be taken into account in the matter of continuing the staff of the board as are involved in the question of continuing the board itself. The fundamental fact is that the board can not function independently of its staff, nor can the staff function independently of the board. Both are essential for the successful continuance of the cooperative program developed under the vocatinuance of the cooperative program developed under the vocational education and vocational rehabilitation acts.

Fifth. The assumption that efficiency will be promoted by the

proposed transfer.

Under the arrangement proposed to be effected by Executive order the Federal board would be entirely separated from its staff and set up to act in an advisory character to the Secretary of the

As has been noted, the Federal board has no advisory powers which it would be authorized to exercise under any arrangement. Its powers are principally judicial and are exercised directly and through the agency of the board's staff under cooperative arrangements with the States for service and for promotion of vocational programs in the States and local communities.

To provide that the judicial functions of the board shall be exercised indirectly through the Secretary of the Interior, acting through an assistant secretary for education, health, and recreation, and finally through an executive in charge of the staff of the board, would seriously complicate the administration of the Federal acts and the whole procedure of cooperation with the States

acts and the whole procedure of cooperation with the States.

Under the arrangement proposed an executive official, the Secretary of the Interior, would be the agency designated to cooperate with State boards for vocational education. These State boards exercise in the States judicial functions similar to those exercised by the Federal Board for Vocational Education; and it would be necessary for the Secretary of the Interior, under the cooperative arrangements with the States, to participate in the exercise of these judicial functions. Questions of policy originating in the States would presumably be referred to the executive in charge of the board's staff and referred by him to the assistant director for education, health, and recreahim to the assistant director for education, health, and recreation, and by him to the Secretary of the Interior, and by him to the Federal Board for Vocational Education. Action by the board would follow the same procedure in reverse.

Certainly no improvement of efficiency is anywhere apparent in this procedure. Rather it would seem certain that the involved indirection of the procedures and the purely factitious separation of powers, as "advisory" on the one hand and administrative on the other, would seriously impair efficiency of

REDEMPTION OF DEFACED BANK NOTES

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a letter from the Secretary of the Treasury transmitting draft of proposed legislation to provide for the redemption of national bank notes, Federal reserve bank notes, and Federal reserve notes which can not be identified as to the bank of issue, and recommending its enactment, which, with the accompanying paper, was referred to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

FINAL ASCERTAINMENT OF ELECTORS

The VICE PRESIDENT laid before the Senate a letter from the Secretary of State, transmitting, pursuant to law, copies of the certificates of the governors of the States of Delaware, Georgia, Kansas, Illinois, Indiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Oklahoma, Oregon, Texas, Vermont, and Wisconsin of the final ascertainment of electors for President and Vice President in their respective States at the election of November 8, 1932, which were ordered to lie on the table.

GOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURES

Mr. LEWIS. Mr. President, may I intrude to ask the privilege to have printed in the RECORD an address by Col. Robert R. McCormick, made at Rockford, Ill., upon governmental expenditures, both national and international? It is an address which I feel will be of value to the public and to the Congress.

There being no objection, the address was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, and it is as follows:

MUSSOLINI, MOSCOW, OR AMERICA

(An address by Col. Robert R. McCormick, editor and publisher of the Chicago Tribune, at Rockford, Ill., before a group of civic, service, and professional organizations)

Your directors invited me to speak here to-day because of the addresses I have been making on the destructive effects of overtaxation upon our country. At first I hesitated to accept, because I believed that I had exhausted the subject, until my cogitations were restimulated by the insurrection in London.

I use the word "insurrection" deliberately and correctly, because the violence which took place there is not comparable with the riots which have recently occurred in the industrial and mining areas of England

areas of England.

London occupies a position in England which no one or two cities combined could occupy in America. London is the political capital, London is the economic capital, and London is the center. It is as though Washington, Philadelphia, Boston, and New York were combined at Chicago, with the nerve ganglia, the brains, wealth, and traditions of the Nation centered at that spot, and a

revolutionary effort were made to take control of them.

The riots in London did not take place in the slums but at the great national shrines—Trafalgar Square, which commemorates England's world empire, and the Cenctaph, her monument to those who died for their country. An effort was even made to storm Buckingham Palace, the residence of the King, symbol and personification of the Government. It was a serious effort to strike

down the nation.

Therefore it seems desirable at this time to recall frankly certain phases of the history of England so as to differentiate our own history and to point out why the conditions which have brought England to her present pass are not duplicated here, though they are falsely used as precedents by those snobbish and sycophantic socialists who call themselves progressives.

One of the greatest events in the history of the world in its

One of the greatest events in the history of the world in its effect upon all future generations was the Norman Conquest. A effect upon all future generations was the Norman Conquest. A small number of Normans and Frenchmen conquered England, enslaved the population, and divided all the land among themselves. They constituted themselves a dominant ruling class, known at various times throughout history as "the barons," "the nobility," "the aristocracy," and, more recently, "the ruling class." The land they divided among themselves was not land in the wilderness to which they had moved by horseback, by canoe, or by covered wagon; not forest which they had cleared with the ax; nor prairies they had broken with the plow, but the land of other people which they took by force.

Upon these people they imposed a discipline evidenced by

Don't hese people they imposed a discipline evidenced by Doomsday Book and curfew bell, by king's courts, and by star chambers. It is to the efforts of the conquered people to free themselves or to escape that I call your attention.

Insurrections were frequent and invariably suppressed. The property of individual barons was confiscated in civil wars, and various of the ruling class massacred each other. But always there remained the ownership of the land and the control of the government. remained the ownership of the land and the control of the gov ernment by the conquerors. The perquisites of the government also were divided among them. Do we not read in history how Queen Elizabeth gave to one favorite, Essex, a monopoly to sell salt, and to another, Raleigh, the North American continent? The various colonies were presented to favorites of various kings, and in these colonies enormous tracts of land were parceled out

Under this system of government England became the world conqueror. The wealth of the Indies was the spoil of the ruling class through the shares of the East India Co., and the wealth of North America was intended for the same people by holdings in the company of Hudson Bay.

Ownership of land and other property was maintained in a few hands by the system of primogeniture, whereby the eldest son inherited all property. In contrast to our American practice, whereby all men can improve their lot and the brilliant reach whereby all men can improve their lot and the brilliant reach any rung in the ladder of success, none could rise and many must sink. The younger sons inherited only government jobs and a spirit of jealousy, and were forbidden by tradition to establish their fortunes by work.

Land hunger became congenital in the people.

With the arrival of the industrial system, coal was found under the soil of some estates; under others, tin and iron. One feudal tenure became the site of Westminster in London, enriching its owner beyond capacity to appreciate, while other lands of nobles containing no valuable minerals or having no commercial value merely impoverished their owners, a development which engendered jealousy among the not disinherited.

Now, in spite of the fact that church bigotry forbade trade

Now, in spite of the lact that church olgotry forbade trade for gain and that laws like our present statutes in restraint of trade are found in the dawn of history, commerce and industry were not extinct even in the dark twilight of the Middle Ages. A band of monks conducted the steel yard in London, whose charter antedates written records. Slowly trade progressed and gained in power. Other cities bought charters from their tyrants.

London joined Simon de Montfort in war against Henry III, and was the soul and heart of the rebellion against Charles.

It was then the English said: "What are the lords of England but William the Conqueror's colonels? And the barons but the majors? Or the knights but the captains?" And they declared that they would "set themselves and their heirs free from the usurped superiority of the Norman race, who had now lost the power of the sword, their only title to rule over the English; the king and lords must go; individual merit should be the sole road to greatness."

But this rebellion failed, like all the others, and the Normans

ruled over the English as before.

Coming into the eighteenth century, the aristocrats still ruled, but the merchants now held the economic power and conducted a great banking system. Great trading companies arose and rich industries.

As our period rolls in, from the speeches of parliamentary leaders we learn of a medieval ownership of land; a medieval control of government; a medieval social hierarchy, and an extraordinary agglomeration of wealth and poverty; old animosities and new animosities; of descendants of the Saxons rebellious toward those of Norman blood; of rich bourgeois fealous of ancient ancestry; of younger members of the aristocracy especially resentful of the wealth of the merchants, the manufacturers, and the bankers.

During the Great War bitterness was added to this because of the blood baths endured by the civilian soldiers in Flanders under command of hereditary officers, and a failure to repeat on land and sea the decisive victories that had characterized England's former wars on the Continent and justified her ruling

class in exercise of its functions.

Now, at last, pent-up animosities of centuries overcame age-old discipline. Politicians, some from the lower classes (for classes still exist in England), some from the impoverished factions of the aristocracy, some mere timeservers, devised the land tax, the progressive income tax, and the progressive estate

land tax, the progressive income tax, and the progressive estate tax for the express purpose of impoverishing the rich, claiming to believe that in this way they could enrich the poor.

The first result was a political fairyland. Huge revenue came to the hands of the officeholders, available for all the corruptions of politics and even more desirable in England than here, because in England, strange survival of old traditions, a humble and subdued press does not venture to investigate the conduct of the

class that rules.

The cold hatred of the Saxon serf working What a travesty!

what a travesty! The cold hatred of the Saxon serr working hand in hand with the political exploiter to sap the base of Britain's financial structure, and the pair of them covered from detection by a tradition of literary degradation!

Almost immediately they imposed income taxes so great as to cripple buying power, prevent the introduction of new machinery, and even the adequate upkeep of the old. The proceeds of estate taxes, the capital of the nation, were not used to pay the national debt or even set aside to be invested in socialistic enterprises doomed to failure, but were squandered in each year's budget on a horde of nonproductive activities for the benefit of the favorites of the new government and on doles for the idle and the shiftless, an element of the population inherited from the generations of privilege and for which the new socialism does not try to find

Each year showed a deficit which even the falsification of Government bookkeeping could not entirely conceal, and each year's deficit was succeeded by further drafts upon England's waning capital and vanishing credit.

According to F. Britten Austin, writing in the Saturday Evening

"In the decade before the war the average British net income available for reinvestment overseas was £150,000,000. In these last five years it has been £114,000,000 in 1927, £137,000,000 in 1928, £103,000,000 in 1929, £28,000,000 in 1930, and in 1931 there was actually a deficit of £110,000,000. The total amount of the new money raised in the capital market of the United Kingdom—which includes, of course, foreign money invested via London—

s only £89,000,000 in 1931, compared with £236,000,000 in 1930,

£254,000,000 in 1929, £362,000,000 in 1928, and £315,000,000 in 1927.

"At least equally destructive have been the confiscatory death At least equally destructive have been the connicatory death duties imposed on large fortunes. Twenty per cent on estates of £100,000, they are 40 per cent on estates of £1,000,000, and 50 per cent on estates of £2,000,000 or more. This crippling levy, of course, entails a forced sale of assets, often not easily realizable, and in the case—which frequently happens—of a large estate passing by death within a short period the estate is virtually applied. annihilated.

The state gets a chunk of capital to throw into its melting

"The state gets a chunk of capital to throw into its melting pot, but it loses forever a revenue producer. For the past three years the yields from these death duties have been between £70,000,000 and £80,000,000 a year; of which 64 per cent has been derived from fortunes of £100,000 and over—that is, once productive capital to the amount of roughly £50,000,000 per annum has been annihilated in rations of from 20 to 50 per cent.

"It is beyond the strength of any community to withstand the annual destruction of so much of its accumulated wealth. For the last 12 years capital in Britain has been squandered faster than it has been produced. The inevitable result is seen in the statistics of her foreign trade. Imports—excluding imports for reexport—were £659,168,008 for 1913, and exports for that year £525,253,595. In 1930, on a halved purchasing power of money—that is, an artificially doubled nominal value—they were: Imports £957,139,852, exports £570,755,416.

"The enormous preponderance of imports over exports was

"The enormous preponderance of imports over exports was only in part paid for by a constantly shrinking revenue from oversea investments; it was much more paid for out of the capital seized from the hands of productive individuals and spent by Government in doles and unproductive social services implying a horde of bureaucratic and economically sterile officials.

"In this current year, for the items of education, health, labor, and insurance alone, the British Government has budgeted for an increase of £162,030,000 over the amount scheduled for the corresponding items in the year 1913-14—that is, for amenities provided for the bulk of the population out of the pockets of an infinitesimal minority. And this takes no account of the enormous local and municipal taxation, amounting approximately to onehalf of the national taxation.

"No other country has ever put the slogan of 'soaking the rich' into such systematic and continuous operation. It has cost Britain the destruction of her basic industries and her worldwide trade, reflected in an army of workless permanent for the last 10 years. Fallacies are always fallacies. There has been no more magnificent example of killing and eating the geese that should

supply the golden eggs."

Last summer England could no longer pay her debts and had recourse to a camouflaged form of currency inflation. English money has now been degraded by about one-third of its value; her silver coins are outlawed in South Africa; and there are no funds with which to pay the savings deposited in the post office. Having forced a reduction in the domestic interest rate on Govern-Having forced a reduction in the domestic interest rate on Government securities, the once greatest empire in the history of the world is agitating to repudiate her debt to the nation which saved her from defeat by Germany.

And a constantly growing army of men, compelled to idleness because confiscations of capital have destroyed England's industries, is raging in the heart of her venerable capital.

I have recited what has gone before to emphasize that English circumstances are not at all American circumstances.

At different times your ancestors and mine fled from the injustyranny, and oppression of Europe and settled in a new d. As I have said, "Let us examine the motives which guided the discovery and settlement of this world.

"Christopher Columbus did not sail across the ocean in order to earn a reasonable and adequate return upon the fair cash value of the Santa Maria, he crossed the ocean in search of a fortune "The thirteen Colonies were founded by men seeking wealth, as were the other 35 States later.

"The determination of these settlers was evidenced by the way that they endured the horrors of a strange ocean crossing to face the terrors of a savage-haunted continent. The abject poverty of many, if not most, of them was shown by the fact that they paid their fares with seven years of servitude as indentured servants.

"The proprietors wished to duplicate the conditions of the Old World in the New. The settlers would have none of them. They wished to enjoy everything that had been forbidden. They wished to own land and other property, freely and not a

"George Washington did not cross the Alleghenies and fight the Indians in order that he might plow an 80-acre farm in Ohio; he crossed the mountains to get rich.

"Do not think that the Revolutionary War came as sudden resentment; it was the climax of a struggle which had been going on from invisible beginnings ever since the Norman Conquest and

"The Massachusetts patriots were not only revolting the stamp tax and the tax on tea, but against the unbearable horde of job holders, against restrictions on their commerce and interference with their manufactures—the very conditions which oppress us to-day. They were joined by the southern colonists, whose ambition to acquire land west of the Alleghenies had been frustrated by Parliament, and who during the Revolutionary War took this land by conquest.

"Our ancestors won freedom with the sword, implemented it in the Constitution, and walled it around with the Bill of

Rights.

"Hope and ambition replaced despair and resentment.

great rise in civilization began.

"They repulsed the English invasions; curbed the savages; expelled the Spanish, French, and Mexicans; settled the land; opened the mines; built the factories; laid out the railroads; devised legal and financial methods for trade and industry; and overcame the plagues.

"American commerce covered the oceans. American ploneers flocked across the mountain barrier, spread over the plains, bridged the rivers, penetrated the western deserts, took possession of Alaska and the Hawaiian Islands, and before the spirit of recession set in captured the Philippine Islands, freed and educated their natives.

"Americans who remained in place were no less enterprising than the merchants and the pioneers. Under the patent law, which extended the right of property to the products of the brain, inventions multiplied for the benefit of mankind. So prolific were the liberated minds that they produced for common use, for the amelioration of conditions, countless instruments that were

the amelioration of conditions, countless instruments that were improvements upon and additions to those which the historically privileged had developed for themselves alone.

"The hand press and hand typesetting have been replaced in turn by the horse press, the steam press, the motor-driven press, the linotype, and stereotype. Reading, once the privilege of the exclusive few, is practically free for the multitude, while the printing industry. Furnishes exployment to greater numbers than the

exclusive few, is practically free for the multitude, while the printing industry furnishes employment to greater numbers than the total literate population of a previous generation.

"On the farm the hand pump had so long given way to the wooden windmill, to the steel windmill, to the gasoline engine, and the electric motor that many of us had to travel to France as soldiers to find out that the Old Oaken Bucket was not merely a song. 'Over there,' in defending or taking the French chateaux, we found out that the sons and 'daughters of a hundred earls' possess fewer creature comforts than American farmers and skilled sess fewer creature comforts than American farmers and skilled workmen

"An extraordinary revolution, so long accomplished as to be forgotten, was that agricultural machinery and railroads with their adjuncts, cattle cars, and refrigeration, made farming a money-earning enterprise for the first time in the history of the world, and also raised the masses of Europe from their condition of semistarvation

"How is it that such a magic rise in the lot of mankind was stopped and humanity has been definitely headed back to the previous condition of want and misery?"

The first explanation is the war boom and war extravagance. Unheard-of and temporary profits came during the years of war before we were involved. These profits, which should have been saved for a rainy day, instead were capitalized, creating an illusion of wealth that did not exist. On this illusion were based our war expenditures, our war taxes, and also our postwar expenses and the postwar taxes which are now dragging us down, as England's revolutionary confiscations have borne down England.

In fairness to our politicians be it said that until last winter they were no more culpable than the rest of us. They did not misunderstand conditions any more than the bankers or the business men. Taxation did not seem to be overpowering before the bubble burst. It was only then perceived that as values had been ephemeral, assessments based upon them had been false; that a large part of what had been considered income was merely that a large part of what had been considered income was merely a turnover on a temporary rise in capital prices; that tax upon it was a capital levy which was not returned when prices sank back to normal; and that while we believed that our public bodies were taking a fairly large share of the national income, they were actually consuming our capital accumulations. It was only, therefore, after the fallacy of our supposed wealth had become known that the conduct of tax-raising officials toward the Nation was seen to be lethel. was seen to be lethal.

Politics has always been the art of spending tax money to build political machines. In the boom days it was widely used to buy votes by groups and communities. Since reduction of taxes would deprive the officeholders of this most dependable political weapon, some pretext must be found to maintain taxes at a rate the Nation could not support, or these corruption funds must be been dependent. abandoned.

abandoned.

Consequently, recourse was had to the steam-heated socialists who centered in Greenwich Village, but whose "cells" range as far as the Bad Lands and the Staked Plains; who scorn the history of the patriots and the pioneers as dull; scoff at the political philosophy of George Mason, Jefferson, and Lincoln as bourgeois, at the law of John Marshall and the economics of Alexander Hamilton as "merely American" in order to inebriate themselves in the slush of Engels, Gorki, and Marx, and felicitate themselves that they are so much better than Americans as to be almost as good as the leaders of Europe's proletariat revolutions!

These mental done fiends and their gigolo magazines furnished

These mental dope fiends and their gigolo magazines furnished our politicians with a mythology which discarded American history and set up in its stead a falsely pretended resemblance between American and European historical, economic, and social

It was under the dominance of these boulevardier intellectuals that the last Congress doubled the tax rates and halved the

revenue, and the guiding motive of the leaders was the break-down of our Government and of our industrial system.

It still is.

If I have just used strong language, it is not only because we are facing a crisis but to call attention to facts which have not been generally realized.

been generally realized.

That a people who escaped from Europe, broke their shackles by war, forbade titles of nobility in the Constitution of the Nation, abolished primogeniture, and opened equal opportunity to all and the topmost rungs of achievement to the able and industrious should be assailed as the personification of all it has overcome is occasion for words of sharp censure.

Moscow or a Mussolini may be the alternatives in Europe, but not, pray God, in America.

There is another alternative here. It is to renounce these foreign doctrines and continue our development along the lines which have made America the most prosperous and happy land the world has ever seen.

the world has ever seen.

The time has come for a patriot movement.

BIMETALLISM OR COMMUNISM

Mr. WHEELER. Mr. President, I ask leave to have printed in the RECORD an address by T. E. Howard, chairman of the board of directors of the National Farmers Union, over the National Broadcasting System, on August 27, 1932, on the subject of Bimetallism or Communism.

There being no objection, the address was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

Ladies and gentlemen of this great radio audience, it is not a far cry from the subject of beans, bread, and bacon, to the subject of remonetization of silver. The fact of the matter is, there is such a relationship, and they are so definitely woven together in the present economic chaos that it becomes necessary to discuss them all in common.

SILVER DRAWS CONSUMER AND PRODUCER TOGETHER

I shall attempt to discuss them in an understandable way, to the end that those who are interested in securing beans, bread, and bacon, and also those who have produced the beans, bread, and bacon may properly understand what remonetization of silver means, and that these two groups have something very much in common.

This Nation seems to be sold on the idea of a metallic base for our currency, or money system, as some call it, but instead of having a great, broad base of both gold and silver, we have allowed silver to be demonstized and to be on the market strictly as a commodity. If the business of the world could be conducted and the system of the world could be conducted by the control with gold or the governor beautiful with a gold or the gold or beginning to the system. entirely with gold or the currency based on gold bullion, no one except silver miners would be interested in this subject. Silver might continue its downward sweep, even to the value of lead, but under the single gold standard it would require a new discovery of gold equal to the Klondike, Cripple Creek, Comstock, and Rand combined to lower the present high-priced dollar to an bonest basis honest basis.

WHAT IS MONEY?

The Farmers' Union, three years ago, sent a young man to Denmark to study the cooperative and economic system of that country and was amazed to find a well-defined and pronounced philosophy of what money is. After traveling for two or three days in the rural sections he asked his young interpreter how it happened that every farm home glistened in its coat of new paint; that all the yards were surrounded by white paling fences, and that although land was extremely valuable, the yard was filled with shrubs, trees, and flowers. He called attention to the fact that when the click of the latch on the front gate sounded at the house, a rosy-faced housewife would appear in white starched apron and bonnet with a smile on her face. He stated to his interpreter that such was not the condition in the United States, and asked his analysis of the difference. The reply holds within it the key to the situation. The interpreter said, "Bah! You American people make me sick. You plan your homes, farms, business, and dally work only on the basis of how much money you may receive. Whereas we plan on the basis of what our labor, our services, or our commodities will in turn purchase and secure for us. What they will obtain for us in commodities, pleasure, education, or culture."

This young Danish farmer had the true concept of what money in the rural sections he asked his young interpreter how it hap-

This young Danish farmer had the true concept of what money is—that it is merely a medium of exchange and, under proper, efficient, and honest government, is issued for the purpose of enabling the producer to exchange his extra products for the things he has to have or should have; also for art, culture, and

To make the matter clear, I would say the farmer must produce more of any given commodity than he needs. He must exchange his extra commodities for the things he desires. There is no other way for him than to pay all bills with commodities. In the light of present-day business, however, the paint man can not handle Therefore the farmer can not exchange his extra bushels of wheat for paint, and in recognition of this need, whereby he could exchange his extra pounds, bushels, or tons for the commodities he must have, money, or a medium of exchange, came into existence.

Money is in truth merely a token or emblem of the extra pounds, bushels, or tons of commodities. New wealth. If there are suffi-

cient tokens or emblems to transact the business of a nation and | carry on commerce, business is good. If there are not sufficient tokens or emblems, business is bad and we have a depression. We must have a sufficient quantity of tokens or emblems or circulating currency that they or it can be secured with the smallest amount of commodities or the smallest amount of productive

WE PAY BILLS WITH COMMODITIES

This is not now the case. Viewing the matter from the farmers' standpoint, we submit to you that the farmers' purchasing power is vested in the commodities he produces. He pays his debts; he pays his taxes; he buys his supplies and he feeds, clothes, and educates his children with his commodities. Let us reverse our past teachings. Money represents new commodities or new productive labor. We actually buy dollars with commodities or with ductive labor. We actually buy dollars with commodities of with labor and we do not, as we have been taught, buy commodities

If in the regular order of business the farmer can, as he did in 1919, purchase \$2 with 1 bushel of wheat, or \$1 with 2½ pounds of butterfat, or \$1 with 3 dozen eggs, by the same methods he could pay a \$300 tax bill with 150 bushels of wheat or pay a \$3,000 mortgage with 1,500 bushels of wheat.

CONGRESS PRIMARILY TO BLAME

Now, since the international bank crowd, by the power extended to them by our own Congress, has contracted the currency of the Nation, taking out of circulation so much of the circulating medium or token or emblems, they have thus not only made the dollars scarce, but too high in value.

When the farmer now attempts to pay his \$2,000 matters in the farmer now attempts to pay his \$2,000 matters.

When the farmer now attempts to pay his \$3,000 mortgage he will have to take 9,000 bushels of wheat to town for that purpose instead of 1,500 bushels. When he goes to the county seat to pay his \$300 tax bill he has to take 900 bushels of wheat to town inhis \$300 tax bill he has to take 900 bushels of wheat to town instead of 150 bushels. If he wants a dollar to buy paint or some other commodity he must take 10 dozen eggs to town instead of 3 dozen, or 8 pounds of butterfat instead of 2. The high dollar has driven the commodities so low that not a farm commodity in the United States to-day returns to the farmer the cost of its production. Thus we see, my friends, that the purchasing power of the American farmer has been destroyed by those who control the issuance of the currency of the Nation, making the dollars scarce and high scarce and high.

WE START THE JOBLESS ARMY

Now, what happened when the farmer's purchasing power was thus destroyed? He could no longer trade at the local store except in the most limited way. Every fifth merchant went bankrupt. The rest of them fired their cierks and put their wives and children to work. The clerks started down the road to look for jobs. Thus an army of jobless men was in the making. When the local merchant could not sell his goods, he could not buy from the wholesale house or the factory. The wholesale houses, therefore, reduced their force, and many of them went out of business. Thus another large group of working men were looking

therefore, reduced their force, and many of them went out of business. Thus another large group of working men were looking for jobs. The jobless army was growing.

Next came the factories, who could not sell because no one could buy, and factory after factory across the Nation closed its doors and started thousands more of their former employees down the road looking for work. The factories closing down reduced the amount of goods to ship, and thus affected the railroads. They began to take off trains, they closed shops, and sent thousands more of our citizens out to look for food. This neverending and relentless sweep of unemployment is with us yet.

Foolish employers began to cut salaries and wages of those that had to be retained, and still further reduced the purchasing power

had to be retained, and still further reduced the purchasing power of thousands more.

When the farmer could no longer pay his notes and mortgages at the bank, 10,000 banks of the Nation were pulled down over the heads of the communities in which they were located.

PLENTY VERSUS POVERTY

Thus, to-day, ladies and gentlemen, we have a sorrowful picture in a land of plenty—a land literally flowing with milk and honey, with genius and scientific development, with facilities of every kind for greater progress, for more prosperity, for happiness to all, but now in the throes of despair. Twelve million hungry men walking the streets and roads of the Nation looking for work; 20,000,000 women and children depending on them, all being fed at the hands of private charity or in bread lines and soup houses. Oft in the dark hours of night many secure an extra crust from garbage cans. This entire disaster which has befallen the American people can be traced to one specific thing—the high and scarce dishonest dollar. The international money racketeers have it within their power, under a single gold standard, to make the dollar scarce and high or to bring the dollar low and plentiful. They made the dollars plentiful and low in 1919, but have been making them scarce and high since 1920. The low dollar is good for the debtor class, and in 1919 we were enticed into indebtedness. The high dollar is good for the creditor, and because a high dollar sets commodity prices low we can not now pay our debts. They thus are taking our farms, our homes, and our business. Literally, we are suffering the curse of Midas.

CONGRESS DOES NOT KNOW

If Congress had courage and knew what was wrecking the Nation, they would pass a Federal banking act that would take the entire banking business out of private hands. I do not mean the little community banker is to blame. He is a subject of the big international bankers, the same as you and I. Take the bankers

out of the Government business and put the Government in the

banking business.

Since the destruction of silver as a monetary base, and the establishment of gold as the only base on which currency or token, or emblems may be issued, we find that such a scheme lends itself admirably to those without conscience and now in control of the monetary system of this country, to make the dollar scarce but high in value. This scheme places the individual and all business under the control of the creditor group. This scheme does, in fact, place the economic destiny of every man, woman, or child in their control. Ladies and gentlemen, it dictates terms to

TROUBLE AHEAD

I submit to you that this Nation is in a precarious position at the present time, and that a continuance of the present policy will, in fact, be a bid for intolerance. Intolerance begets intolerance. A hungry man may be reasoned with for some time, but you can not reason with too many, too long, if they have hungry families. Defying the lightning of Ajax does not lighten the thunder's roar.

SOLDIER BONUS

Take the soldier boys, for instance; they demand payment for the service they have well performed. Out of work, disconsolate, blasted hopes, and being dispossessed of their jobs and of their homes, they suffer as others are suffering, but are calling on the Government they so valiantly served for the right to work and earn a living. Not securing that, they want the money that is due them. Thousands of them feel that, although they were heroes of yesterday, they are rapidly becoming a disinherited people. These boys should be paid. They should be paid what we owe them immediately, and in new money. Two billion in new money to pay them would make money more plentiful. It would lower the present high-priced dollar. It would go far toward restoring the purchasing power by raising the commodity price level.

Remonetize silver on its right ratio with gold and these boys could be paid. Strange as it may seem, they could be paid in full, and the paying of this debt would place the rest of the people in better shape as well as the soldier boys.

PUT THEM TO WORK

The first concern of all thinking patriotic people in this country must be to take the 12,000,000 hungry men out of the bread lines and put them on the jobs that are waiting to be done. How best can this be accomplished? The Farmers' Union holds that this can not be done until the purchasing power of the farmers of this Nation has been restored. If this purchasing power is restored, the farmers being the largest number of people engaged in any industry and in conduct of the basic industry of all, will then start the cash registers of the agricultural towns of the Nation to Jingle again. The merchants and dealers then will start the opening of factory doors; former employees now in the bread lines will again take their places on the pay roll; each man thus placed on an earning basis again also starts to buy goods, and we would soon find that an endless chain was turning; that prosperity again existed and that songs of happiness prevailed instead of hunger, sorrow, and blasted hopes.

HOW TO DO IT

How can this purchasing power best be reestablished? Farmers' Union takes the stand that the remonetization of silver would do the job. Instead of the single gold standard being the only basis on which the dollars of the Nation are issued, we would, by the remonetization of silver, spread the base to a double standard, open up another great reservoir from which the fields of standard, open up another great reservoir from which the helds of commerce and business might be adequately irrigated. Have free and unlimited coinage of both gold and silver. To remonetize silver would naturally mean to the silver States a great industry. It would employ many thousands of workmen where the mines, smelters, and mills have now been idle for many years.

This, however, is not the reason why the Farmers' Union is interested in the remonetization of silver. We know that it would have a twofold effect. It would free this Notice forms the inter-

interested in the remonetization of silver. We know that it would have a twofold effect. It would free this Nation from the international money racketeers who at the present time can and do have under their control the economic destiny of every man, woman, and child in the Nation. It would remove a baneful influence now held over government itself by a gang of pirates and racketeers who are sinking the Republic with the same degree of heartlessness as is accredited to pirates of old who sank a ship. Remonetization of silver would reestablish the purchasing power of the people of the Nation merely by the creation of more dollars.

of the people of the Nation merely by the creation of more dollars, thus bringing the high-priced dollar down to its proper level, mak-ing it easier to secure a dollar with less commodities or with less labor than it can be secured for at this time. This plan would raise the price of beans to the Michigan farmer. It would enable him to secure more dollars for less beans. It would enable him to start paying his taxes and his debts. Likewise, this is true of the wheat farmer in Montana and North Dakota, the cotton farmer in the southland, and the stockman and fruit grower everywhere.

CONSUMERS, TOO

To those who view the increase in the price of farm commodities from a consumers' standpoint, let me suggest to you that it is not now the high price of farm commodities that causes 30,000,000 hungry people to receive food by charity, but it is the low price the farmers receive which closed the factories, pulled down the banks, bankrupted the merchants, and stopped the trains. There need be no speculation about what would happen. Please reason

It out for yourself. If the base were broadened on which the tout for yourself. If the base were broadened on which the currency of the Nation is issued, there would be more currency. The more of this currency or circulating medium there is, the cheaper it is. The cheaper it is, the more easily obtainable it is. It can be secured with less labor and with less commodities than at the present time.

The remonetization of silver would do still more. It would unleash the frozen assets in every community in the Nation.

More and more, as we study this question, we become imbued with the idea that collusion exists between even our own Federal with the idea that collusion exists between even our own Federal with the idea that collusion exists between even our own Federal with the idea that collusion exists between even our own Federal with the idea that collusion exists between even our own Federal with the idea that collusion exists between even our own Federal with the idea that collusion exists between even our own Federal with the idea that collusion exists between even our own Federal with the idea that collusion exists between even our own Federal with the idea that collusion exists between even our own Federal with the idea that collusion exists between even our own Federal with the idea that collusion exists between even our own Federal with the idea that collusion exists between even our own Federal with the idea that collusion exists between even our own Federal with the idea that collusion exists between even our own Federal with the idea that collusion exists between even our own Federal with the idea that collusion exists between even our own Federal with the idea that collusion exists between even our own Federal with the idea that collusions are considered to the idea that collusions are considered to the idea that collusions are collusions and the idea that collusions are collusions are considered to the idea that collusions are collusions are collusions. and bear witness against us in hearings on this matter. There seems to be a continuous propaganda in this country that remoneof silver would impair the integrity of the Federal tization Government.

Let me suggest to you who listen in that to those 12,000,000 hungry men who pad the streets and roads of the Nation, and to their 20,000,000 hungry wives and children, the integrity of the

Government is already impaired.

Then we hear, coming from the international bank crowd, that the remonetization of silver on the basis at which the Farmers' Union claims it should be remonetized would flood this country with importations of silver from China, India, and other foreign countries. Their arguments are specious. They seek to build up bubbles of gossamer illusion. Let me suggest to you at this time, ladies and gentlemen, that there is not now in the world enough gold and silver on which alone to base a proper medium of exchange. By this I mean if all the civilized nations of the world had both a gold and silver base on which to issue their circulating medium, there would not be enough of these two precious metals in existence for the nations to have an honest dollar here, or its counterpart as used in other countries. A new precious metal would have to be found on which to base more currency.

This Nation with a double standard would not be flooded by silver from other nations, but we might and should by that process collect the debts they now owe us. They do not have the gold collect the debts they now owe us. They do not have the gold with which to pay the international money racketeers and our Government, too, nor can they increase their trade with us under the single gold standard and the provisions of the Smoot-Hawley tariff law. Thus silver remonetization would collect the war debts and enable us to resume world trade. None of the great powers of Europe could make a good start toward dumping their silver into this country, because they would need it at home to preserve what they now believe to be a permanent hold on the commerce of the silver-standard nations. Most important of all, it would reestablish the purchasing power of our people. It would harm no one, not even the international bank crowd. It would give them a square deal. square deal.

FIAT

There are those who are so foolish as to say that inflation of the currency of this Nation would be the creation of a flat dollar. Let me suggest to you now that any time private money lords of this country can inflate or deflate the currency at will we then have a flat dollar. Such is the condition to-day. All we seek now is an honest dollar; not a dollar so hard to obtain that it takes from \$2 to \$5 worth of commodity or labor to obtain it.

NO OVERPRODUCTION

At this point let me say that overproduction is charged with being the reason for our present collapse. The farmer is told that he has been too industrious, and that because he has pro-duced too much the price for his commodities must run below the cost of production. The workingman has been told that because labor-saving machinery has now become so effective man power is displaced by machinery. This is all false doctrine. Machines which displace the man power of the Nation must return to labor a far greater share of its economic production than they do at the present time. They must be made the agency for aggregates to all the people instead of an agency for aggregative. happiness to all the people instead of an agency for aggrandizement of a few and the torture of many. This holds true with labor-saving devices and machinery on the farm, in the factory, or on the job. Shorter work weeks and workdays must be established. Labor-saving machines must become a blessing to manlished. Labor-saving machines must become a blessing to man-kind and not a curse. They must contribute to those who oper-ate them and those who are displaced by them a greater per-centage of their earning power. In social and economic planning for America's greatness shorter workdays and fewer of them must be adopted. No attending wage cuts must be allowed. As to overproduction on the farm, let me suggest that the American Red Cross has already stated that in this hour of desti-tution, hunger, and woe the half million bales of cotton handed over to them by the Farm Reard would be highly insufficient.

over to them by the Farm Board would be highly insufficient. When manufactured into cotton cloth it will be inadequate and insufficient to furnish absolutely necessary garments for the coming winter to protect those who will be subjects of charity.

these 30,000,000 hungry people sufficient to eat and you will see the mooted question of overproduction readily solved. Using the words of one who has gone before, I would say:

"The flat has gone forth! With steam and electricity and the new powers born of progress forces have entered the world that will either compel us to a higher plane or overwhelm us, as nation after nation, as civilization after civilization, has been overwhelmed before. It is the delusion which precedes destruction that sees in the unrest with which the civilized world is feverishly pulsing only the passing effect of ephemeral causes. Between democratic ideas and the aristocratic adjustments of society there is an irreconcilable conflict. Here in the United States, as there is in Europe, it may be seen arising. We can not go on permitting men to vote and forcing them to tramp. We can not go on educating boys and girls in our public schools and then refusing them the right to earn an honest living. We can not go on prating of the inalienable rights of man, and then denying the inalienable right to the bounty of the Creator. Even now in old bottles the new wine begins to ferment and elemental forces gather for the strife.

gather for the strife.

"But if while there is yet time we turn to Justice and obey her, if we trust Liberty and follow her, the dangers that now threaten must disappear, the forces that now menace will turn to agencies of elevation. Think of the powers yet to be explored, of the possibilities of which the wondrous inventions of this century possibilities of which the wondrous inventions of this century give us but a hint. With want destroyed, with greed changed to noble passions, with the fraternity that is born of equality taking the place of the jealousy and fear that now array men against each other, with mental power loosed by conditions that give to the humblest comfort and leisure, who shall measure the heights to which our civilization may soar? Words fail the thought. It is the golden age of which poets have sung and the high-raised seers have told in metaphor. It is the glorious vision which has always haunted man with gleams of fiftul splendor. It which has always haunted man with gleams of fitful splendor. It is what he saw whose eyes at Patmos were closed in a trance. It is the culmination of the brotherhood of man, the city of God on earth, with its walls of jasper and its gates of pearl. It is the reign of the Prince of Peace."

The question is often asked: What is the proper ratio on which silver should be remonetized? We, the Farmers' Union, take the stand that the only basis on which it should be remonetized is the ratio or near the ratio at which it has always been produced. For 2,500 years we have produced less than 16 ounces of silver for each ounce of gold. With not enough of both on which to base honest dollars, we therefore strongly urge that the ratio to gold at which silver is remonetized must be at or very near the ratio at which it has been produced for all these

vears.

There are those who claim that all the nations of the world should be called into international conference on this money question. With nation after nation dropping off the single gold standard or extending its yardstick to include silver as a base, not one of them has thought for a moment to call us into conference. It is not necessary to call an international conference, We must make our Republic secure first. We must look out for our own people.

WHEELER BILL (S. 2487)

A bill was introduced in the last session of Congress to remonetize silver on such a ratio with gold as would give the American people an honest dollar, which we do not now have. The dollars we now have are dishonest ones. They exact such a toll from labor and labor's commodities that it takes too much

toll from labor and labor's commodities that it takes too much labor and too much commodity to secure one of these dishonest dollars. The bill introduced in the last session was by Senator Burron K. Wheeler, of Montana (S. 2487). That bill proposed for the free and unlimited coinage of both gold and silver at the ratio of 16 to 1. That bill even gives the private money lords of this country and of the world a fair deal. The bill merely reestablishes the power of Congress to carry out the provisions of the Constitution, whereas Congress has the power "to issue the currency of this Nation and to set the value thereof." On the basis of 16 to 1 we would once more have an honest dollar. It would reestablish the purchasing power of the people engaged in the five basic industries—agriculture, the mines, the oil wells, the timber. basic industries—agriculture, the mines, the oil wells, the timber, and the products from the sea. Because there are more people in these industries than in any number of secondary industries, their purchasing power would be restored, and as they started to revolve the wheels of industry, the Nation would soon enjoy that pros-perity so long talked of by political quacks, but not enjoyed by anyone at the present time except the money racketeers. Stronger than the Government, stronger than the governments of the world, they now dictate the terms of credit, and by controlling the volume of currency, they set the price on the commodities.

\$9 PER MINUTE FOR 1,900 YEARS

In passing, I desire to call your attention to the fact that under these conditions there has not been enough new wealth created since 1929 to pay the interest and the tax bill. If this new and original wealth produced from the farms, mines, oil wells, timber, and the sea can not pay the interest and tax bill of the Nation, and the sea can not pay the interest and tax bill of the Nation, then naturally bankruptcy not only stares the individual in the face but business and subdivisions of government as well. How much toll do we pay the credit crowd, anyway? With an interest bill last year of \$17,200 per minute, or \$1,000,000 per hour, \$24,000,000 per day, the Nation is incapable of going on. The staggering amounts herein stated might be more clearly brought to your attention when I say that had some one started at the time of Christ to stack up \$9 per minute from then until now, it would have required the entire amount to have paid the interest on the private and public indebtedness for last year.

INCREASE OF INDEBTEDNESS

Did it ever occur to you that the high-priced dollar and the Did it ever occur to you that the high-priced dollar and the attending low-priced commodity have increased the farmers' 1920 indebtedness approximately 500 per cent without changing a figure on the note or the mortgage? This is actually what has happened. Add this disastrous condition which faces the debtor to the already staggering load of actual interest payment in dollars and cents and it will show you a condition from which this Nation can not have to recover these immediate and drawic stars are taken. hope to recover unless immediate and drastic steps are taken.

\$3,000 mortgage, made in 1919 with the dollars of that day, now | approximates \$15,000 because of our lack of paying power.

The illustration which I have given of the American farmer is likewise true and applicable to the workingman. His indebtedness has been increased manyfold because the dollar has been made so high in value and so scarce and his wages have been reduced so much, or entirely, that his indebtedness can not now

The international bank crowd naturally want to continue and to increase this enormous staggering load of interest on the American people. Recent legislation is claimed by those who receive the gratuities emanating therefrom in the way of interest to have been statesmanship par excellence. But I say to you, ladies and gentlemen, you can not borrow yourselves out of debt. We merely enhance and enlarge on the power of the private interests of this Nation, whose dollars we are borrowing. Literally we have enthroned an imperialism of capital. I am sure I know what the great Emancipator meant when he said:

"I see in the year future a relate analysis of the property of the propert

"I see in the near future a crisis approaching that unnerves me and causes me to tremble for the safety of my country. As a result of war, corporations have been enthroned, and an era of corruption in high places will follow. The money power of the country will endeavor to prolong its reign by working upon the prejudices of the people until all the wealth is aggregated in a few hands and the republic is destroyed."

Is it any wonder, with the people's purchasing power destroyed, that hunger and starvation stare millions in the face; that unrest and dissatisfaction are everywhere? Pending the convening of the new Congress, millions of farmers bankrupt by the high-priced dollar are seeking some way out in many localities in the Nation where the public generally expressed in their course. Nation where the public generally, engrossed in their own personal affairs, have given no attention to this great national dissonal affairs, have given no attention to this great national disaster that has overtaken us. Those farmers are now discussing some method by which the public at large may be caused to realize the seriousness of the present situation. In some States tax strikes are being discussed. By this I believe them to mean that the farmers and their business and professional friends in town will refuse to pay taxes until the necessary readjustment has been accomplished. If taxes are not paid, it means that schools and colleges, counties, municipal and State governments would materially suffer but in the suffering would coolly and calmly materially suffer but in the suffering would coolly and calmly attempt to assist those on whom their bread and butter depend.

In some localities of the Nation a plan to sell everything possible, secure the money for it, and take the money home is being discussed. This, within a few weeks, would deplete the bank deposits of actual money, and is believed by some to be the means of bringing powerful forces to our side of the question. When the banks of the Nation close to-day there will be \$50,000,000,000 on deposit with only \$5,000,000,000 in actual money in those banks. Not enough money to transact the business of the Nation.

FARMER'S STRIKE

In 12 Middle Western States the farmers' holiday is being promoted. Bankrupt farmers, driven to desperation, unable to pay their debts, their interest, or their taxes with their commodities, have decided to sell no more commodities until the prices of those commodities have been increased sufficiently to pay the cost of

To those who listen in, let me remind you that up to this hour To those who listen in, let me remind you that up to this nour the farmers of this Nation have never set the price on any com-modity they have bought or sold. They sell at wholesale in an unprotected world market and buy at retail in a highly protected domestic market. If they have decided to follow the lead of all other business or professions in demanding cost of production for their commodities, who shall say such a plan must not be allowed? The farmer has maintained that the right to set the price on a service or commodity was vested in the owner of that service or commodity. He now claims that right for himself. In tatters and rags, with mortgage foreclosures staring him in the face, he appealed to the last Congress for legislation that would guarantee him cost of production for that part of our commodities used in this country. Congress, with heartless indifference, adjuvered without action used in this country.
journed without action.

He now is following the lead of every business and industry in the Nation. He, too, now is demanding the cost of production as a minimum price. It is not only his right to do so, but it is necessary. It is the farmer's moral and patriotic duty to refuse to deliver any more of his products, at less than the cost of production. For information about the Farmers' Holiday Association, write to its national president, Mr. Milo Reno, Des Moines, Iowa.

FARMERS HAVE TO COME FIRST

In summation, my friends, I wish to submit to you, there can be no happiness or hope for prosperity in this country until the 12,000,000 hungry workers are put on the job; until they are connected with the job that is waiting for someone to do. The factory wheels are rusty now, but they are waiting to turn again. The people can consume the manufactured commodities. There is no limit to their demands my friends, except their ability to have The people can consume the manufactured commodities. There is no limit to their demands, my friends—except their ability to buy. The 20,000,000 bungry women and children must be taken out of these breadlines and soup houses. None of this can be accomplished, however, until the purchasing power of the American people has been restored. The purchasing power of the American people can not be restored until the raw commodities of this Nation return to the producers of them an amount equal to the cost of production or more. Under the present trade restrictions with foreign nations and the present high-priced dollar, this can

We must have an inflation of the currency and not be hoped for. bring the high-priced dollar down to an honest basis. inflate to at least the per capita circulation in existence at the time we went in debt; at the time the banks started to crash and the factories to close. Because this Nation is sold on the idea of a precious metal base for our circulating medium, the easiest way we can bring the high-priced dollar down, and the commodities up, is to remonetize silver and have free and unlimited coinage of both gold and silver at the ratio of 16 to 1. This would not, in fact, be inflation. At least it would not be a flat

To the members of the Farmers' Union, and to their thousands of friends who listen in at this hour, let me suggest that no Congressman or Senator should receive the benefit of your vote in this year's election unless he stands for broadening the base on which the currency of this Nation is issued, unless he has the courage and stability and understanding to free this Nation from the stranglehold of the most conscienceless group the world has ever known. Thank you.

FEDERAL HOME-LOAN BANKS

Mr. WALSH of Massachusetts. Mr. President, I am sure all Members of the Senate have had many inquiries concerning the purposes of the Federal home-loan banks.

Some time ago I asked the president of the Federal homeloan bank in New England, Mr. Taylor, to prepare a public statement which could be distributed to citizens who made inquiries concerning the objectives of this institution. I have one of these statements, which has been prepared by the Federal Home Loan Bank of Cambridge. The New England bank is located in that city. The statement states that it explains-

"Why It Was Organized-What It Is Doing-What It Will Do."

The statement is brief; and for the information of the Senate and the public I ask that it be printed in the Con-GRESSIONAL RECORD.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, that order will be made.

The statement is as follows:

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK OF CAMBRIDGE, MASS., Cambridge, Mass.

THE FEDERAL HOME-LOAN BANK OF CAMBRIDGE-WHY IT WAS ORGAN-IZED-WHAT IT IS DOING-WHAT IT WILL DO

The purpose of the Federal home-loan bank system is to maintain and build up individual home ownership. It acts mainly through the agency of savings banks, cooperative banks, building and loan associations, and insurance companies. It sets up for them a reservoir of credit which offers to member institutions 10year loans on the home mortgages written by these institutions, It should not compete with those mutual institutions (whose funds come almost entirely from small savers) but should rather supplement and stabilize their efforts.

The Federal Home Loan Bank of Cambridge has received thousands of applications for loans, of which many show a complete misunderstanding of what the bank can accomplish. There are misunderstanding of what the bank can accomplish. There are many cases wherein the present mortgage is more than 100 per cent of the value of the property (on the applicant's own appraisal), and there are others where the applicant is unable to pay any interest whatsoever and asks for a loan without any expectation of being able to pay. To these home owners the bank suggests that the present mortgagee be consulted and asked to help. Such requests are being granted. If mistakes were made during the period of inflation which ended in 1929, these mistakes should be assumed by the institutions responsible for them. For that class of applications which represents mortgages amounting that class of applications which represents mortgages amounting to about 60 or 70 per cent of the appraisal figure we are now op-erating through local committees representing potential members, to about 60 or 70 per cent of the appraisal figure we are now operating through local committees representing potential members, asking them to arrange for the payment of back taxes, to refinance mortgages in order to pay delinquent interest, and to arrange for a suspension of dues temporarily. Closed State banks have been asked to withhold foreclosure proceedings until the home-loan bank is functioning completely. These requests are being granted in Massachusetts. The bank has not refused any applications which ask for loans normally granted, nor has it refused in any case because a mortgage is already in existence. It has placed before home-loaning institutions throughout New England hundreds of applications for additions to present mortgages. It receives daily information that such loans are being made. Indirectly it has prevented foreclosures, provided money for necessary repairs and payment of taxes, and it has made suggestions which have saved the equities of a great many home owners.

In New England enabling legislation is necessary to permit savings banks and building and loan associations to become members of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Cambridge and to borrow money for the purpose of making loans to individual home owners. It is hoped that this legislation will be passed early in January, and at that time funds will be available immediately. The institutions are already filing their applications for membership and are now being examined and listed for maximum credit. There is no mortgage money in New England, and a large amount

is needed. The twelve and one-half million dollars with which capital the bank started must be increased by the sale of bonds, and this can be done only if we operate on a sound financial basis. The Federal Home Loan Bank of Cambridge will offer to home owners of New England security which present demoralized conditions show never to have been in existence. Furthermore, conditions show never to have been in existence. Furthermore, in being a source of credit (emergency or otherwise) for these mutual institutions, it will protect the small savings of thousands of individuals. It is providing funds which will be used primarily for the employment of labor—in repairing and modernizing—and for new building operations. It will not make a loan for the purpose of allowing any institution to hoard cash. It is a permanently constructive measure, and it is being accepted as such in every New England State. such in every New England State.

H. F. TAYLOR, Jr., President.

W. H. NEAVES, Executive Vice President.

THE RAILWAY SITUATION

Mr. LA FOLLETTE. Mr. President, by request of Mr. A. F. Whitney, chairman of the Railway Labor Executives' Association, I ask to have printed in the RECORD a telegram signed by him and addressed to Mr. Bernard M. Baruch, vice chairman National Transportation Committee, Empire State Building, New York City.

There being no objection, the telegram was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

[Night letter]

CHICAGO, ILL., December 7, 1932.

BERNARD M. BARUCH,

Vice Chairman, National Transportation Committee, Empire State Building, New York, N. Y.

You letter of November 10, requesting that our association submit certain information to the National Transportation Committee, gave us no adequate description of the composition, purpose, program, nor origin of your committee. We have consequently found it necessary to make some investigation to determine these facts.

Our investigation develops the fact that the committee for which you speak has been appointed and is being financed by a special bondholding interest. While the committee is nonpartisan in a strictly political sense, in that it includes past leaders of our principal political parties, it is representative of a specific group with a direct interest in the policies to be adopted in the railway industry. Since that group and its interests can not be said to be coextensive with the industry as a whole, nor with the general public affected by railway policies, we are unable to form any other conclusion than that in its inspiration, in its financing, and in its choice of personnel your committee is representative only of that special group of railway bondholders. Its status, we feel, is precisely the same as would be that of a committee appointed by railway workers, by railway managements, or by a group of shippers with special interests in the railway situation. Our investigation develops the fact that the committee for group of shippers with special interests in the railway situation.

group of shippers with special interests in the railway situation.

So far as we understand the matters which your committee proposes to investigate, they are subjects which come properly within the purview of existing governmental agencies. The Interstate Commerce Commission, the Interstate Commerce Committees of the two Houses of Congress, and the United States Board of Mediation are properly charged with the conduct of such investigations as that which is being made by your committee. On the other hand, your committee has no legal standing whatever. Despite the political prominence of certain members of your committee, it seems certain that the American public would have less justification in expecting complete and impartial investigation by justification in expecting complete and impartial investigation by your committee than it would have if such an investigation by your committee than it would have if such an investigation were being undertaken by a governmental body properly representative of the welfare of the entire Nation.

Since the railway situation is far from ideal, we believe an investigation by authority of the United States Congress should be made. The danger to the transportation industry presented by new and unregulated transportation agencies is generally recognized and, we believe, would repay detailed governmental study. Certain other factors in the railway situation, such as unsound financial set-up, also merit careful investigation. It is to be mancial set-up, also merit careful investigation. It is to be hoped that a properly constituted governmental body will bring to such an investigation in the near future the facilities, the experience, the authority, and the impartiality which are necessary to insure any degree of value or public confidence in the findings of any study to be made.

No useful purpose would be served by our presentation to your committee of matters properly to be submitted to other and authoritative bodies.

By order of the Railway Labor Executives' Association:
A. F. WHITNEY, Chairman.

THE WORLD COURT

Mr. LOGAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD two editorials-one from the Louisville Courier-Journal of December 12, and the other from the Louisville Times of December 12-relating to the World Court.

There being no objection, the editorials were ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

[From the Louisville (Ky.) Courier-Journal of Monday, December 12, 1932]

GIVE US THE WORLD COURT

The Courier-Journal this morning devotes considerable space to the publication of two appeals to be submitted to-day to the United States Senate. These appeals, the one signed by a number of prominent Democrats and the other signed by as many prominent Republicans, urge the immediate ratification by the Senate of the protocols now before it for American adhesion to the Permenent Court of International Justice

manent Court of International Justice.

There should have been no occasion for these appeals. Senate as long ago as 1926 voted adherence to the court, with certain reservations. These reservations have been accepted by the nations constituting the membership of the tribunal, and treaties nations constituting the membership of the tribunal, and treaties laying before the Senate the revised protocols were reported favorably to that body last June. The reservations stipulated by the Senate in 1926 have been fully met, and there is no excuse for further protracting the delay in joining the court, a delay which already has lasted nearly 10 years.

The Senate should end its dawdling on this question and act decisively on it at once. The platforms of both political parties promised our adherence to the court and the people of the country generally not only favor it but demand it.

ry generally not only favor it but demand it.

As the Republican petition well puts it, "action upon the court measures has in previous sessions been deferred on the ground that pressing domestic legislation of an economic nature made it impracticable to take the time for considering the court treaties. Urgent questions confront the short session, also questions derived both from the troubled situation at home and from the troubled situation abroad. Far from constituting a reason for again deferring action, the present troubled condition of the world points imperatively to the need for clear indorsement of the stabilizing principle of judicial settlement of those disputes which will continually arise between nations, the more frequently as their economic interrelations become the more complex.'

[From the Louisville Times of Monday, December 12, 1932] SENATE SHOULD DO IT NOW

That, December 12, 1932, it is necessary for Americans who have for years advocated this country's adhesion to the World Court to recommend, once more, that the Senate act upon the protocols is deplorable.

It will be even more deplorable if it be necessary for the same appeal to be made once—even once—more.

Half a dozen years ago the Senate accepted in principal the proposal, then four years old, that the United States assume its responsibilities as a major nation whose people want safeguards of

The reservations of the Senate were agreed to by nations earn-estly desirous of the cooperation of the United States.

There is nothing left to do but to do what obviously it is the duty of the Senate to do. There is no excuse for additional delay or defense of additional

delay.

There is no defense in politics, for the two political parties are committed formally in written and recorded declarations to the course that has not been taken by the Senate.

The public, or that part of it which as a rule takes an interest, intelligently and persistently, in public affairs, is weary of the shilly-shallying, the excuse making, the sorry subterfuge of a Senate which has had, according to its assertions, something more important to do; but which has not done, after the excuse, anything more important than what it has omitted to do.

Everyone's mind is made up, and long has been made up, upon

Everyone's mind is made up, and long has been made up, upon

this much-mooted question.

No extended discussion and deliberation are needed in the Senate There is nothing to be done but to do what should have been

There is no reason for much time being taken up if the Senate is, as usual, ready to say that this is its busy session.

Nothing could be gotten out of the way so easily and so quickly as the World Court resolution.

Nothing which could be done as quickly would get so much out

of the way.

The Senate should abandon its policy of evasion and try, at last, upon this question the policy of truth and forthrightness.

Mr. WALCOTT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD an exceedingly able editorial appearing in the Hartford Courant on the subject of the World Court.

There being no objection, the editorial was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

> [From the Hartford Courant] AN APPEAL FOR THE WORLD COURT

An impressive group of Republicans headed by Gen, James G. Harbord and an equally impressive group of Democrats headed by Mr. John W. Davis have appealed to their respective party members in the Senate to dispose of the World Court issue on

its merits at the present session of Congress. Disposing of the issue on its merits can hardly mean anything else but ratifying the three pending protocols, which adequately meet the reservations that the Senate made when it voted nearly seven years ago the adherence of the United States in principle to the court.

ago the adherence of the United States in principle to the court.

We assert that these protocols meet the Senate's reservations on the authority of an expert study made of them by a committee of the American Bar Association whose report was adopted by the whole association, also on the authority of Secretary Stimson, of the Department of State. When the court by its statute and by the terms of the protocols is restrained either from handing down a judgment or giving an advisory opinion in any dispute that concerns the United States without the explicit consent of this country, how can it be questioned that the interests

dispute that concerns the United States without the explicit consent of this country, how can it be questioned that the interests of the United States are not fully protected?

Too long already has this matter of our adherence to the Permanent Court of International Justice been delayed. Nearly 10 years have elapsed since the question was first submitted to the Senate. It will be seven years in January since the Senate voted adherence provided certain stipulations were met. For three years action on the protocols covering the Senate stipulations or reservations has been deferred on one pretext or lations or reservations has been deferred on one pretext or another. The greater urgency of domestic legislation has been pleaded, but urgent as this legislation has been there have been numerous occasions when the Senate could have disposed of the

question had it so desired.

There never will be a time when domestic legislation deemed rhere never will be a time when domestic legislation deemed urgent by many will not be before the Senate, but much of this legislation must necessarily await the reports of committees before the Senate is called upon to act. During intervals between reports the Senate wastes a great deal of time talking about nothing of particular moment. There will be opportunity unquestionably before this session of Congress ends on March 4 to vote on the protocols if the Senate is so disposed. The purpose of the appeals to it by General Harbord's group of Repub-

to vote on the protocols if the Senate is so disposed. The purpose of the appeals to it by General Harbord's group of Republicans and Mr. Davis's group of Democrats is to bring it into a disposing frame of mind and to cause it to carry out the commitments of the respective party platforms.

The Republican Party in its national convention of last June, after reminding that three successive Republican Presidents had urged adherence to the court, declared: "America should join its influence and gain a voice in this institution, which would offer us a safer, more judicial and expeditious instrument for the constantly recurring questions between us and other nations than is now available by arbitration." The Democratic Party, after advocating a firm foreign policy, "including peace with all the world," declared for "adherence to the World Court with appending reservations," these reservations being those which the Senate made in 1926, when by a vote of 76 to 17 it went on record in favor of the United States joining the court if and when its conditions were met.

In fulfillment of Republican and Democratic promises, the Senate should at this session vote on the protocols—not only vote on them, but vote them its approval, and by so doing bring the United States into full World Court membership along with 54

other nations.

There are at present only eight other nations outside the court—Argentina, Mexico, Honduras, Ecuador, Egypt, the Soviet Republics of Russia, Turkey, and Afghanistan. For the great and powerful United States to be in this group of nations standing aloof from the World Court is anything but creditable. Especially is this true because of the initiative taken by the United States in bringing about the pact of Paris, under which 59 nations have agreed to renounce war as an instrument of national policy and to submit all questions in dispute to adjudication. The inconsistency of looking to the judicial settlement of all international questions that might otherwise lead to war while not being ourselves a member of the tribunal that is to sit in judgment, certainly should have impressed the Senate by this time.

The United States has gone on quite long enough professing peace, helping to set up agencies for peace, such as the League of Nations and the pact of Paris, freely giving advice as to how other nations should conduct themselves, yet refusing to assume the obligations of its self-appointed leadership. It would only in part practice what it has preached by joining the Permanent Court of International Justice. Let action at this session of Congress now atone at least partially for all these years of indecision and delay. There are at present only eight other nations outside the court

ARTICLE BY SENATOR WHEELER ON THE ECONOMIC SITUATION

Mr. THOMAS of Oklahoma. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD a brief news story prepared by Senator Burton K. Wheeler, of Montana, appearing in the Washington Herald of December 18, 1932.

There being no objection, the article was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

Wheeler Cites Seven Points as Basis for a New America—Warns Democrats that Burden of Responsibility Now is in Their

By Burton K. Wheeler, United States Senator, Montana

The desperate situation into which this country has drifted during the past three years calls for drastic measures and for political

courage to put them into effect.

It is now widely admitted that we can not return to things as they were in 1929, much less to things as they were before the war. "Restoration" in this sense is impossible. We have to re-

construct-to build a new, a stable, and a really prosperous America.

Can the Democratic Party do this? Has it the vision? Has it the courage?

HOPE OF 20,000,000 VOTERS

Twenty million Americans last November, when they voted our party into power, hoped it would solve the problem, hoped it had the vision and the courage. Is the party going to justify that hope? Or is it, by disappointing it, to be overwhelmed, as has been the Republican Party, by the anger of a disillusioned country? There can be no question as to the wishes and needs of the people at this time. These needs are immediate and clamor for immediate action. They include the following:

There can be no question as to the wishes and neepeople at this time. These needs are immediate and commediate action. They include the following:

1. Restoration of employment.

2. Adequate relief for the destitute.

3. Amortization of farm and home mortgages.

4. Restoration of agriculture and of commodity prices.

5. Restoration of the 1928 living standard.

6. Security for savings and investments.

7. Redistribution of the tay hurden.

6. Security for savings and investments.
7. Redistribution of the tax burden.
These seven topics constitute merely an emergency program.
Were they put into effect they would do little more than put an end to widespread suffering, relieve our almost unbearable apprehension, and enable us to set to work calmly with the major program of reconstruction that is imperative. gram of reconstruction that is imperative.

EMERGENCY MORE INTENSE

It is my opinion, however, that unless this emergency program is proclaimed without much more delay, as the program of the Democratic Party, the emergency that grips us will become more intense and the Democratic Party will find itself repudiated by the

Eleven million workers are jobless. Prosperity consists essentially in restoring them to work.

Among these 11,000,000 unemployed are several million men. women, and children who to-day are suffering from cold and

BETTER CARED FOR

It is imperative that they be more adequately cared for.

There should be no hesitation on the part of the Democratic Party in Congress about this. Measures sponsored by Senators Wagner, Costigan, and La Follette now pending should be made part of the Democratic Party's emergency program and enacted

Immediate relief should be given farmers and city home owners to assure them security of their property from foreclosure or sale for delinquent taxes.

There can be no prosperity until our farmers are once again

prosperous.

prosperous.

My bill to remonetize silver, now pending before the Senate Finance Committee, would do more for the farmers than all of the allotment plans or other makeshifts could possibly do. First of all, it would quadruple the cost of production of competing farmers in other countries producing wheat and cotton and so on. Likewise it would quadruple the purchasing power of nearly half of the people of the entire world who are now using silver as their yardstick.

As things stand now, congressional Democrats are "going along" with meaningless measures. It is true they could not enact a genuine farm program this session. They should make this clear to the farmers, force the Republican Party to accept the responsibility, and prepare a genuine program for immediate enactment by a special session.

Under the plausible guise of a "share the work" program, the banker-controlled interests have been merely spreading poverty over larger and larger sections of the country.

HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS

HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS

Our workers and small investors, through the collapse of mismanaged or dishonestly operated banks, have lost hundreds of millions of dollars. It is imperative that the entire banking system be reorganized; that its control be taken from the hands of

Selfish, private interests.

Instead of this, by the so-called Glass bill, the branch banking system is gaining a great step forward. Its ultimate purpose is to lodge ownership and control of the banks, from one end of the country to the other, in New York City. Branch banking should not be encouraged.

These are among the immediate measures expected from the

Democratic Party.

EDITORIAL BY ARTHUR BRISBANE ENTITLED "ALL RIDE ON THE FARMER'S BACK"

Mr. CAPPER. Mr. President, I send to the desk and ask to have printed in the RECORD an editorial by Arthur Brisbane, published recently in the Hearst newspapers, entitled "All Ride on the Farmer's Back." It is a very able and sympathetic discussion of the present difficulties of the farmers of the West.

There being no objection, the editorial was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

ALL RIDE ON THE FARMER'S BACK

"GIVE US THIS DAY OUR DAILY BREAD"

That prayer is heard around the earth. And every day the daily bread is ready. The kindness of Providence makes it possible, the energy and patient work of the farmer provides it.

Everything in our Nation—prosperity, happiness, health, wealth— all is built on the work of the farmer.

We have wonderful factories, giant buildings, magnificent machinery, fine ships, railroads, mines. They would all be abandoned, deserted, worthless, if the farmer's food supply should stop.

The wealth that farmers take from the soil and distribute among our 120,000,000 people is the greatest wealth of the Nation, both in actual money value and in the real values that transcend

the value of money.

The farmer, his wife, and family represent the very beginning of civilization. Men changed from wandering savage "nomads," traveling from place to place in search of fresh pasture and more

game only when farming began.

Planting seeds, raising crops, domesticating and breeding animals, with production of milk and butter—in short, the beginning

of farm work—was the necessary and only possible beginning of settled residence and civilization for human beings.

The farmer's work is hard, as are his working conditions. He must depend largely on chance, on the whims of nature, and the weather. In every direction he must look for trouble. And in all the history of farming and its struggling there has never been any source to which he could look with confidence for the help that he deserves

A beautiful, clear-blue sky, so pleasing to you, is a menace to the farmer. He watches it anxiously for clouds that will bring rain and fertility.

Insect life in a thousand forms menaces him-the grasshopper, the army worm, the potato bug, boll weevil, corn borer, and thousands more dangerous pests that he can not see and must fight after they have arrived—like the rust that attacks his wheat, the scale that attacks his fruit trees.

And after he has produced his crops the farmer must watch the food speculator, the "middleman army" that manipulates prices, putting prices down on the farm, putting them up to the consumer, extracting from the farmer's work a profit that the farmer

never dreamed of.
"In union there is strength." And in those five words lies the farmer's greatest difficulty.

The farmers are separated in plans, as in residence—widely

Packed into the factories of one single employer you may find men exceeding in number all of the farmers spread over thou-sands of square miles of land. The closely packed workers in cities, on railroads, in factories can unite, organize, protest, unionize themselves, and strike.

The farmer in many ways is unlike the union man who can make his fight for better conditions and, in days of prosperity at

least, can get the better conditions.

Ten thousand bricklayers or carpenters, masons or stonecutters, carry the same simple tools, work in the same way.

Ten thousand farmers employ different tools, work in totally

different ways. One farmer cultivates a thousand acres with powerful machin-

One farmer cultivates a thousand acres with powerful machin-ery and the help of hired men.

Another works his small "1-man farm" with a team of horses or mules that eat all winter, when they are not working, and that work slowly when they do work.

The farmer is an individualist and what the city man would call a "theorist"; that is to say, he lives apart, he sees the trees, the sky, the fields, he thinks for himself, and does not willingly submit to the discipline of other farmers. About all that he has worth while in a world that refuses him prosperity and freedom worth while in a world that refuses him prosperity and freedom from anxiety in old age is his individual liberty—and he does not

from anxiety in old age is his individual liberty—and he does not intend to give that up.

He may join a "farmers' grange," but when he gathers with other farmers it is often to argue rather than to agree.

There are compensations in a farmer's life that for many farmers offset the advantage of high union wages in the crowded city.

He prefers farm worries in the open country.

Few farmers would be willing to answer the call of another man's factory whistle every day; few would give up their direct contact with nature.

Many of them, in spite of hardship and disappointment, enjoy

Many of them, in spite of hardship and disappointment, enjoy the feeling that their rewards depend upon their individual effort,

the feeling that their rewards depend upon their individual effort, knowledge, and determination.

Above all, a farmer, if he be one of the fortunate few, can look upon his plot of the earth's surface and say: "This is mine."

But once that saying meant more than it does now. To-day tax gatherers come saying: "Pay or this will be yours no longer." And the lender of money on mortgage sends word: "Pay or move."

Thousands of farms upon which the farmers have expended the energy, hard work, and earnest effort of their lives are taken from them when they are old.

Their sons, discouraged, have long since gone off to big cities and more generous pay rolls. They and their wives must move on

and more generous pay rolls. They and their wives must move on and do what they can.

What is the remedy; what could government do?

No man would venture to suggest a perfect or complete remedy for difficulties based partly on disorganization, isolation, lack of cooperation.

But the Government could do something. To begin, it could appreciate and admit the truth of the picture printed above.

A man riding a horse is grateful to the horse. A nation, its bankers, manufacturers, and all its prosperity, riding on the farmer's back, should at least be grateful to the farmer.

And perhaps the Government might say to the farmers: "You feed this Nation in peace and war. The Nation's duty is to protect you; and until you have sold for a price all that you have pro-

duced nobody in this country shall eat or wear the produce of any farm outside the United States."

Why should any American wear leather or wool grown in Aus-

tralia or the Argentine Republic while any American farmer has wool and hides unsold?

Why should any American eat mutton or beef grown across the ocean, or eggs laid in China, while American farmers have cattle and eggs unsold?

A man would be ashamed to buy from a stranger and refuse to patronize his own brother

Every American should feel the same way toward American farmers.

We could have complete protection for the farmer, a program of "eat the products of American farms first," resorting, if neces-

sary, to Government regulation of prices. That would be nothing new or startling. The French have proved that it can be done. American farmers whose wheat has brought them less than 30 cents a bushel, on the farm, may be amazed to learn that Government regulation in France, at the very same time, gave to every French farmer \$1.50 for every bushel of

wheat he produced. You can not mix flour made from foreign wheat in any French

bread until the French farmer has sold all his grain crop.

The French Government tells you what percentage of foreign flour may enter a loaf of bread made in France.

That plan works, and France, in proportion to population and in spite of war, is the richest country in the world, with more independent farmers, more individual land owners, and more gold

stored away in proportion to population, twice over, than any other country. It has been taken too much for granted that "nothing can be

done for the farmer, the law of supply and demand must settle all his problems," etc.

If any man were caught in France selling wheat short, trying to put down the price, he would go to jail, at hard labor.

However, it is for the wisdom of those in high office, and for the voters as a whole, to decide what shall be done to improve the condition of the farmers to whom the entire Nation owes life,

health, and strength.

One thing is certain, the richest Government in the world, that can find eighty or ninety millions to lend to one bank in trouble, other millions for railroads, etc., might at least think about the

farmer.

RELIEF OF ECONOMIC CONDITIONS

Mr. BAILEY. Mr. President, a famous historian of the nineteenth century drew in his mind's eye and made immortal on the pages of his history the picture of a traveller from New Zealand standing upon London Bridge and sketching the ruins of St. Paul's Cathedral. As I have sat here this morning, and on other days, I have thought of the probable appearance of a historian, at a not distant date, who would draw a picture by analogy of the equanimity and the serenity of distinguished Senators of the United States as they discussed academic questions relating to prospective amendments to the Constitution in distant years, and discussed likewise, with all earnestness, a legislative enactment touching the independence of possessions to take place in the distant future. He would draw his picture of this serene scene and then describe the elements and the factors which were undermining the scene itself and the land that looked to the scene in hopeless woe and vain despair.

Mr. President. I have thought that it would not be amiss. now that we come to the end of the period since the first Monday in December, the 5th of December, when we first met, and some of us are turning our faces homeward in the security of the emoluments of our offices-turning somewhat happily, I take it, and I hope happily for us all—that as we turn we might do something that would give assurance to some 120,000,000 Americans, that there was something to be hoped for yet from their democracy and its institutions, that those appointed to high station, and crowned with real honors in their behalf, did really know something of their condition, did really feel very deeply something of their woe, and did in all earnestness take thought to see if there were any way whereby they might, by any means, do something to relieve, something to alleviate, something to stem and even to turn the fateful tide which appears these three years to have been sweeping on to destruction these 120,000,000 men, women, and children whom we call Americans.

With those thoughts for an introduction, Mr. President, I am going to take up my notes now, and read seriatim, by number, an orderly statement of my views as to this crisis. I could speak it extemporaneously, to be sure, but I am going to stick to the notes in the interest of expedition. In order that I may be brief and at the same time clear, I shall call my paragraphs by their numbers.

First. The most manifest and acute aspect of our situation is the helpless unemployment of about 10,000,000 of our workers, directly affecting 33,000,000 of our population, and, indirectly, affecting all. After three years we know that nothing whatever has served to stem this fateful tide of woe and ruin. We know that. We are the witnesses to our failure, in so far as we are responsible.

Second. Especially significant is the reduction of the national income from about \$90,000,000,000 per year to about \$40,000,000,000 per year, by estimation, and this with only insignificant reduction in tax charges and debt charges from the peak of 1928 or 1929.

Third. We can not under the circumstances maintain 10,000,000 unemployed, either by private charity or taxation or both; and if ever a sterner reality faced a legislative body than that, my reading of history has failed to disclose the fact.

The existing situation is, therefore, temporary and critical. We must elect either to provide the means of restoring employment to at least 5,000,000 of the unemployed or take the consequences of the rapidly approaching breaking point, whatever those consequences may be; and there is a breaking point, and under these conditions it can not be in the distant future.

Fourth. It is recognized that a large measure of unemployment, say not less than 30 per cent, is due directly to technological increase of man power, and even if normal conditions otherwise might be restored, fully 3,000,000 workers would still be without jobs.

Fifth. Under these conditions there is but one fair outlet—the land, successful agriculture. Throughout Europe the land supports incomparably larger populations than it supports here, and supports them upon a better standard than our population now endures. The boasted standard of American living and wages has disappeared as completely as the civilizations of the Pharaohs. It does not exist.

Upon any comparison or calculation, there is room in America for fully 5,000,000 more farmers and farm workers. Were so many, in addition to our 8,000,000 farm owners, fairly supported upon our lands, it is reasonable to assume that the remainder of the unemployed would have some outlet for their services, and so our problem of unemployment would approach solution. We would thus at least have begun to recover.

Sixth. Our farmers are in no better plight than are our unemployed workers. They have labored three years without reward. There is no sale for large portions of their crops. They are accused of having produced too much, in a land in which starvation stalks. They do not receive enough to pay their taxes and clothe their wives and children. They owe about \$11,000,000,000, secured by mortgages on their lands, and it is safe to say that their fixed charges for taxes and interest require nearly half their gross income.

Millions of them are in default, and foreclosures are driving them from their homes and depriving them of their farms. The picture of American agriculture to-day is not the picture of a plowman between the plow handles, or of the reaper on the plains gathering the harvest, but it is the picture of mothers and fathers and little children moving from the land of their inheritance and out into helplessness, becoming tenants and bankrupts.

To say the least, this state of affairs can not be spoken of as civilization. It is not civilization. The implications of the word "civilization" are order, peace, security, and we can not call that a civilization in which 8,000,000 farmers are threatened with the loss of their farms and 10,000,000 human beings have no security whatever. There is no civilization where there is no security.

Go back to the Latin "civis." That means quiet. That means confidence. The essence of civilization is security, and when security goes the essence of civilization is gone. We might as well confront that fact also in this boasted Republic of the West, this land of the free, this home of the insecure.

To utter an elementary truth, no government can tolerate this sort of thing and expect to last. We can not stand for it and expect to go on. The thing is degeneration itself. It is not something that we have to resist from without. It is something that is going on within us. It is degeneration, and I am speaking now to a physician directly in front of me, the senior Senator from New York [Mr. Copeland], who knows what degeneration is in a medical and technical sense. The situation in America can be summed up in the one word. The symptoms, the evidence, the characteristics of it, the destruction of security in the land indicate degeneration itself.

Seventh. Manifestly the primary obligation of this Government is to address itself with all earnestness to the farm problem. The stability of government, the profitableness of enterprise, the circulation of money, the relief of unemployment are each and all bound up in it. Paliatives and emergency measures will not serve. There must be solution, and it must appear in the harvest of 1933. The harvest of 1932 was a harvest of tears and ruin, and the harvest of 1931 was a harvest of sorrow and despair. We have reached the point now where we can not afford any harvest other than a good crop and a good price in the year upon which we are about to enter. The men who sow in the coming spring must sow not in hope, not in faith, but in assurance.

There has been enough of hope and enough of faith in them. Their faith has been sufficiently disappointed and broken to be destroyed. I rejoice in the fact that there is something in them that does not permit that faith to die, but I do not think that is political, and I do not think that anything we have done has tended to conserve that faith. That comes from God, and that is the man in them. They must sow now in assurance, and they must reap in the time of harvest, not in tears, as they have reaped for three years, but in joy.

Mr. President, I hesitate to contemplate what will be the consequences if we go through another year and another harvest in times like these. I had hopes last spring that somehow wheat and cotton and corn and pork and tobacco would rise in price. If they had risen so high that they had elected the present President and Vice President, then my joy in the deliverance of millions of men and the happiness of my country would have far outweighed my disappointment in a mere political matter. But we know what happened. As the harvest came down, the prices fell below the harvest, below the former year and the year before that.

Eighth. The more acute difficulty with agriculture is the disparity between prices received by the farmers and the prices paid by the farmers. This disparity is indicated by reference to the latest pre-war year, 1913, as the standard. Farmers now buy 9 cents in the dollar above that standard and sell at 53 cents in the dollar below that standard. I judge that that is the most amazing economic fact in all the history of the American people. The farmers buy 9 points above the line and they sell 53 points below the line. This not only destroys their buying power and thus destroys industry and commerce, but it accounts for fully five millions of the unemployed. At the same time it ruins our agricultural population of 33,000,000 human beings and prevents the hope of absorbing on the land three millions of workers made idle by technological invention and organization.

Ninth. This disparity between the prices received by the farmer and the prices paid by the farmer is largely caused by one fact, to wit, the American farmer sells in the world market his cotton, his pork—and that means his corn—his tobacco, in large measure, and other products in smaller measure. The farmer's prices are world prices. The pound, the franc, and the yen affect his prices more than does the dollar. But he buys in the domestic market. Our fabricated goods enjoy the benefit of a tariff. The farmer sells at world prices and buys at domestic prices. He sells in free trade and he buys against protective tariffs. No; he buys against protective tariffs and sells against depreciated foreign currencies and against restrictions upon dollar exchange and quotas and tariffs. Thus, he has been caught

literally between the upper and the nether millstones and | has been ground to powder. His ruin spells the ruin of all the rest of us-not only political parties but industry, commerce, corporations, merchants, utilities, railroads, the schools, the workers, the Government itself, and all who enjoy the emoluments of its offices. We can neither balance the Budget nor maintain order under such circumstances, nor may we hope to extricate ourselves by emergency measures and a policy of procrastination, which has been the policy of the last three years. We and they have had enough of that.

Nor will generalities serve. There must be action, affirmative, definite, direct, and effective. If there is to be a new deal in America, let America know it, and let her know it now. One hundred and twenty million people, half of whom are in despair, millions of whom have been stretching vain hands out to both political parties, to the Congress, to the Government, want to know at last, and they are entitled

Tenth. Assuming that our immediate task is to correct the disparity between prices paid by the farmers and prices received by the farmers, how shall we proceed?

Let us observe that the task is not impossible. The official charts show there was no disparity in the year 1913 and very little in the year 1924. I refer to the charts of the Department of Agriculture of the United States Government. What has been achieved twice within two decades may not be considered impossible or even impracticable now. Moreover, the achievement is demanded not only as a matter of justice but of national self-preservation. We must achieve this end or confess the failure of democracy and await the incoming of a new régime to meet the task. To delay or to fail is to invite the consequences. If we do fail, how can we argue that the next man who proposes something, however radical, is not entitled to a hearing in the arena of our

Eleventh. There are those who have argued that the indebtedness of certain European nations to us, especially the kingdom of Great Britain, is responsible for the depreciation of foreign currencies and restrictions upon dollar exchange, and therefore that reduction or cancellation of those debts would be a helpful first step. This argument is not well founded. Conceded that the pound of Great Britain is the governing monetary factor for half the consuming population of the earth, no action of the United States can stabilize the pound. The payment of Great Britain's annual installment is by no means one of the greater difficulties of Great Britain. The instability of her pound and her difficulty in returning to the gold standard are due to profounder causes. The balance of trade, for example, for a long period has run against her and it continues to run against her in ominous measure. Remission of the debt would not arrest this process and, indeed, would prove but a small contribution to that consummation. Those who are advancing this argument may be surprised to know that the volume of our agricultural exports has decidedly increased in the 11 months of the present year according to the tables printed in the United States Daily within the last three days.

Altogether, if I thought the rescue of our farmers and our civilization were contingent upon our power to lift the value of and to stabilize the British pound or the money of other nations, I would yield to despair. I will agree, however, to any reasonable and well-conceived plan to restore currency stability and commercial stability throughout the earth, for I know we can not live unto ourselves alone and ought not to seek to do so. In such a course there may be some assistance of real value, but not the

efficient antidote to our ills which is demanded.

Certainly I would prefer devaluating our currency and so reducing all debts, foreign and domestic, to even considering the reduction of the debts of foreign nations alone.

Twelfth. If we are to continue our tariff policy-and certainly none of us would now open our doors to the exports of the other nations, when to do so would close the industries so feebly running and throw other millions out of employment and thus at once set off the ultimate crash-if | ing upon which we live, and if it happens that, in the good-

we are to continue our general tariff policy, modify it though we may, we must recognize the necessity for compensatory provisions for our farmers.

It occurs to me that these are far more available in a well-contrived domestic policy than in debentures, allotments, tax-bounty schemes, and so-called equalization fees.

- (a) We contemplate economic conferences with foreign nations. Why not have one with American States? Is it too much to seek the means of lifting from the farmers the burden of property taxes when they are the victims of an economic system of our own devising which makes it practically impossible for them to pay taxes? May we not contrive the national tax policy with a view to such an end?
- (b) We are now levying special taxes which press down upon the farmer. Is it too much to ask that we levy, if we must levy, a manufacturer's license or excise tax which will especially exempt food, medicine, agricultural equipment, fertilizer, and moderate-priced clothing, and so lift the burden from the back of the farmer and compensate him against the tariff imposition or discrimination to that extent?
- (c) We lay a tax of \$1.09 on every pound of tobacco the farmer sells to the manufacturers of cigarettes. The farmer gets 15 cents a pound for the tobacco; the United States Government gets \$1.09. The great Government of the United States, which neither toils nor spins so far as the tobacco farmers are concerned, which rarely serves them in any direct way, which can call upon their sons to lay down their bodies in battle and for which their sons have freely laid their lives down in battle, takes \$1.09 on a pound of tobacco of the farmers and the tobacco farmer is allowed only 15 cents. That, on its face, is oppressive; it is a monstrous governmental iniquity. Is it unreasonable to ask that we reduce this tax or return it for the purpose of reducing the farmer's property taxes?
- (d) We can provide a lower freight rate for agricultural products. At the present moment the farmers and the railroads are seeking lower rates on cotton, but the Interstate Commerce Commission, in its infinite wisdom, denies the petition of the railroads for that humble relief to reduce the freight on the cotton of the farmers of the South.

I confess, Mr. President, my inability to follow the logic or the common sense of such a procedure, but it is the fact. The farmers want the lower rates, the consumers want the lower rates, the railroads want the lower rates, but the Interstate Commerce Commission for some reason denies the

It is along these lines that we may compensate the farmer for the prices he must pay for protected goods. All these points I have made relate to the compensatory considerations for the farmer as against the high prices he must pay in the home market for fabricated goods which enjoy protection or protective tariffs, while he sells in the world market.

In the fair measure of justice rather than in subsidies and bounties we find our Republic operating consistently within its traditional character and at the same time correcting a ruinous disparity in the market place.

(e) Again, the farmers are not responsible for their surplus, nor should they be penalized for producing it. farmer can not govern the seasons; he must deal with fruitful and unfruitful years. The beneficiaries of his labors, those who in lean years enjoy abundance because of him, ought to share with him against the disaster of his labor and his surpluses. We ought not to abandon our provisions for marketing agricultural products; we ought to enlarge those provisions and more wisely administer them. We have made only a beginning; we can yet manage the difficulty of reasonably controlled production by inducements voluntarily accepted and a time limit strictly adhered to in respect of holding any farmer's produce.

We can do more here by intelligence than by attempts at force or taxation. We can manage the surpluses by marketing, warehousing, and credits, plus contractual control; and we are going to do it, and we are not going into the course of leaving the farmer to produce the food and clothness of God or the kindness of nature, his labors and lands bring forth more fruitfully than he anticipated, we are not going to put him into the poorhouse on that account: and we are not going to charge anybody with socialism who says that the beneficiaries of the energies and the industry and the skill of the farmers and of the chances they must take as they cultivate the soil, should share with them the penalties, if there be penalties, of overproduction.

(f) The process of agricultural rescue and redemption does not depend altogether upon the Congress. It is my view that the farmers must learn to regard farming more as a means of living, a mode of livelihood and of security, than of money-making. The small farmer, securely maintaining a family, receiving surely a livelihood and some money, is the hope of our civilization. So conceived, it is safe to say that American agriculture will in the long run yield not only the highest degree of economic independence but also an incomparably larger net dollar profit than at any time in the recent past.

Finally, at the present moment we have an immediate problem in saving the land and the homes of the farmers. No increase in prices will suffice for this. None nor all of the suggestions I have made will serve in this momentous necessity. We can not remain idle while millions of farmers are dispossessed and their wives and children turned out of doors. This is an intolerable spectacle and unworthy not only of a government but of anything that calls itself a civilization. As I said just now, that is not civilization, and when that goes on we do not have civilization, delude ourselves though we may. It is as barbarous as it is cruel. The governments of the States and the Government of the United States must take a hand here and without delay, not merely in the interest of the farmer, not merely in the interest of human beings but in the interest of the Government, in the interest of civilization itself we must take an instant and effectual hand here.

The States have no power to impair the obligations of contracts; the Congress of the United States has, in that it has the power to regulate the value of money and to tax the profiteer in money. It is unjust, it is immoral, it is extortion to exact of debtors from two to three to four times the value of the money loaned. Whether it be done under the form of law or rising or falling prices does not affect the essential immorality of the transaction. It is cruel to strip a man of his home upon such terms. The lawfulness of such a transaction does not modify its unrighteousness. It ought not to be done in America. Throughout this land fair terms ought to be made between creditors and debtors; and, if not voluntarily made, the Congress will find in that fact an additional reason why it should proceed to exercise its constitutional power to coin money and regulate the value thereof, regulating it with a view to just settlements and a living chance as between debtor and creditor.

Mr. President, very humbly, and with no assurance whatever of my competence to deal with difficulties so great, I submit these observations to the Senate and through the Senate and the Congressional Record to the people of America as, at any rate, my earnest effort to make a contribution in a time like this. To the suggestions I have made, Senators may object, but they represent the approved outgiving of my spirit after months here in which I have desperately striven in the hope that we all might somehow find a way for our people who have trusted us so highly and who have looked to us so earnestly and so vainly.

CROP PRODUCTION AND HARVESTING LOANS

Mr. SMITH. Mr. President, the Committee on Agriculture and Forestry have reported favorably, with an amendment, a bill reappropriating the so-called crop-production loans. do not know of any opposition to this measure; and as it is so urgently needed in every quarter of this country, I ask unanimous consent for its immediate consideration. I do not think it will lead to any debate. I send to the desk the report, No. 1010.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Let the report be read so that the Senate may be informed regarding the measure.

The Chief Clerk read the report (No. 1010) of the Committee on Agriculture and Forestry on Senate bill 5160, to provide for loans to farmers for crop production and harvesting during the year 1933, as follows:

> [S. Rept. No. 1010, 72d Cong., 2d sess.] LOANS TO FARMERS

Mr. Smith, from the Committee on Agriculture and Forestry,

submitted the following report (to accompany S. 5160):

The Committee on Agriculture and Forestry, to whom was referred the bill (S. 5160) to provide for loans to farmers for crop production and harvesting during the year 1933, having considered the same, recommend that the bill do pass with the following amendments:

On page 1, beginning with line 3, strike out all through line 3,

on page 3, and insert in lieu thereof the following:

"That the Reconstruction Finance Corporation is authorized and directed to allocate and make immediately available to the and directed to allocate and make immediately available to the Secretary of Agriculture (1) the unexpended balance of the total amount authorized to be allocated and made available to the Secretary of Agriculture under section 2 of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation act, as amended, less any part of such amount heretofore used in connection with the creation of regional agricultural credit corporations under subsection (e) of section 201 of the emergency relief and construction act of 1932 gional agricultural credit corporations under subsection (e) of section 201 of the emergency relief and construction act of 1932, and (2) all amounts received from the repayment of loans or advances heretofore made by the Secretary of Agriculture under such section 2, as amended. The amounts allocated and made available to the Secretary of Agriculture pursuant to this act, or so much thereof as may be necessary, shall be expended by him for the purpose of making loans or advances to farmers during the year 1933 for crop production and crop harvesting, and also, in drought and storm stricken areas, for feed for farm livestock. Such loans or advances shall be made upon such terms and con-Such loans or advances shall be made upon such terms and conditions, in such amounts, and subject to such regulations as the Secretary of Agriculture shall prescribe. A first lien on all crops growing, or to be planted and grown, or on livestock, shall, in the discretion of the Secretary of Agriculture, be deemed sufficient security for any such loan or advance. Any person who shall knowingly make any material false representation for the purpose of obtaining a loan or advance, or in assisting in obtaining a loan or advance, where these are the lives of the security for the purpose of obtaining a loan or advance, or in assisting in obtaining a loan or advance, the lives of the security of t loan or advance under this act, shall, upon conviction thereof, be fined not more than \$1,000 or imprisoned not more than six months, or both."

Amend the title so as to read: "A bill to provide for loans to farmers for crop production and harvesting during the year 1933,

and for other purposes."

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Senator from South Carolina asks unanimous consent for the immediate consideration of the bill. Is there objection?

Mr. WATSON. Mr. President, in the first place, I desire to ask what the parliamentary situation is in the Senate. I thought the motion to take up the merger bill was before

The VICE PRESIDENT. The motion to proceed to the consideration of the merger bill is the pending question; and the Senator from South Carolina has presented this report with a unanimous-consent request.

Mr. WATSON. Pending that, I should like to ask the Senator from South Carolina just what this bill involves.

Mr. SMITH. Mr. President, this is a reappropriation of the fund that was appropriated last year, less the amount that has been used in the meantime, for the establishment of what are known as regional credit corporations. The amount collected, I think, would be of interest to this body.

Last year there was made possible the appropriation of \$200,000,000. There was used only about \$62,000,000. This morning I asked the department that had this matter in charge to send me a statement of the amounts collected up to date in the States that have been heard from. Western States have not yet wired the department. telegram was sent this morning, I understand, or late yesterday afternoon, and replies have not yet been received from the Western States. I think it would be very interesting to the Senate, in these distressing times, to hear what has been collected by the department.

Alabama has paid back 81 per cent. The amount that was loaned to her was \$1,620,000, and she has paid back \$1.318.000.

Arkansas has paid back 75 per cent plus. Georgia has paid back 94 per cent plus. Louisiana has paid back 94 per cent plus. Mississippi has paid back 77 per cent plus. North Carolina has paid back 91 per cent plus. Oklahoma has paid back 59 per cent plus. South Carolina has paid back 87 per cent plus.

Tennessee has paid back 56 per cent plus.

Texas has paid back 88 per cent plus.

And they are still taking in commodities and collateralizing them for the liquidation of these loans.

I have here a statement giving the details of the matter, which I ask to have printed in the RECORD.

Their being no objection, the statement was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

Loans, cash collections, and estimated collateral by cotton States through December 15, 1932

	Approved amount of loans	Cash collections		Estimated collateral	Total cash and collateral	
		Amount	Per cent	cents per pound	Amount	Per
Arkansas 4,007,068,91 Georgia 4,887,324,74 Louisiana 2,416,337,34 Mississippi 3,890,262,44 North Carolina 4,181,000,101 Oklahoma 629,498,00 South Carolina 4,327,031,35 Tennessee 1,298,618,43	\$317, 260, 22 19, 1, 452, 915, 02 36, 1, 291, 556, 69 26, 1, 229, 966, 76 50, 985, 299, 50 25, 077, 561, 48, 49, 268, 715, 04 42, 1, 809, 323, 50 41, 273, 415, 77 21, 1, 407, 833, 26 43,	36. 26 26. 43 50. 90 25. 33 49. 69 42. 69 41. 81 21. 05	26 1, 559, 250. 00 43 3, 330, 000. 00 90 1, 048, 950. 00 33 2, 044, 440. 00 69 1, 755, 000. 00 69 103, 500. 00 81 1, 980, 000. 00 05 460, 655. 00	3, 012, 165. 02 4, 621, 556. 69 2, 278, 916. 76 3, 029, 739. 50 3, 832, 561. 48 372, 215. 04 3, 789, 323. 50 734, 070. 77	02 75+ 69 94+ 76 94+ 50 77+ 48 91+ 04 59+ 50 87+ 77 56+	

Mr. WATSON. Mr. President, what was the original appropriation?

Mr. SMITH. Sixty-two million dollars.

Mr. WATSON. And how much will this bill reappro-

Mr. SMITH. This bill reappropriates the same amount, less the forty-odd million dollars that has been used for the purpose of creating regional credit corporations. This bill leaves in the discretion of the Secretary of Agriculture the policy to be pursued and the amount to be loaned to the individual or to the farmer. In other words, the bill is in precisely the same language that we had last year, with an amendment that we incorporated at the instance of some of the western Senators that this aid be extended to livestock when properly insured and mortgaged.

Mr. WATSON. Is there a report of the committee, may I ask my friend?

Mr. SMITH. There is a unanimous report of the com-

The VICE PRESIDENT. Is there objection to the immediate consideration of the bill? The Chair hears none.

The Senate proceeded to consider the bill, which had been reported from the Committee on Agriculture and Forestry with an amendment.

Mr. WALCOTT. Mr. President, as I understand this bill-I may be in error—the conversation we had in the committee yesterday was to the effect that the bill would be so modified that the factors of Georgia, Florida, Kentucky, and Connecticut of what is known as shade-grown tobacco could come under the operation of the bill. May I ask the Senator if that is true?

Mr. SMITH. That is true. The wording of the bill leaves it to the discretion of the Secretary of Agriculture to allocate these loans according to his determination of the needs of any particular industry.

Mr. WALCOTT. And it does not specifically apply just to one group, as it did yesterday?

Mr. SMITH. No.

Mr. WALCOTT. That language has been changed?

Mr. SMITH. The language to which the committee objected in that regard has been stricken out.

Mr. REED. Mr. President, will the Senator from South Carolina tell me whether this bill applies to any agricultural commodity, in the discretion of the Secretary of Agriculture?

Mr. SMITH. Yes.

Mr. REED. It is not limited to a particular variety of shade-grown tobacco, or anything of that sort?

Mr. SMITH. Oh, no.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The amendment of the committee will be stated.

The amendment of the Committee on Agriculture and Forestry was, on page 1, beginning with line 3, to strike out all down to and including the words " or both " in line 3 on page 3, and in lieu thereof to insert the following:

That the Reconstruction Finance Corporation is authorized and directed to allocate and make immediately available to the Secretary of Agriculture (1) the unexpended balance of the total amount authorized to be allocated and made available to the Secretary of Agriculture under section 2 of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation act, as amended, less any part of such amount haracters used in connection with the creation of regional agriculture. Finance Corporation act, as amended, less any part of such amount heretofore used in connection with the creation of regional agricultural credit corporations under subsection (e) of section 201 of the emergency relief and construction act of 1932, and (2) all amounts received from the repayment of loans or advances heretofore made by the Secretary of Agriculture under such section 2, as amended. The amounts allocated and made available to the Secretary of Agriculture pursuant to this act, or so much thereof as may be necessary, shall be expended by him for the purpose of making loans or advances to farmers during the year 1933 for making loans or advances to farmers during the year 1933 for crop production and crop harvesting, and also, in drought and storm stricken areas; for feed for farm livestock. Such loans or storm stricken areas; for feed for farm livestock. Such loans or advances shall be made upon such terms and conditions, in such amounts, and subject to such regulations as the Secretary of Agriculture shall prescribe. A first lien on all crops growing or to be planted and grown, or on livestock, shall, in the discretion of the Secretary of Agriculture, be deemed sufficient security for any such loan or advance. Any person who shall knowingly make any material false representation for the purpose of obtaining a loan or advance, or in assisting in obtaining a loan or advance under this act, shall, upon conviction thereof, be fined not more than \$1,000, or imprisoned not more than six months, or both.

So as to make the bill read:

Be it enacted, etc., That the Reconstruction Finance Corporation is authorized and directed to allocate and make immediately available to the Secretary of Agriculture (1) the unexpended balance of the total amount authorized to be allocated and make balance of the total amount authorized to be allocated and made available to the Secretary of Agriculture under section 2 of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation act, as amended, less any part of such amount heretofore used in connection with the creation of regional agricultural credit corporations under subsection (e) of section 201 of the emergency relief and construction act of 1932, and (2) all amounts received from the repayment of loans or advances heretofore made by the Secretary of Agriculture under such section 2, as amended. The amounts allocated and made available to the Secretary of Agriculture pursuant to this act, or so much thereof as may be necessary, shall be expended by him for the purpose of making loans or advances suant to this act, or so much thereof as may be necessary, shall be expended by him for the purpose of making loans or advances to farmers during the year 1933 for crop production and crop harvesting, and also, in drought and storm stricken areas, for feed for farm livestock. Such loans or advances shall be made upon such terms and conditions, in such amounts, and subject to such regulations as the Secretary of Agriculture shall prescribe. A first lien on all crops growing, or to be planted and grown, or on livestock, shall, in the discretion of the Secretary of Agriculture he deemed sufficient security, for any such loan. of Agriculture, be deemed sufficient security for any such loan or advance. Any person who shall knowingly make any material or advance. Any person who shall knowingly make any material false representation for the purpose of obtaining a loan or advance, or in assisting in obtaining a loan or advance under this act, shall, upon conviction thereof, be fined not more than \$1,000 or imprisoned not more than six months, or both.

SEC. 2. The Secretary of Agriculture is authorized and directed to establish such agencies as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of this act and to provide for making the relief contemplated by this act immediately available.

The amendment was agreed to.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed for a third reading, read the third time, and passed.

The title was amended so as to read: "A bill to provide for loans to farmers for crop production and harvesting during the year 1933, and for other purposes."

Mr. BINGHAM subsequently said: Mr. President, I wish to give notice of a motion to reconsider the vote whereby the bill S. 5160 was passed.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The motion will be entered.

MESSAGE FROM THE HOUSE

A message from the House of Representatives by Mr. Haltigan, one of its clerks, announced that the House had passed a joint resolution (H. J. Res. 500) authorizing the Secretary of the Navy to sell obsolete and surplus clothing at nominal prices for distribution to the needy, in which it requested the concurrence of the Senate.

MERGER OF DISTRICT STREET-RAILWAY CORPORATIONS

The VICE PRESIDENT. The question is on the motion of the Senator from Vermont [Mr. Austin] that the Senate proceed to the consideration of House Joint Resolution 154.

The motion was agreed to; and the Senate proceeded to consider the joint resolution (H. J. Res. 154) to authorize the merger of street-railway corporations operating in the District of Columbia, and for other purposes, which had been reported from the Committee on the District of Columbia, with amendments.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The amendments of the committee will be stated.

The first amendment was, on page 22, line 21, after the word "Capital," to insert the word "Traction," and on line 22, after the word "Washington," to insert the words "Railway and Electric," so as to read:

SEC. 2. This agreement, hereinbefore set forth, shall be submitted to the stockholders of the Capital Traction Co. and the Washington Railway & Electric Co. for their action within six months after its approval by the Congress.

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment of the committee was, on page 26, line 10, to strike out the word "New" and to insert in lieu thereof the words "Capital Transit"; on line 21, to strike out the word "New" and to insert in lieu thereof the words "Capital Transit"; on line 23, after the word "Capital," to insert the word "Traction"; on line 24, after the word "Washington," to insert the words "Railway and Electric"; on line 25, to strike out the word "New" and to insert the words "Capital Transit," so as to read:

Sec. 10. Any and all charges to the Capital Transit Co. made by any corporation or person holding a majority of the capital stock thereof for any services shall be proved to be fair and reasonable, and only such part of said charges as the Public Utilities Commission, subject to the right of appeal to the courts, may decide to be fair and reasonable shall be considered in the determination of rates

of rates.

SEC. 11. It is understood and agreed that nothing herein shall be construed as creating any new rights of franchise to use the streets in the District of Columbia for transportation purposes: Provided, That the Capital Transit Co. shall exercise and succeed to all of the property, rights, and franchises of the Capital Traction and the Washington Railway & Electric Cos., which they are required herein to vest in the Capital Transit Co., subject, however, to the right of the Public Utilities Commission to order reasonable extension of, or abandonment of, tracks and facilities.

The amendments were agreed to.

Mr. BLAINE. Mr. President, if I may have the attention of the Senator from Vermont, I suggest in this connection that we perfect the section on page 27 by striking out the word "of" in line 2 and inserting after the word "or" the word "reasonable." Then we will have that disposed of.

Mr. AUSTIN. I consent to that.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The amendment will be reported.

The CHIEF CLERK. On page 27, line 2, after the word "extension," the Senator from Wisconsin moves to strike out the word "of," and after the word "or" to insert the word "reasonable," and to strike out the comma on page 27, so as to read:

Company, subject, however, to the right of the Public Utilities Commission to order reasonable extension or reasonable abandonment of tracks and facilities.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. AUSTIN. Mr. President, certain other amendments were unanimously agreed to in the committee this morning, and I submit the following amendment.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The amendment will be reported.

The CHIEF CLERK. The Senator from Vermont offers the following amendment: On page 5, lines 4 and 5, to strike out the words "or through subsidiaries," so as to read:

Second. The new company shall be incorporated under the provisions of Subchapter IV of Chapter XVIII of the Code of Law of the District of Columbia and pursuant to an act of Congress entitled "An act to permit the merger of street-railway corporations operating in the District of Columbia, and for other purposes," approved March 4, 1925, with power to acquire, construct, own, and operate directly transit properties within the District of Columbia and in adjacent States, including the power to acquire, own, and operate the properties of whatsoever description to be conveyed to the new company in accordance with this agreement, and to acquire and own the stock and/or bonds of said companies and of any other company or companies engaged in the transportation of passengers by street railway or bus in the District

of Columbia and adjacent States with the power to mortgage its property, rights, and franchises, and to conduct such other activities as may be useful or necessary in connection with or incident to the foregoing purposes, including the power to buy, sell, hold, own, and convey real estate within and without the District of Columbia.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. AUSTIN. I submit another amendment on the same page.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Chair suggests that these are amendments to the preamble. The bill should be passed first, and then the preamble should be amended.

Mr. AUSTIN. Mr. President, there are a number of these amendments which were agreed upon.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Are they to the preamble or are they to the bill?

Mr. BLAINE. Mr. President, these proposed amendments are not to the preamble. They are to the agreement proposed to be entered into between the companies under this resolution and are a part of the resolution.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Chair is advised that that is set out in the preamble.

If so, the amendments should be agreed to after the bill is perfected. Has the Senator from Vermont any other amendments to come after page 22?

Mr. AUSTIN. Mr. President, on page 22, line 19, after the word "resolution" and the period, I move to insert the following:

Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to limit the present powers of the Public Utilities Commission.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. BLAINE. Mr. President, I again suggest to the Senator from Vermont that we perfect that paragraph on page 22, line 17, by striking out the words "and directed." It is merely a correction of language.

Mr. AUSTIN. I consent to that.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The question is on agreeing to the amendment offered by the Senator from Wisconsin.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. AUSTIN. Mr. President, on page 24, line 3, after the word "line," I move to insert a comma and the following words, "that is, bus or railway line for the transportation of passengers of the character which runs over a given route on a fixed schedule," so as to read:

SEC. 4. No competitive street railway or bus line, that is, bus or railway line for the transportation of passengers of the character which runs over a given route on a fixed schedule, shall be established without the prior issuance of a certificate by the Public Utilities Commission of the District of Columbia to the effect that the competitive line is necessary for the convenience of the public.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. AUSTIN. Mr. President, on page 27, line 12, I move to strike out the word "power," and in lieu thereof to insert the word "right," so as to read:

That Congress reserves the right to alter, amend, or repeal this resolution.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. AUSTIN. On page 27, line 13, after the word "resolution," I move to insert the words "or any charter or certificate of incorporation made thereunder, and any and all rights of franchise created by this resolution shall terminate after one year following its repeal."

Mr. BLAINE. Mr. President, I suggest that the section be read as it would appear if amended.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The clerk will read.

The Chief Clerk read as follows:

Sec. 13. That Congress reserves the right to alter, amend, or repeal this resolution, or any charter or certificate of incorporation made thereunder, and any and all rights of franchise created by this resolution shall terminate after one year following its repeal.

Mr. BLAINE. I think that is not well-chosen language. It should read, "shall terminate one year following its repeal."

Mr. AUSTIN. I have no objection to adopting the language suggested, but the form in which I presented it was that in which it was agreed to in the committee.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Will the Senator from Wisconsin state the proposed change?

Mr. BLAINE. I will send to the desk the language as drafted by the attorney for the Public Utilities Commission, which language, I think, was very carefully considered. It does not make any difference in the meaning.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The clerk will read.

The Chief Clerk read as follows:

That Congress reserves the right to alter, amend, or repeal this resolution, or any charter or certificate of incorporation made thereunder, and any and all rights of franchise created by this resolution shall terminate one year following its repeal.

Mr. AUSTIN. Mr. President, I accept that in lieu of the language submitted by me.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The question is on agreeing to the amendment as modified.

The amendment as modified was agreed to.

Mr. AUSTIN. Those are all the amendments to be suggested to the joint resolution itself.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Are there further amendments? If not, the question is upon the engrossing of the amendments and the third reading of the joint resolution.

The amendments were ordered to be engrossed and the joint resolution to be read a third time.

Mr. BLAINE. Mr. President, I understand there are a number of other amendments.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Those are to the preamble and must be adopted after the joint resolution is passed. That is the last thing to be done.

Mr. BLAINE. As a legal proposition I contend this is not a preamble. It is a substantive part of the joint resolution. In common parlance it might be characterized as a preamble, but it is a very material part of the joint resolution. Without it the balance of the resolution would not be effective, so that the preamble, so called, is in fact a part of the joint resolution though recited in form as a preamble.

The VICE PRESIDENT. It can be amended and then agreed to as amended.

Mr. BLAINE, It does not make any difference which course is pursued.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The question is on the third reading and passage of the joint resolution.

The joint resolution was read the third time and passed. The VICE PRESIDENT. The question is on agreeing to the preamble, the amendments to which will be stated in their order.

The first amendment to the preamble was on page 2, line 6, after the word "Columbia," to insert "as follows."

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was on the same page, line 24, after the word "respective," to strike out "corporation" and insert "corporations," so as to read:

UNIFICATION AGREEMENT

Whereas the act entitled "An act to permit the merger of street-railway corporations operating in the District of Columbia, and for other purposes," approved March 4, 1925, provides "that any or all of the street-railway companies operating in the District of Columbia be, and they are hereby, authorized and empowered to merge or consolidate, either by purchase or lease by one company of the properties, and/or stocks or securities of any of the others, or by the formation of a new corporation to acquire the properties and/or stocks or securities and to succeed to the powers and obligations of each or any of said companies under such terms and conditions as may be agreed upon by vote of a majority in amount of the stock of the respective corporations, and as may be approved by the Public Utilities Commission of the District of Columbia.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. AUSTIN. I submit the following amendment.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The amendment will be stated. The CHIEF CLERK. On page 5, lines 4 and 5, strike out the words "or through subsidiaries."

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection the amendment is agreed to.

The CHIEF CLERK. In line 6, after the word "and," insert the words "either directly or through subsidiaries."

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection the amendment is agreed to.

Mr. BLAINE. Mr. President, may I have the attention of the Senator from Vermont? It may not be very material, but after the word "power," on page 5, line 3, there should be inserted the words "subject to the approval of the Public Utilities Commission."

Mr. AUSTIN. I have no objection, and accept the amendment.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection the modified amendment is agreed to.

Mr. BLAINE. As a question of grammar, in lines 7 and 8, on the same page, the words "of whatsoever description" would seem to be surplusage, and merely in the interest of good language should be stricken out.

Mr. AUSTIN. I agree to the deletion of those words.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Let the amendment be stated. The CHIEF CLERK. On page 5, lines 7 and 8, strike out the words "of whatsoever description."

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, the amendment is agreed to.

Mr. AUSTIN. On page 5, line 23, after the word "jurisdiction," I move to insert the words "now or hereafter."

The VICE PRESIDENT. Let the amendment be stated. The CHIEF CLERK. On page 5, line 23, after the word "jurisdiction," insert the words "now or hereafter."

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, the amendment is agreed to.

Mr. AUSTIN. On page 6, line 1, I move to strike out all after the word "Columbia," striking out the remainder of the paragraph, and in lieu thereof, before the period, insert a colon and the following: "Provided, That before they are reported the articles of incorporation and/or any amendment thereto shall be approved by the Public Utilities Commission."

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, the amendment is agreed to.

Mr. AUSTIN. On page 8, line 12, after the word "merger" and before the period, I move to insert a comma and the words "and order reasonable extension and/or reasonable abandonment of tracks and facilities."

Mr. BLAINE. I do not know—it may be a matter of choice—whether it should be "and" or "or." It is merely to bring it in harmony with the other language.

Mr. AUSTIN. I have no objection to the use of the conjunctive and disjunctive.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Let the amendment be stated.

The CHIEF CLERK. On page 8, line 12, after the word "merger," insert "and to order reasonable extensions and/or reasonable abandonment of tracks and/or facilities."

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, the amendment is agreed to.

Mr. BLAINE. May I again have the attention of the Senator from Vermont? On page 7, paragraph 4, it seems to me the whole paragraph could well be stricken out.

Mr. AUSTIN. Mr. President, I am here representing the committee. It was a unanimous report, which did not include the Senator's objection, and therefore I hope the distinguished Senator from Wisconsin will not ask me to agree to it.

Mr. BLAINE. It is not material, but the majority committee reported the Senate bill and that was stricken out. In making up the minority report the minority members struck it out, in harmony with the majority report on the Senate bill.

Mr. AUSTIN. I can not agree to that. I think the statement of the Senator from Wisconsin is correct, but that is not quite true of many other things contained in the Senate bill. Therefore I feel bound to represent the committee in this matter and adhere to the agreement made this morning.

Mr. BLAINE. I would not in any wise assume that the Senator would be misrepresenting the action of the committee this morning. The only materiality of striking this out is to harmonize the bill with existing law. This paragraph does not add to or take away any power or authority of the utilities or the Utilities Commission. It is simply wholly unnecessary. That is the only point I

would be to strike it out. I have no concern otherwise. If the Senator resists it, I have no concern, though I think in the interest of harmonizing the legislation with the existing powers of the commission and the utilities it ought to go out. It does not change the situation one bit.

Mr. AUSTIN. I feel that we should complete the committee report on this matter. Then if the Senator from Wisconsin wishes to take up this question again and have

it considered there will be no objection.

Mr. BLAINE. Very well.

The next amendment was, on page 9, line 23, before "\$5,800,000," to insert "not exceeding," so as to read:

In consideration therefor the new company shall-

(a) Issue to the Capital Co. such shares of its capital stock and/or other securities as may be agreed upon by the Capital and Washington Cos. and approved by the Public Utilities Commission of the District of Columbia.

(b) Assume and discharge as the same mature all of the lia-

(b) Assume and discharge as the same mature all of the Habilities of the Capital Co., such liabilities to be not exceeding \$5,800,000 principal amount of Capital Traction first mortgage bonds bearing interest at the rate of 5 per cent per annum, due June 1, 1947 (in addition to \$200,000 principal amount thereof now in the treasury of the Capital Co. which shall be canceled on or before the date of closing hereunder), and current liabilities arising in normal conduct of the business.

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 11, line 23, after the word "devices," to strike out "now" and insert "not," so as to read:

B. The Washington Co. will vest, or cause to be vested in the new company all of its physical property, real and personal, Glen Echo Amusement Park (except devices not owned by the Washington Co. or Glen Echo Park Co.), tracks, lands, buildings, shops, structures, machinery, rolling stock—

And so forth.

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 15, line 10, to strike out the word "approve" and insert in lieu thereof the word "approved," so as to read:

The new company is authorized to acquire any or all of the outstanding stock of the Washington Rapid Transit Co. (the bus company) on such terms as may be accepted by the owners of said shares of stock and may be approved by the Public Utilities Commission; if and when a majority of the outstanding shares of the said Washington Rapid Transit Co. is acquired by the new company, the Washington Rapid Transit Co. shall be merged or consolidated with the new company when and if the Public Utilities Commission shall so require. Commission shall so require.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. AUSTIN. On page 15, line 8, after the parenthesis, insert the words "at the fair value thereof, and."

The VICE PRESIDENT. Let the amendment be stated. The CHIEF CLERK. On page 15, line 8, after the parenthesis, insert the words "at the fair value thereof, and."

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, the amendment is agreed to.

Mr. BLAINE. Mr. President, I would like to submit a parliamentary inquiry.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Senator will state it.

Mr. BLAINE. Returning to page 11, I inquire whether or not the committee amendment in line 23 was adopted. It is a mere formal matter.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The amendment was agreed to. Mr. AUSTIN. On page 16, beginning in line 10, I move to strike out all of paragraph 10 down to and including line 23, on page 17, and insert in lieu thereof the following.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Let the amendment be stated. Mr. BLAINE. Mr. President, may I interrupt the reading by the clerk? I think that the striking out of paragraph

10 should begin in line 7. Mr. AUSTIN. That is correct.

The CHIEF CLERK. Strike out the tenth paragraph on page 16, beginning in line 7, down to and including line 23, on page 17, and insert in lieu thereof the following:

Tenth. The Washington Co. shall cause the Potomac Electric Power Co. to enter into a contract with the new company, subject to the approval of the Public Utilities Commission, said power contract to become effective as of the date of consummation of this merger, and run for the life of whichever of the last-mentioned companies expires first, and to provide that the Poto-

make about it. I think the proper legislative procedure | mac Electric Power Co., or its successors and/or assigns, will at all would be to strike it out. I have no concern otherwise. If for the maintenance and operation of the transit properties of the new company, and at such reasonable rates as the Public Utilities Commission may from time to time fix. The Washington Co. shall assign to the Potomac Electric Power Co. all existing contracts for the sale of power to other railway companies.

> Mr. BLAINE. Mr. President, may I have the attention of the Senator from Vermont? After the word "all" it was determined before we adjourned to insert the words " of its," so it would read "Potomac Electric Power Co. all of its existing contracts," and so forth.

Mr. AUSTIN. I accept the modification.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, the amendment, as modified, is agreed to.

Mr. AUSTIN. I now move, on page 18, beginning with line 15, to strike out paragraph 13 in its entirety and to insert in lieu thereof the following, which I send to the desk.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Let the amendment be stated. The CHIEF CLERK. On page 18, beginning with line 15, strike out paragraph 13 in its entirety and insert in lieu thereof the following:

Thirteenth. The new company shall grant with each streetrailway fare a free immediate transfer to any connecting portion of its street-railway lines within the District of Columbia, subject reasonable rules and regulations to prevent abuse thereof. addition, transfers between street cars and busses and between bus lines shall be granted under such reasonable terms and conditions as the Public Utilities Commission may prescribe: Provided, That this shall not be interpreted to prohibit establishment, with the approval of the Public Utilities Commission, of special fares lower than the basic fare without transfer privilege.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The question is on agreeing to the amendment.

Mr. BLAINE. Mr. President, I will ask the clerk again to read the last three lines, beginning with the word "Provided."

The VICE PRESIDENT. The clerk will read, as requested. The Chief Clerk read as follows:

Provided, That this shall not be interpreted to prohibit establishment, with the approval of the Public Utilities Commission, of special fares lower than the basic fare without transfer privilege.

Mr. BLAINE. Mr. President, I want to call the attention of the Senator from Vermont to the fact that last night that language was in dispute, and the attorney for the Public Utilities Commission and the people's counsel were directed to redraft the language, and that redrafted language is submitted, which reads as follows:

Provided, That this shall not be interpreted so as to prevent the Public Utilities Commission from establishing special fares lower than the basic rate without transfer privileges.

Mr. AUSTIN. Mr. President, as I interpret the two, I do not clearly see any distinction between them, and I understood that the text sent to the desk came from the same source of which the Senator from Wisconsin speaks. Does the Senator see any difference?

Mr. BLAINE. I think there is a difference. As I recall, the matter was called to the attention of the committee by the president of one of the street-railway companies suggesting the possibility of ambiguity, and the people's counsel and the attorney for the Public Utilities Commission were directed to redraft it, and that redraft was submitted to the committee this morning and approved.

Mr. AUSTIN. I am entirely satisfied with the language suggested, and I send it to the desk as a substitute for that which was formerly sent to the desk.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Senator from Vermont withdraws his previous amendment and offers an amendment in lieu thereof, which will be stated.

The CHIEF CLERK. It is proposed to strike out from line 15 to line 24, both inclusive, on page 18, and insert in lieu thereof the following:

Thirteenth. The new company shall grant with each street-railway fare a free immediate transfer to any connecting portion of the street-railway lines within the District of Columbia, subject to reasonable rules and regulations to prevent abuse thereof. In addition, transfers between street cars and busses and between bus lines shall be granted under such reasonable terms and conditions as the Public Utilities Commission may prescribe: *Provided*, That this shall not be interpreted so as to prevent the Public Utilities Commission from establishing special fares lower than the basic rate without transfer privileges.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, the amendment is agreed to.

Mr. AUSTIN. On page 20, line 3, after the word "line," I move to insert a comma and the words which I send to

The VICE PRESIDENT. The amendment will be stated. The CHIEF CLERK. On page 20, line 3, after the word "line," it is proposed to insert a comma and the words "that is, bus or railway line for the transportation of passengers of the character which runs over a given route on a fixed schedule."

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, the amendment is agreed to.

Mr. BLAINE. Mr. President, there might be a question as to punctuation. I think there should be a comma after the word "schedule." The clerk did not read it that way; so I merely call his attention to it.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The comma will be inserted if it is required.

Mr. AUSTIN. On page 20, line 8, after the word "any," I move to insert the word "reasonable."

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, the amendment is agreed to.

Mr. AUSTIN. On page 20, line 10, after the word "therewith," I move to insert the words "subject to the approval of the Public Utilities Commission."

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, the amendment is agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 20, line 22, to strike out the words "section 8," and to insert in lieu thereof the words "paragraph eighth," so as to read:

Seventeenth. The new company upon opening its books of account, shall set up reserves, special accounts, and deferred charges equal to the combined reserves, depreciation funds, special accounts, and deferred charges of the Capital and Washington Cos. in so far as they relate to depreciation of properties turned over to the new company or to liabilities assumed by it, other than the reserve for injuries and damages as heretofore provided in parametric activity.

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 21, line 21, to strike out the words "agreement: Now, therefore, be it," and to insert in lieu thereof the word "agreement," so as to read:

Eighteenth. Approval of this agreement by the Public Utilities Commission or Congress shall not be taken as approval of the considerations mentioned herein for properties or stocks, nor as binding upon the Public Utilities Commission in any future determination of the fair value of the properties used and useful for the public convenience belonging to the Washington Co., the Capital Co., or to be acquired by the new company, that may be made in accordance with this agreement.

The amendment was agreed to.

The next amendment was, on page 21, after line 22, to insert a new paragraph, as follows:

Nineteenth. The new company acquiesces in the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission to fix reasonably reduced rates of fare for school children, not over 18 years of age, going to and from public, parochial, or like schools in the District of Columbia, and to establish rules and regulations governing the use thereof. Now, therefore, be it

Mr. AUSTIN. Mr. President, the committee agreed unanimously on a substitute for that proposed amendment, which I desire to read, because I have only one copy of it. The new section proposed to be inserted is as follows:

Nineteenth. The Public Utilities Commission shall fix the rate of fare at 3 cents for school children not over 18 years of age going to and from public, parochial, or like schools in the District of Columbia, and to establish rules and regulations governing the use thereof: *Provided*, That upon the acceptance of this agree-

the words "District of Columbia" it reads "and to establish rules and regulations." I suggest that we strike out "to" and insert "shall," so that it will read, "and shall establish rules and regulations."

Mr. AUSTIN. I agree to that. Mr. BLAINE. I would like to have the Senator from Vermont read the concluding portion of the suggested amendment.

Mr. AUSTIN. It reads, "approved February 7, 1931, shall become inoperative as inconsistent with this provision.

Mr. BLAINE. I suggest that the words "as inconsistent with this provision" be stricken out. I think that is compatible with the committee's understanding of the final draft.

Mr. AUSTIN. I consent to that.

The VICE PRESIDENT. That modification will be made. The question is on agreeing to the amendment to the amendment as modified.

The amendment to the amendment as modified was agreed to.

The amendment as amended was agreed to.

Mr. BLAINE. Mr. President, I want to renew the suggestion I made a little while ago respecting paragraph 4 on page 7. I will not persist in my suggestion, but I merely wish to emphasize that that really ought to be stricken out. I do not believe anybody wants it, as a matter of fact.

Mr. AUSTIN. Mr. President, it is a clause that is often found in charters. Sometimes it is left to a general statute; but in this instance it appears in a contract, and I very much dislike to change another man's contract. This is an agreement between the utilities in the District of Columbia which are to be united, and paragraph 4 is part of the agreement. Their officials were present at our conferences and assented to that compromise and the agreement which we have now carried out. I feel as though I ought not to assent to a further modification of the agreement.

Mr. BLAINE. Mr. President, I have no desire to press the point. I think it is a matter of draftsmanship, and I simply want to have it of record that if I were drafting it I would leave out this paragraph. Whether it is in or out is wholly immaterial as to the merits or demerits of the joint resolution.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The question is on agreeing to the preamble, as amended.

The preamble, as amended, was agreed to.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, Calendar No. 497, being Senate Joint Resolution 13, of identical title with the House joint resolution just passed, will be indefinitely postponed.

House Joint Resolution 154, as passed, reads as follows:

Whereas pursuant to the act entitled "An act to permit the Whereas pursuant to the act entitled "An act to permit the merger of street-railway corporations operating in the District of Columbia, and for other purposes," approved March 4, 1925, a form of agreement to carry this into effect and providing for the formation of a new corporation to be known as the Capital Transit Co., to acquire properties and/or stocks or securities, and to succeed to the powers and obligations of the Capital Traction Co. and to succeed to the powers and obligations of the Washington Railway & Electric Co., directly connected with or relating to the operation of street railway and bus transportation, has been approved by the Public Utilities Commission of the District of Columbia, as follows: Columbia, as follows:

UNIFICATION AGREEMENT

Whereas the act entitled "An act to permit the merger of street-railway corporations operating in the District of Columbia, and for other purposes," approved March 4, 1925, provides "that any or all of the street-railway companies operating in the District of Columbia be, and they are hereby, authorized and empowered to merge or consolidate, either by purchase or lease by one company of the properties, and/or stocks or securities of any of the others, or by the formation of a new corporation to acquire the properties and/or stocks or securities and to succeed to the powers and obligations of each or any of said companies under such terms and conditions as may be agreed upon by vote of a majority in amount of Columbia, and to establish rules and regulations governing the use thereof: Provided, That upon the acceptance of this agreement by the parties and the completion of the unification, the provisions of the act entitled "An act to provide for the transportation of school children in the District of Columbia at a reduced fare," approved February 7, 1931, shall become inoperative as inconsistent with this provision.

Now, therefore, be it

Mr. BLAINE. Mr. President, my attention has been called to what I feel is not the best choice of language. After Whereas the Washington Railway & Electric Co. (hereinafter referred to as the "Washington Co.") and the Capital Traction Co. (hereinafter referred to as the "Capital Co."), street-railway companies now operating in the District of Columbia, are organized in accordance with special acts of the Congress of the United States for the purpose of carrying on street railway and other business; and business; and

Whereas it is deemed advisable, for the purpose of greater efficiency and economy of management and for the benefit and advantage of the public and of the stockholders of said companies, that their transit properties used in the business of street railway and bus transportation within the District of Columbia or between the District of Columbia and adjacent States, and such other property and assets, real and personal, tangible and intangible, as may be described in this agreement shall be placed under unified ownership and operation; and

unified ownership and operation; and
Whereas the premises, covenants, agreements, grants, terms, and
conditions herein have been approved by the Public Utilities Commission of the District of Columbia:

Now, therefore, if and when the said premises, covenants, grants, terms, and conditions herein contained are agreed upon by a vote of a majority in amount of the stock of the respective corporations, their respective properties as hereinafter described shall be transferred to and vested in the new company and the mode of carrying the same into effect shall be as follows:

First. The name of the new company shall be Capital Transit Co. (hereinafter referred to as the "new company").

Co. (hereinafter referred to as the "new company").

Second. The new company shall be incorporated under the provisions of Subchapter IV of Chapter XVIII of the Code of Law of the District of Columbia and pursuant to an act of Congress of the District of Columbia and pursuant to an act of Congress entitled "An act to permit the merger of street-railway corporations operating in the District of Columbia, and for other purposes," approved March 4, 1925, with power, subject to the approval of the Public Utilities Commission, to acquire, construct, own, and operate directly transit properties within the District of Columbia and in adjacent States, including the power to acquire, own, and, either directly or through subsidiaries, operate the properties to be conveyed to the new company in accordance with this agreement, and to acquire and own the stock and/or bonds of said companies and of any other company or companies bonds of said companies and of any other company or companies engaged in the transportation of passengers by street railway or bus in the District of Columbia and adjacent States, with the power to mortgage its property, rights, and franchises, and to conduct such other activities as may be useful or necessary in connection with or incident to the foregoing purposes, including the power to buy, sell, hold, own, and convey real estate within and without the District of Columbia. Said new company when incorporated shall become and remain subject in all respects to regulation by the Public Utilities Commission of the District of Columbia or its successors to the extent of the invisitation power. regulation by the Public Utilities Commission of the District of Columbia or its successors to the extent of the jurisdiction now or hereafter vested in it or them by law over corporations engaged in the transportation of passengers by street railway or bus within the District of Columbia: Provided, That before they are recorded, the articles of incorporation and/or any amendments thereto shall be approved by the Public Utilities Commission.

Third. The board of directors of the new company shall consist of 15 persons. Of the 15 original directors, 7 shall be nominated by the Washington Co., 7 by the Capital Co., and 1, to hold office for two years, shall be agreed upon by the 14 nominated as above. Of the directors so to be initially nominated by the Capital Co., 5 shall hold office for three years and 2 shall hold office for two years. Of the directors so to be initially nominated by the Washington Co., 2 shall hold office for two years and 5 shall hold office

for one year.

The directors shall be stockholders and at least nine of them bona fide residents of the District of Columbia, and shall, except as hereinbefore provided, be elected annually by the stockholders at such time and place as shall be determined by the by-laws of The officers of the new company shall be selected

the company. The officers of the new company shall be selected by the board of directors.

Fourth. The new company shall have such rules, regulations, and by-laws as the directors shall adopt not contrary to its charter or to the laws in force in the District of Columbia. The duties and powers of the directors and the duties and powers of the officers of the company shall be such as are set forth in the by-laws.

Fifth. The authorized number and par value of the shares of stock of the new company, the number of shares of stock to be issued originally for the purpose of the unification and in payment for the properties of the Capital Co. and the Washington Co. ment for the properties of the Capital Co. and the Washington Co. to be acquired hereunder, the bonded indebtedness of the new company, the division of the stock issued by the new company between the Washington Co. and the Capital Co. shall all be as approved by the Public Utilities Commission of the District of Columbia: Provided, That the original bonded indebtedness and stock liability of the new company shall not be in excess of the total amount of the stocks, certificates of stock, bonds, or other wideress of indebtedness than outstanding explaint the Capital evidences of indebtedness then outstanding against the Capital Co. and the Washington Co.

Sixth. After the original issue of stock for the purposes of the unification additional shares of stock and/or additional bonds or other evidences of indebtedness may, subject to the approval of the Public Utilities Commission of the District of Columbia, be issued by the directors from time to time for cash or in payment for bonds, or property, or to reimburse the treasury for capital expenditures. expenditures

Seventh. Approval of this agreement by joint resolution or act of Congress of the United States shall constitute and confer jurisdiction on the Public Utilities Commission to issue any order readering. sonably necessary to secure the operating and/or other economies contemplated by this merger, and to order reasonable extensions and/or reasonable abandonments of tracks and/or facilities. And sa'd orders shall have the same legal effect and be enforceable in the same manner as other orders of said commission. Eighth. Upon the organization of the new company the follow-

ing transactions shall be carried out substantially simultaneously:
A. The Capital Co. shall vest in the new company all of its current assets, all moneys or securities of every form owned by it, whether held as cash, securities, choses in action, or special funds of any nature, all of its estates, lands, rights, powers, privileges, licenses, franchises, and properties, real and personal, tangileges, licenses, franchises, and properties, real and personal, tangible and intangible, of every kind (including without limiting the generality of the foregoing, 202 shares of the par value of \$50 per share of the capital stock of the Washington & Maryland Railroad Co. out of a total of 202 shares issued and outstanding, \$66,000 principal amount of 6 per cent bonds of said company, due January 15, 1947, and a demand note for the principal amount of \$20,500 bearing interest at the rate of 6 per cent per annum made tary 15, 1947, and a demand note for the principal amount of \$20,500 bearing interest at the rate of 6 per cent per annum made by said company indorsed to the Capital Co.), and shall transfer to the new company all existing operating and other contracts and/or rights (subject to all conditions of said contracts) and shall execute all deeds, assignments, and/or other conveyances requisite for such purpose.

In consideration therefor the new company shall—

(a) Issue to the Capital Co. such shares of its capital stock and/or other securities as may be agreed upon by the Capital and Washington Cos. and approved by the Public Utilities Commission of the District of Columbia.

(b) Assume and discharge as the same mature all of the

(b) Assume and discharge as the same mature all of the liabilities of the Capital Co., such liabilities to be not exceeding \$5,800,000 principal amount of Capital Traction first-mortgage bonds bearing interest at the rate of 5 per cent per annum, due June 1, 1947 (in addition to \$200,000 principal amount thereof now in the treasury of the Capital Co. which shall be canceled on or before the date of closing hereunder), and current liabilities arising in normal conduct of the business.

It is understood and agreed that to correspond the intent thereof

It is understood and agreed that to carry out the intent thereof the Capital Co. shall and will, as soon as may be possible after the date of closing as hereinafter defined, make distribution to its stockholders, liquidate, and dissolve, and that to this end approval of this agreement by joint resolution or act of the Congress of the United States shall constitute and confer all necessary authorthe United States shall constitute and confer all necessary authority to the Capital Co. to liquidate its assets by distributing amongst its stockholders, in proportion to their several holdings of stock in said company, the shares of stock of the new company which it shall have received as the consideration for the sale, transfer, and conveyance of its property to the said new company as provided herein, and thereupon to liquidate its affairs and dissolve its corporate existence: Provided, That the existing liabilities of the said Capital Co. and the rights of its creditors shall not be affected thereby, and that such creditors shall have, as to the new company upon the transfer of property to it as aforesaid, all rights and remedies which they may then have as to the Capital Co.: And provided further, That no action or proceedings to which the Capital Co. is a party shall abate in consequence thereof, but the same may be continued in the name of the party by or against which the same was begun, unless the court in which said action or proceedings are pending shall order the new company to be subor proceedings are pending shall order the new company to be substituted in its place and stead: And provided further, That the fact of such dissolution in accordance with this provision shall be published once a week for two successive weeks thereafter in at least two daily newspapers of general circulation published in the city of Washington, D. C.

The date of closing is hereby defined as the date of the transfer of the properties mentioned herein to the new company and the delivery of new company shares to the Capital and Washington Cos. in accordance herewith.

Cos. in accordance herewith.

B. The Washington Co. will vest or cause to be vested in the new company, all of its physical property, real and personal, Glen Echo Amusement Park (except devices not owned by the Washington Co. or Glen Echo Park Co.), tracks, lands, buildings, shops, structures, machinery, rolling stock, busses, easements, franchises, rights, operating and other contracts for the use of tracks, power, exchange of facilities or otherwise directly connected with or relating to and used in the ordinary operation and business of an electric railway, motor bus, public transportation company, and common carrier, situate in the District of Columbia and State of Maryland (subject to all conditions of said contracts). pany, and common carrier, situate in the District of Columbia and State of Maryland (subject to all conditions of said contracts), including without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the physical property, rights, and franchises of the Washington & Rockville Railway Co. of Montgomery County, used in the operation of said transit business; with the understanding, however, that nothing herein shall be understood to include the transfer of the right of the Washington Co. and the Washington & Rockville Railway Co. of Montgomery County to exist as corporations or separate corporate entities; nor to include the Rockville Railway Co. of Montgomery County to exist as corporations or separate corporate entities; nor to include the stock of the Potomac Electric Power Co., the Braddock Light & Power Co. (Inc.), Great Falls Power Co., Potomac Electric Appliance Co., or other investments in stock, bonds, or personal property not connected with or used in the ordinary conduct of the business of said electric railways; nor any cash, bills receivable, credits, or choses in action, except as otherwise herein provided (and that approval of this agreement by joint resolution or act of the Congress of the United States shall constitute and confer the necessary authority to the Washington Co. to retain and hold the aforesaid stocks of the said companies). A general description of the property to be transferred hereunder shall be prepared and delivered to the Capital Co. before the final execution of deeds, and the Washington Co. shall execute all deeds, assignments, and/or other conveyances requisite for such purpose. It being understood, however, that the Washington Co. will transfer to the new company net current assets equal to the net current assets transferred to the new company by the

the net current assets transferred to the new company by the Capital Co., as hereinbefore provided, and no more.

The said property of the Washington Co. shall be vested in the new company, subject, in so far but only in so far, as the same may by terms of such mortgages, respectively, attach to any part or parts of said property to the following mortgages or deeds of

trust:

(1) First mortgage of the City & Suburban Railway of Washington, dated September 1, 1898, made to the Baltimore Trust & Guaranty Co., as trustee.

(2) Firt mortgage of the Anacostia & Potomac River Railroad Co., dated April 1, 1899, made to the Baltimore Trust & Guaranty

Co., as trustee.

(3) Consolidated mortgage of the Washington Railway & Electric Co., dated March 1, 1902, made to United States Mortgage & Trust Co., as trustee.

In consideration therefor the new company shall issue to the Washington Co. such shares of its capital stock and/or other securities as may be agreed upon by the Capital and Washington Cos. and approved by the Public Utilities Commission of the District of Columbia, and shall assume such of the above-described bonds as may be approved by the Public Utilities Commission, and in addition shall assume and discharge, as the same mature, liabilities of the Washington Co. incident to the transit business to be transferred as aforesaid.

Out of the total net current assets received by the new company there shall be set aside a reserve in an amount sufficient in the opinion of the Public Utilities Commission to liquidate all claims for injuries and damages against the Washington Co. and the Capital Co. on account of operations prior to the date of closing: Provided, That any excess or deficit in such reserve remaining after the final liquidation of such claims for injuries and damages shall be credited or debited, respectively, to the surplus of the new company.

surplus of the new company.

The new company is authorized to acquire any or all of the outstanding stock of the Washington Rapid Transit Co. (the bus company) at the fair value thereof and on such terms as may be company) at the fair value thereof and on such terms as may be accepted by the owners of said shares of stock and may be approved by the Public Utilities Commission; if and when a majority of the outstanding shares of the said Washington Rapid Transit Co. is acquired by the new company, the Washington Rapid Transit Co. shall be merged or consolidated with the new company when and if the Public Utilities Commission shall so

Ninth. The foregoing is based on the present conditions and business of the participating companies and on the assumption that, in the interval before the consummation of the foregoing transactions, there will be no change in the transit businesses, other than as a result of normal operations or necessary to meet changed operating conditions, and that no distribution will be changed operating conditions, and that no distribution will be made to the stockholders of Capital Co., except the regular dividend payments, at not exceeding 7 per cent per annum, and that, subject to such exceptions, the assets and liabilities of the participating companies will be substantially as appears from their balance sheets, as of the 31st of December, 1931, subject to variations in the normal course of business.

Tenth. The Washington Co. shall cause the Potomac Electric Power Co. to enter into a contract with the new company, subject to the approval of the Public Utilities Commission, said power contract to become effective as of the date of consummation of this merger and run for the life of whichever of the last

tion of this merger and run for the life of whichever of the last-mentioned companies expires first, and to provide that the Po-tomac Electric Power Co., or its successors, and/or assigns will at tomac Electric Power Co., or its successors, and/or assigns will at all times, on request, furnish an adequate supply of electric power for the maintenance and operation of the transit properties of the new company, and at such reasonable rates as the Public Utilities Commission may from time to time fix. The Washington Co. shall assign to the Potomac Electric Power Co. all of its existing contracts for the sale of power to other railway companies. Eleventh. The Washington Co. shall remain subject to the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission. Any sinking funds now held by it shall remain available for the discharge of securities for which it remains liable and which are secured directly or indirectly by any lien upon property turned over to the new

or indirectly by any lien upon property turned over to the new

Thirteenth. The new company shall grant with each street.

Thirteenth. The new company shall grant with each street-rallway fare a free immediate transfer to any connecting portion of its street-rallway lines within the District of Columbia, subject to reasonable rules and regulations to prevent abuse thereof. In addition, transfers between street cars and busses and between bus lines shall be granted under such reasonable terms and condi-

tions as the Public Utilities Commission may prescribe: Provided, That this shall not be interpreted so as to prevent the Public Utilities Commission from establishing special fares lower than the

basic rate without transfer privileges.

Fourteenth. This agreement is conditioned upon the new com-Fourteenth. This agreement is conditioned upon the new company being relieved from the expense of policemen at street-railway crossings and intersections, the laying of new pavement, the making of permanent improvements, renewals or repairs to the pavements of streets and public bridges; and the permanent improvements, renewals, or repairs to public bridges over which the street-car lines operate; except that the new company shall bear the entire cost of paving repairs or replacements incident to track repairs, replacements, or changes made at a time when the street or bridge is not being paved, and shall bear one-half the cost of other paving, repaving, or maintenance of paving between its tracks and for 2 feet outside of the outer rails, and shall bear the excess cost of construction and maintenance of public bridges, due excess cost of construction and maintenance of public bridges, due to the installation or existence of its tracks on such bridges, but nothing herein shall relieve the new company from liability for

nothing herein shall relieve the new company from liability for street paving as owner of real estate apart from rights of way occupied by its tracks, as set out in the so-called Borland law, approved September 1, 1916, as amended to date, and/or in an act to provide for special assessments for the paving of roadways and the laying of curbs and gutters, approved February 20, 1931.

Fifteenth. Legislation obtained to effectuate this agreement shall contain a provision that no competitive street railway or bus line—that is, bus or railway line for the transportation of passengers of the character which runs over a given route on a fixed schedule—shall be established without the prior issuance of a certificate by the Public Utilities Commission of the District of Columbia to the effect that the competitive line is necessary for the convenience of the public.

the convenience of the public.

Sixteenth. The new company may defray any reasonable legal and other expenses of unification which may be necessarily incurred in connection therewith, subject to the approval of the Public Utilities Commission; provided that these expenses shall be treated in the accounts of the new company as ordered by the Public Utilities Commission.

Seventeenth. The new company upon opening its books of account shall set up reserves, special accounts, and deferred charges equal to the combined reserves, depreciation funds, special accounts, and deferred charges of the Capital and Washington Cos. counts, and deferred charges of the Capital and Washington Cos. in so far as they relate to depreciation of properties turned over to the new company or to liabilities assumed by it, other than the reserve for injuries and damages as heretofore provided in paragraph 8. Such reserves, or accounts, shall be set up in such manner that there shall be continuity of accounting between the books of the Capital and Washington Cos. and the new company: Provided, That the new company shall not be required to maintain any depreciation fund if it sets up a reserve against depreciation at rates fixed therefor by the Public Utilities Commission, but may use money and/or securities in any depreciation fund turned over to it in any manner approved by the Public Utilities Commission. Nothing herein provided shall be construed as changing or limiting the jurisdiction of said commission over depreciation accounts of any of said companies.

ing the jurisdiction of said commission over depreciation accounts of any of said companies.

Eighteenth. Approval of this agreement by the Public Utilities Commission or Congress shall not be taken as approval of the considerations mentioned herein for properties or stocks, nor as binding upon the Public Utilities Commission in any future determination of the fair value of the properties used and useful for the public convenience belonging to the Washington Co., the Capital Co., or to be acquired by the new company, that may be made in accordance with this agreement.

Nineteenth. The Public Utilities Commission shall fix the rate of fare at 3 cents for school children not over 18 years of age

of fare at 3 cents for school children not over 18 years of age going to and from public, parochial, or like schools in the District of Columbia, and shall establish rules and regulations governing the use thereof: Provided, That upon the acceptance of this agreement by the parties and the completion of the unification, the provisions of the act entitled "An act to provide for the transportation of school children in the District of Columbia at a reduced fare," approved February 27, 1931, shall become inoperative.

reduced fare," approved February 27, 1931, shall become inoperative. Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, etc., That such unification in accordance with said agreement, and each and every one of the provisions therein, be, and the same are hereby, ratified and approved, and said Capital Transit Co., when organized under the provisions of subchapter 4, chapter 18, of the Code of Law of the District of Columbia, shall have all the powers, benefits, and obligations expressed in said unification agreement, approved as aforesaid; and the Public Utilities Commission of the District of Columbia be, and is hereby, authorized to do all such acts and things as may be necessary or appropriate on its part to carry out the provisions of said agreeappropriate on its part to carry out the provisions of said agreement and of this resolution. Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to limit the present powers of the Public Utilizies Commission.

Commission.

SEC. 2. This agreement, hereinbefore set forth, shall be submitted to the stockholders of the Capital Traction Co. and the Washington Railway & Electric Co. for their action within six months after its approval by the Congress.

SEC. 3. That all provisions of law making it incumbent upon any street railway company to bear the expense of policemen at street-railway crossings and intersections, the laying of new pavement, the making of permanent improvements, renewals, or repairs to the pavement of streets and public bridges, and the permanent improvements, renewals, or repairs to public bridges over which the street-car lines operate, are hereby repealed, such repeal to be

effective on the date the unification herein authorized becomes operative: Provided, That the Capital Transit Co. herein provided shall bear the entire cost of paving, repairs, or replacements incident to track repairs, replacements, or changes made at a time when the street or bridge is not being paved, and shall bear one-half the cost of other paving, repaving, or maintenance of paving between its track and for 2 feet outside the outer rails, and shall bear the excess cost of construction and maintenance of public bridges due to the existence or installation of its tracks on such bridges: Provided further, That nothing herein contained shall relieve said Capital Transit Co. from liability for street paving as owner of real estate apart from right of way occupied by its ing as owner of real estate apart from right of way occupied by its tracks as provided by section 8 of the act of Congress entitled "An act making appropriations to provide for the expenses of the government of the District of Columbia for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1917, and for other purposes," approved September

Sec. 4. No competitive street railway or bus line; that is, bus or railway line for the transportation of passengers of the character which runs over a given route on a fixed schedule, shall be established without the prior issuance of a certificate by the Public Utilities Commission of the District of Columbia to the effect that the competitive line is necessary for the convenience

of the public.

Szc. 5. That the Capital Traction Co. is hereby authorized and empowered, upon the consummation of the aforesaid unification empowered, upon the consummation of the aforesaid unification agreement, to dissolve and to liquidate its assets and make distribution among its stockholders in accordance with said agreement: Provided, That the existing liabilities of the said the Capital Traction Co. and the rights of its creditors shall not be affected thereby, and that such creditors shall have, as to the said Capital Transit Co., upon the transfer of property to it as provided in said agreement, all rights and remedies which they may then have as to the Capital Traction Co.: Provided further, That no action or proceedings to which the Capital Traction Co. is a party, shall abate in consequence thereof, but the same may be continued in the name of the party by or against which the same was begun, unless the court in which said action or proceedings are pending shall order the Capital Transit Co. to be substituted in its place and stead: And provided further, That the fact of such dissolution in accordance with this provision shall be published once a week for two successive weeks thereafter in at least two daily newspapers of general circulation published in the city of daily newspapers of general circulation published in the city of Washington, D. C.

SEC. 6. That the Washington Railway & Electric Co. is hereby

SEC. 6. That the Washington Railway & Electric Co. is hereby authorized and empowered to retain and hold stocks and bonds as provided in said unification agreement and to issue from time to time stocks, bonds, and/or other evidences of indebtedness subject to the approval of the Public Utilities Commission of the District of Columbia.

SEC. 7. That in accordance with said unification agreement, the Capital Transit Co. to be created as aforesaid is hereby authorized and empowered to purchase all or any part of the outstanding capital stock of the Washington Rapid Transit Co.; and said company shall be merged or consolidated with the said Capital Transit Co. when and if the Public Utilities Commission shall so require.

SEC. 8. That nothing contained in this resolution shall be taken as extending or limiting the powers and duties of the Public Utilities Commission except as provided in this resolution and by said unification agreement, and all powers granted by this resolution to the Capital Transit Co. shall be exercised subject to the supervision of and regulation by the Public Utilities Commission as provided by law.

SEC. 9. The unification herein provided for shall become effective

9. The unification herein provided for shall become effective when but not until agreed upon by vote of more than a majority in amount of the stock of the respective companies and notices to that effect have been filed with the Public Utilities Commission of the District of Columbia within two years from and after the

of the District of Columbia within two years from and after the passage of this joint resolution.

SEC. 10. Any and all charges to the Capital Transit Co. made by any corporation or person holding a majority of the capital stock thereof for any services shall be proved to be fair and reasonable, and only such part of said charges as the Public Utilities Commission, subject to the right of appeal to the courts, may decide to be fair and reasonable shall be considered in the determination of rates. of rates

SEC. 11. It is understood and agreed that nothing herein shall Sec. 11. It is understood and agreed that nothing herein shall be construed as creating any new rights of franchise to use the streets in the District of Columbia for transportation purposes: Provided, That the Capital Transit Co. shall exercise and succeed to all of the property, rights, and franchises of the Capital Traction and the Washington Railway & Electric Cos., which they are required herein to vest in the Capital Transit Co., subject, however, to the right of the Public Utilities Commission to order reasonable extension or reasonable abandonment of tracks and facilities.

12. The Washington Railway & Electric Co., if the unification herein provided for shall become effective, shall remain subject to the jurisdiction of the Public Utilities Commission. Any sinking fund held by it shall remain available for the discharge of securities for which it remains liable and which are secured directly or indirectly, by any lien on property turned over to the Capital Transit Co.

SEC. 13. That Congress reserves the right to alter, amend, or repeal this resolution, or any charter or certificate of incorporation made thereunder, and any and all rights of franchise created by this resolution shall terminate one year following its repeal.

PROPOSED FAIR-TRADE LEGISLATION

Mr. BINGHAM. Mr. President, is it now in order to move to take up another bill?

The VICE PRESIDENT. It is.

Mr. BINGHAM. I move that the Senate proceed to the consideration-

Mr. CAPPER. Mr. President, I rise to a parliamentary

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Senator will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. CAPPER. I should like to inquire of the Chair the status of the motion which I made yesterday to proceed to the consideration of Senate bill 97.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Chair will state that he advised the Senator from Kansas yesterday that if the motion by him were set aside by the unanimous-consent agreement he would be afterwards recognized. The Chair feels, under the circumstances, that he should recognize the Senator from Kansas to make a motion to proceed to the consideration of the measure indicated, if he so desires.

Mr. CAPPER. I wish to renew my motion that the Senate proceed to the consideration of Senate bill 97.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Senator from Kansas moves to proceed to the consideration of a bill, the title of which will be stated.

The CHIEF CLERK. A bill (S. 97) to protect trade-mark owners, distributors, and the public against injurious and uneconomic practices in the distribution of articles of standard quality under a distinguishing trade-mark, brand, or

The VICE PRESIDENT. The question is on agreeing to the motion of the Senator from Kansas.

TRANSFER OF TROOPS IN ARIZONA

Mr. ASHURST. Mr. President, pending that motion, my colleague Mr. HAYDEN has a resolution in the nature of an emergency, and upon which I believe it will require not over 10 minutes for the Senate to act. Inasmuch as those opposing and those favorable are present, I hope my colleague will ask unanimous consent for the consideration of the resolution referred to.

Mr. HAYDEN. Mr. President, I was on my feet seeking recognition to ask unanimous consent for the present consideration of Senate Resolution 306. I submitted the resolution a week ago and hoped to call it up from day to day in case the Senate adjourned. The Senate has not adjourned, however, and we have not had a morning hour since that time which would permit the resolution to be laid before the Senate. I now ask unanimous consent for the immediate consideration of the resolution.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The Senator from Arizona asks unanimous consent for the immediate consideration of a resolution, which will be read.

The Chief Clerk read the resolution (S. Res. 306) submitted by Mr. HAYDEN on the 15th instant, as follows:

Whereas under section 315 of the legislative appropriation act, approved June 30, 1932 (Public, No. 212), "the President is authorized, during the fiscal year ending June 30, 1933, to restrict the transfer of officers and enlisted men of the military and naval forces from one post or station to another post or station to the greatest extent consistent with the public interest"; and Whereas the purpose of said section was to effect economies in the way of limiting the amount to be expended on travel by and within the military and naval forces; and

within the military and naval forces; and
Whereas the Secretary of War has issued orders for the removal
of troops from Camp Stephen D. Little and Camp Harry J. Jones,
Ariz., thereby incurring a needless travel expense and imposing
an additional charge on the United States Treasury, all of which is inconsistent with the intent and purpose of section 315 of said act; and

act; and

Whereas in addition to imposing an extra burden of expense on
the Treasury in contravention of said act, the removal of said
troops from Camp Stephen D. Little and Camp Harry J. Jones
will withdraw from the cities of Nogales and Douglas, Ariz., a substantial pay roll upon which these two communities greatly
depend for their present commercial existence; and
Whereas the removal of said troops, by reason of the consequential loss of said pay roll, will make the present depiorable
conditions in said cities more desperate and will make necessary
additional drains on the Treasury through the Reconstruction
Finance Corporation for direct relief: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved, That the Secretary of War be, and he is hereby, requested to direct that the troops heretofore stationed at Camp Stephen D. Little and Camp Harry J. Jones be retained at said posts, and that all orders for the transfer of said troops be rescinded.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Is there objection to the present consideration of the resolution?

Mr. REED. Mr. President, reserving the right to object, I should like to state the position of the War Department on this resolution and then make a request.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Arizona has the floor.

Mr. ASHURST. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have read a telegram and some letters protesting against the transfer of these troops from these posts.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Does the Senator desire them read?

Mr. ASHURST. I should like to have the telegram and the letters read.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Is there objection? The Chair hears none, and the Secretary will read.

The Chief Clerk read as follows:

Douglas, Ariz., December 8, 1932.

Senator HENRY F. ASHURST,

Washington, D. C.:
Camp Jones must be retained for Douglas. The people and mernants don't mind paying taxes and going in the hole, as they are chants don't mind paying taxes and going in the hole, as they are now doing, to help keep schools, county, and State running pending better times. The removal of Camp Jones at this stage, in plain words, spells ruin to the majority of the independent merchants in Douglas. A beneficent Government on the one hand, through the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, is sending us money to relieve acute situation caused by unemployment, and on the other hand some agency wants to move the troops, which will cause business failures, additional unemployment, and agrayate an already acute economic condition. In addition the gravate an already acute, economic condition. In addition the Army is a vital factor in fostering of international relations and trade along this port of entry; but if no one realizes or believes trade along this port of entry; but if no one realizes or believes that, certainly there can be no excuse for ignoring the seriousness of the threatened move from a purely economic standpoint in these terrible times. Failure to hear anything regarding our pleas causing our people great anxiety. And again we plead with you to see that Camp Jones is retained for Douglas; and if there is anything you think we can do, either by sending a representative to Washington or otherwise, please advise. The retention of Camp Jones means the difference between ruin and a fighting chance for our community to pull through.

Douglas Chamber of Commerce.

Rex Rice.

REX RICE A. G. CROUCH. SHELTON DOWELL. JOHN B. CROWELL

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, the letters sent to the desk by the Senator from Arizona will be printed in the RECORD.

The letters referred to are as follows:

PHOENIX, ARIZ., December 7, 1932.

Hon. HENRY F. ASHURST, United States Senator, Washington, D. C.

DEAR HENRY: It has come to my attention that the War Department has issued orders abandoning Camps Harry J. Jones at Douglas and Stephen D. Little at Nogales. I feel that this move at this time is not justified.

The condition of unrest along the border is such that the citi-I feel that this move

zens should be given this additional protection of having the troops along the border instead of concentrated at Fort Huachuca due to the fact that there is no additional outlay necessary for camp facilities and that the saving to the United States Government by the abandonment of these posts and the concentration of the military forces at Fort Huachuca would be so small that it should not be taken into consideration when weighed against the protection of the American citizens and the spreading of the

buying power of the soldiers over the several communities.

I will very much appreciate your bringing what influence you can to bear on this matter to see that these changes are not

Sincerely yours,

B. B. MOEUR. Governor Elect.

Nogales, Ariz., November 23, 1932.

Hon. GEORGE W. P. HUNT.

Hon, George W. P. Hunt,
Governor of the State of Arizona,
Capitol Building, Phoenix, Ariz.

My Dear Governor Hunt: Along with the ills common to the entire country, Nogales is suffering severe restrictions with respect to business due to a very great extent to the limitations which have been placed upon our relations with Mexico, from whom we received practically 85 per cent of all our local business.

In addition to the above calamities, we are informed by the War Department that we are to suffer the loss of Camp Stephen D. Little by its removal to Fort Huachuca.

I need not worry you over the many reasons for which this should not be done from a strategic and military standpoint, for I think that fact is patent to all. What I wanted to say with respect to it is that the removal of the camp takes from us our

Already this city is staggering under the burden of unemployment on the part of a great majority of people who were formerly employed in different lines of business here. This, with the other two conditions mentioned, places an almost unbearable burden on the local community. If we are to add the loss of the camp to this, no one can say what the real result may mean to the stability of this city.

of this city.

As you know, I have under my direction the relief work of this city and county. Also, by your good grace, I am chairman of the Santa Cruz County (Ariz.) Reconstruction Finance Corporation Advisory Committee. This places me in a position to know just what is happening, both as a result of increasingly bad economic conditions and unemployment.

what is happening, both as a result of increasingly bad economic conditions and unemployment.

We now have one-third of our county's population on my list. The county treasurer informs me that we failed to collect all of the taxes last year and that this year's collection is 35 per cent below normal. This has made it necessary for the county to register its warrants. I have inquired at the bank as to how much credit the county may expect from the bank in this warrant registration. They have informed me that we still owe them \$13,000 in former registrations and that about \$12,000 credit is all that can be expected. can be expected.

Due to this fact, each time I issue a county demand for indigent relief I am forced to borrow it at the bank at the rate of 2 per cent. This current month, in spite of the aid afforded us by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation Commission, I was forced to overdraw the monthly pro rata apportionment in the county outdoor relief fund, and, as I have stated, this was borrowed money. With our credit limited as stated above and with tax collections slowed down to zero point the future looks very dark with respect to purchasing the hear proposition of life for our applications.

I am making the bare necessities of life for our people.

I am making the statement of these facts to you, hoping that you may be able to use some of them in an attempt of not only if necessary increasing our Reconstruction Finance Corporation funds but to, above all things, keep Camp Stephen D. Little located

at Nogales.

Thanking you most heartily for your past cooperation and trusting that your health is now fully recovered, I am,

Sincerely yours,

Chairman Santa Cruz County (Ariz.) Reconstruction Finance Corporation Advisory Committee.

Mr. REED. Mr. President, these troops are not being moved out of Arizona. Camp Little and Camp Jones are two temporary camps, built on leased property, and the War Department, for the sake of economy, is concentrating the garrisons now at those two posts at Fort Huachuca. which is a permanent post on Government-owned property, just a few miles away. The transfer will cost next to nothing, as the troops will move overland and not be taken by train. The transfer will really save considerable money. because about \$150,000 will be required to be spent on these temporary camps if the troops are to remain there through the winter.

I should like to put in the RECORD at this point, without the necessity of reading it, as it is long, a letter from the War Department dated December 16, giving in full their reasons for having ordered this troop movement.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Without objection, the letter will be printed in the RECORD.

The letter referred to is as follows:

WAR DEPARTMENT. Washington, December 16, 1932.

Hon. DAVID A. REED,

Chairman Committee on Military Affairs, United States Senate.

DEAR SENATOR REED: Careful consideration has been given to the proposed Senate resolution transmitted with your letter of December 15, 1932, with request for the views of the department

with respect thereto. The applicable provision of existing law on this subject appears under section 315 of the legislative appropriation act approved June 30, 1932, Public, 212, as quoted in paragraph 1 of the pro-

June 30, 1932, Public, 212, as quoted in paragraph 1 of the proposed Senate resolution.

On May 21, 1931, the War Department gave out a statement enumerating a large number of posts which it proposed to abandon or put on a caretaking basis. This action was made necessary due to demands for economy, both in money and troops. In some cases, due to changed conditions, these posts had outlived their usefulness, and we could not justify further expenditures for their upkeep. For others we had no proper garrisons, owing to reductions which have been made in the Army. This whole

program is nearing completion. Camp Harry J. Jones and Camp Stephen D. Little were included in this list of posts to be abandoned. Both were temporary posts built on leased ground, to meet disturbed conditions then existing on the Mexican border. These conditions no longer exist; and the further retention of these posts can not be justified, especially as a regularly established permanent post (Fort Huachuca), a few miles away and in the same State, stands ready to receive these troops. When the Tenth Cavalry was withdrawn from Huachuca, promises were made that the troops from the temporary camps of Harry J. Jones and Stephen D. Little would be assembled at Huachuca to replace the cavalry. Any abandonment of the present plan would also affect stephen B. Little would be assembled at Huachtaca to replace the cavalry. Any abandonment of the present plan would also affect the completion of the 5-year Air Corps program as provided for in the act of Congress approved July 2, 1926. This is due to the fact that with the abandonment of these posts certain grades now held in the Twenty-fifth Infantry will become available to the Air Corps.

Huachuca, 12 miles from the border in direct line, stands at the apex of a triangle with its base on the Mexican border. One leg of this triangle is 35 miles from Camp Stephen D. Little in the direct line and 63 miles by road. The other leg is 45 miles in the direct line to Camp Harry J. Jones and 86 miles by road. The border in this whole vicinity will therefore be properly covered The development of modern means of transporfrom Huachuca. tation—motor vehicles, armored cars, and airplanes—and the improved highway and communication systems will permit in an

emergency the prompt and rapid movement of troops to threatened points in this area.

The change will be made overland at small expense, while if these posts were retained the routine yearly expenditures would total \$150,000; and as it was decided in 1931 to abandon these posts, practically no funds have been allotted for their upkeep and repair. Should the plan now be changed and should the posts remain, large expenditures would have to be made to overhaul, repair, or rebuild the temporary buildings composing the camps. Leases would have to be renewed. The posts would have to be restocked. All this will be avoided when the commitments already restocked. All this will be avoided when the commitments already made by the War Department are executed and the troops assembled at Huachuca. Actually all preliminary steps looking to the abandonment of these posts have been taken.

Further views of the War Department with respect to the abandonment of these posts are set forth in War Department letter to the Hon. Henry F. Ashurst, dated November 23, 1932, copy of which is inclosed.

which is inclosed.

For the reasons given above, the War Department is unalterably opposed to the passage of the proposed Senate resolution transmitted with your letter of December 13, 1932.

Sincerely yours,

PATRICK J. HURLEY, Secretary of War.

WAR DEPARTMENT, Washington, D. C., November 23, 1932.

Hon. HENRY F. ASHURST,

United States Senate,
DEAR SENATOR ASHURST: In compliance with your request of the 22d it is desired to advise you with reference to the proposed abandonment of two military camps located in the State of Arizona, i. e., Camp Stephen D. Little and Camp Harry J.

You are doubtless familiar with the fact that in order to meet with the requirements of the act of July 2, 1926, and to provide for the five increments of the Air Corps therein authorized it was necessary to make a number of units of other arms of the service inactive and to decrease the strength of certain other elements, so that the necessary increase in the Air Corps could be brought about.

With the reduced strength in the elements that were not with the reduced strength in the elements that were not made inactive for this purpose, it was realized that the Army was much depleted, and that for purposes of economy and proper training it would be necessary to concentrate troops into a lesser number of posts and to abandon a number of the small, a lesser number of posts and to abandon a number of the small, isolated, or unnecessary stations. The whole matter has received careful consideration and study by the War Department, covering a considerable period of time. The problem was considered from every angle, and as a result of the study made announcement was made in 1931 that the department proposed to abandon certain posts and to place others on a care-taking basis. Camp Stephen D. Little and Camp Harry J. Jones were included among the posts that it was deemed advisable to abandon, and the original plans contemplated that the troops at those places would be moved in the fall of 1931, but because of economic conditions it was decided to defer the movements in question until January 1, 1933, when the troops are to be sent to Fort Huachuca, Ariz.

Huachuca, Ariz.

It is believed that the Army will be benefited through the increased efficiency resulting from a concentration in a post of larger size of the troops from the two camps in question, and it is considered that a sizable saving will be made by this action through the elimination of the unnecessary overhead incident to the maintenance of these small garrisons. Camp Stephen D. Little and Camp Harry J. Jones were established several years ago at Nogales and Douglas, Ariz., at a time when conditions necessitated such action. They were never intended to be made permanent posts, and the housing facilities at both are but temporary and in bad condition; in fact, the condition of this

temporary housing is such that if troops were retained there large expenditures would be required. Even then the accommodations would still be poor and the upkeep would be very expensive. The present plan, which contemplates that the troops at these camps shall be transferred to Fort Huachuca, where permanent housing facilities are available, will not only bring about an increase in their efficiency by reason of the fact that the entire regiment involved will be in one garrison but also be advantageous from the standpoint of living conditions.

The proposed movement does not mean that the adjacent border will receive less protection. The development of modern means of transportation, including motor vehicles, armored cars, and airplanes, and improvements in highways and communication systems all permit in an emergency the prompt and rapid movement of troops to threatened points. These new conditions, coupled with the economic and practical advantages outlined in the foregoing, make it undesirable, in the opinion of the War Department, to continue the unnecessary dispersion of the troops involved.

Trusting that the above will explain the situation satisfactorily,

Sincerely yours,

F. H. PAYNE, Acting Secretary of War.

Mr. REED. Now, Mr. President, it seems to me that a matter of this kind, if it is to be dealt with by a legislative body at all, ought by all means to go to an appropriate committee. So I must insist, I think, that either this resolution be referred to the Military Affairs Committee or I shall have to call for the regular order and displace it by that method. I hope the Senator will agree to let it go to the committee

Mr. HAYDEN. I realize, Mr. President, that it is impossible to obtain action on the resolution without unanimous consent, and I must, therefore, agree that the resolution go to the Committee on Military Affairs. There is no other way that we can approach the matter except that dictated by the Senator from Pennsylvania. I want to state publicly, however, that upon investigation I am sure the Senator from Pennsylvania will find that it is a greater distance from Fort Huachuaca to Camp Jones and Camp Little than he anticipates. In case an emergency arises there, it is such a distance from the cities of Nogales and Douglas that they can not be properly and adequately protected.

The proof of that is that for 22 years there have been troops stationed at Camp Jones and at Camp Little, near Douglas and at Nogales. They were sent there first at the beginning of the Madero revolution in 1910. They were sent there "temporarily." They have been there "temporarily" for 22 years. In my judgment, there is exactly the same necessity to-day for troops to protect American lives and property at Nogales and Douglas as there was 22 years ago. Unless conditions materially improve in a neighboring country, 22 years from now we shall still need to have visibly present American troops upon the border. Let it not be forgotten that American citizens have been killed and wounded within the limits of both Nogales and Douglas by bullets fired from Mexico.

I am sure that if the Senator has gathered from the letter written by the War Department that this is a situation which can be cared for by the immediate movement of troops from a near-by military post he is seriously mistaken. The necessary improved roads are not there. The opportunities to bring troops to the border quickly are not such as the Senator would think by looking at a map. I earnestly urge that when the Senate resolution goes to his committee he will look carefully into actual facts and report them to the Senate.

Mr. ASHURST. Mr. President-

Mr. REED. Mr. President, I want to assure both Senators from Arizona-for myself and, I think, for every member of the Military Affairs Committee-that we are anxious that no injustice should be done to these Arizona citizens; and the committee is not prejudging the case at all. We will look into the resolution sympathetically, try to consider both sides, and will take action in the near future.

Mr. ASHURST. Mr. President, before the resolution goes to the committee, I want the RECORD to show that the junior Senator from Arizona [Mr. HAYDEN] and the Representative in Congress from Arizona [Mr. Douglas], with an unremitting zeal, with a fidelity, an energy, and an activity seldom displayed by public servants anywhere, have labored | in season and—the War Department believes—out of season to hold these two camps, respectively, at Douglas and at Nogales.

I want the RECORD further to show, if these troops are removed from these camps and in the future something unfortunate happens, no blame can attach to Senator HAYDEN or to Representative Douglas. They have put forth every energy at their command to prevent the removal of these troops.

Mr. HAYDEN. Mr. President, I ask leave to have printed in the RECORD, for the information of the Committee on Military Affairs, a letter from the Governor elect of Arizona and copies of two telegrams addressed to the President of the United States.

There being no objection, the letter and telegrams were ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

PHOENIX. ARIX.. December 7, 1932.

Hon, CARL HAYDEN,

Hon, Carl Hayden,

United States Senator, Washington, D. C.

Dear Carl: It has come to my attention that the War Department has issued orders abandoning Camps Harry J. Jones, at Douglas, and Stephen D. Little, at Nogales. I feel that this move at this time is not justified.

The condition of unrest along the border is such that the citizens should be given this additional protection of having the troops along the border instead of concentrated at Fort Huachuca. Due to the fact that there is no additional outlay necessary for Due to the fact that there is no additional outlay necessary for camp facilities and that the saving to the United States Govern-ment by the abandonment of these posts and the concentration of the military forces at Fort Huachuca would be so small that it should not be taken into consideration when weighed against the protection of the American citizens and the spreading of the buying power of the soldiers over the several communities.

I will very much appreciate your bringing what influence you can to bear on this matter to see that these changes are not made. Sincerely yours,

B. B. MOEUR, Governor elect.

Douglas, Ariz., December 9, 1932.

The PRESIDENT,

White House, Washington, D. C.:
We earnestly appeal to you with request that you intervene in present plan of War Department to move troops from Camp Harry J. Jones to Fort Huachuca. This community has suffered to J. Jones to Fort Huachuca. This community has suffered to greater extent than any community in Southwest. Our difficulties started with merger of Phelps Dodge Corporation and Calumet & Arizona Mining Co. and has been steadily accentuated by decline in copper price and production. The average monthly pay roll from the smelters in 1929 was \$213,333 as compared with average monthly pay roll now of \$28,500. Bank clearings in November, 1929, were four and one-quarter million and November, 1932, \$975,000. This community was first in State to organize segmentally for relief of unemployed. All citizens have subscribed adequately for relief of unemployed. All citizens have subscribed faithfully, despite terrific decline in business. The pay roll from Camp Jones is of sufficient importance to influence a number of business units to continue. Every business failure now aggravates the situation more seriously. The movement of the troops will be directly contrary to the policy you have upheld in trying to maintain the morale of communities. May we have your earnest cooperation.

L. J. TUTTLE, Mayor, A. G. CROUCH, C. N. POSTEN, Mrs. ROBERT L. HOYAL, JOHN B. CROWELL, Special Committee.

Douglas, Ariz., December 9, 1932.

President HERBERT C. HOOVER. White House, Washington, D. C .:

May we most respectfully urge that these facts are impelling against the removal of Camp Jones at this time. First, the smelter pay roll in Douglas in 1929 was \$2,560,000 and for November, 1932, \$28,500, equivalent to \$342,000 per annum. This reflects the condition of the mining industry here and the state of unemployment. Second, through the beneficent reconstruction finance operations over 650 American citizen heads of families of Douglas operations over 650 American citizen heads of families of Douglas receive work relief each month, not paid for in cash but all by orders on local concerns for staple necessities, with maximum earnings to any one family of \$24 per month. In addition, partial relief from local funds supplemented by Red Cross flour afforded some 200 additional American families plus relief afforded partially to 330 noncitizen families. Third, the citizens of this community are as cheerful as may be, going in debt, paying taxes to keep schools, city, county, and State running, but is there one lota of fairness or reasonableness to add further to the burdens and problems the community faces by removing at this time Camp Jones? Such removal at this time will bring failures to business, increase tax delinguency and unemployment. The to business, increase tax delinquency and unemployment. The

latter logically involves additional Reconstruction Finance Corporation help. Fourth, our plea to retain Camp Jones is reasonable. Economically, we can't stand its removal under present conditions. May we bespeak your interest to retain it for us? With esteem,

F. W. WRIGHT, Chairman, G. R. DRYSDALE, J. E. CARLSON, H. A. WIMBERLEY Community Relief Committee.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The resolution will be referred to the Committee on Military Affairs.

DISPOSAL OF SURPLUS NAVY SUPPLIES

Mr. THOMAS of Oklahoma. Mr. President, on yesterday the Senate passed Senate Joint Resolution No. 220, a measure sponsored by the junior Senator from California [Mr. Shortridge]. It provided for the distribution of excess Navy clothing. At about the same time the House of Representatives passed an identical joint resolution, its number being House Joint Resolution No. 500. The House joint resolution contains a slight amendment providing that the States shall share equally in the distribution.

I ask unanimous consent to call up the House joint resolution for immediate consideration.

The VICE PRESIDENT. The joint resolution will be

The joint resolution (H. J. Res. 500) authorizing the Secretary of the Navy to sell obsolete and surplus clothing at nominal prices for distribution to the needy, was read twice by its title.

Mr. THOMAS of Oklahoma. Mr. President, I have called the attention of the junior Senator from California [Mr. SHORTRIDGE] to this amendment. He says he has no objection to it. Therefore, I move that the Senate take up and pass the House joint resolution.

Mr. KING. Mr. President, I should like to ask the Senator from Oklahoma upon what basis the distribution is to be made—the population or the impoverished condition of the people? What are the factors that are to be determinative of the allocation of the commodities that are to be provided?

It seems to me there is a great deal of uncertainty there. want to know how this distribution is to be made.

Mr. THOMAS of Oklahoma. I am advised that the distribution is to be made on the basis of population.

Mr. KING. Would that be fair? I can conceive of some States, largely industrial, where the needs of the population would be very much greater than the needs of a similar population in some other States. I do not know just how the authorities are going to deal with that matter justly.

I should have preferred to leave the matter entirely in the hands of the Red Cross, for instance, or some organization with authority to allocate the clothing wherever the needs of the people are the greatest. Of course, I shall not object to this measure; but I do think its administration will be fraught with difficulty, and that there will be a great deal of uncertainty and a great deal of criticism as to the manner of distribution.

Mr. THOMAS of Oklahoma. It is understood that if any State does not call for or accept its quota in 30 days such quota shall be then redistributed.

The VICE PRESIDENT. Is there objection to the present consideration of the joint resolution?

There being no objection, the Senate proceeded to consider the joint resolution, which was ordered to a third reading, read the third time, and passed, as follows:

Resolved, etc., That the Secretary of the Navy is hereby authorized, under such regulations as he may prescribe, to sell, at nominal prices, to recognized charitable organizations, to States and subdivisions thereof, and to municipalities, such nonregulation and excess clothing as may be available and required for distribution to the needy: Provided, That such clothing shall be sold only after agreement by the purchaser that it shall not be resold but shall be given absolutely free to the needy: Provided further, That a fair proportionate allotment of such clothing shall be set aside for distribution in each State and the District of Columbia as profor distribution in each State and the District of Columbia as provided herein and shall not be sold for distribution within any other State until after the expiration of 30 days.

PROPOSED FAIR-TRADE LEGISLATION

The VICE PRESIDENT. The question is on the motion of the Senator from Kansas [Mr. CAPPER] that the Senate proceed to the consideration of Senate bill 97, to protect trade-mark owners, distributors, and the public against injurious and uneconomic practices in the distribution of articles of standard quality under a distinguishing trademark, brand, or name.

Mr. COPELAND. Mr. President, yesterday I listened with great interest to what the Senator from Kansas [Mr. Cap-PER] said about the bill which is the subject of the pending motion.

On page 827 of the RECORD I find a quotation made by the Senator from Mr. Justice Brandeis. The language used is:

Justice Louis Brandels, of the United States Supreme Court, when a member of the Massachusetts bar, made the following significant statement as to the general policy of predatory price cutting:

Then follows the quotation:

Americans should be under no illusions as to the value or effect of price cutting. It has been the most potent weapon of monopoly—a means of killing the small rival to which the great trusts

oly—a means of kining was have resorted most frequently.

It is so simple, so effective. Far-seeing, organized capital secures by this means the cooperation of the short-sighted, unorganized consumer to his own undoing. Thoughtless or weak, he yields to consumer to his own undoing. Thoughtless or weak, he yields to the temptation of trifling, immediate gain, and, selling his birthright for a mess of pottage, becomes himself an instrument of monopoly.

That is a quotation made by the Senator from Kansas from Mr. Justice Brandeis when he was a member of the Massachusetts bar, and not upon the Supreme Court.

A colloquy occurred between the Senator from Kentucky [Mr. Barkley] and the Senator from Kansas [Mr. Capper], and it is regarding that that I wish to speak. In the colloquy the Senator from Kentucky [Mr. BARKLEY]-I am sorry he is not here-called attention to this statement of the Senator from Nebraska, saying-and I quote the language of the Senator from Kentucky, as found on page 827-

It ought to be stated, in fairness to Justice Brandeis, that in issuing that statement he was not issuing it as a judge of the court, but as the employed attorney for the parties interested in

Mr. President, in fairness to Mr. Justice Brandeis I think the facts ought to be correctly recorded.

As a matter of fact, by reference to the hearings held on May 30 and June 1, 1916, before the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce of the House of Representatives on H. R. 13568, I find this language; and it shows that the Senator from Kentucky, I think, was mistaken in his recollection of the circumstances at the time.

On page 215, after Mr. Brandeis had testified, the chairman of the committee, Mr. Adamson, said:

You are not here solely in behalf of the ultimate consumers, are you?

Mr. Branders. I am here in behalf of the public; and I conceive that the public includes all of these classes of people, including Members of Congress.

The CHAIRMAN. Well, they need protection.

Mr. Barkley, who was then in the House, said-

I am not certain that the public looks upon it in that light.

Mr. Branders. I think they do.
Mr. Barkley. I mean in reference to Members of Congress.
Mr. Decker. I have no desire to be personal, but we have asked Mr. Decker. I have no desire to be personal, but we have asked the same questions of everybody here so we can get at the facts. I do not care whom you represent. If you are right I am for you, but sometimes it gives us an idea what is back of these things. I have been getting these circular letters about this Stevens bill. Who is back of this? Whom do you represent?

Mr. Brandels. I represent myself—nobody else.

Mr. Decker. Nobody else?

The Charman. I was not more polite than Mr. Decker, but I knew you better than he did therefore I put my question more

I knew you better than he did, therefore I put my question more adroitly; I did not ask you whom you represented, but who would be the beneficiary of this legislation.

Mr. Brandels. Let me put it in another way.

Mr. Decker. It is all right with me if you represent Morgan or

not, or anybody else.
Mr. Brandels. Unfortunately, I represent only myself.

Mr. President, I thought that the inference left by the colloquy yesterday was an unpleasant one; and if we may peace, good will toward men." Oh, what a thrilling moment

depend upon the hearing from which I have quoted, Mr. Brandeis, then a member of the bar, said he was here representing himself, and not, as stated by the Senator from Kentucky, that he was "the employed attorney for the parties interested in this legislation."

While I am on my feet I want to say a word more.

A very interesting meeting of the Trade Committee Conference of the National Association of Manufacturers was held in New York on October 23, 1928.

At that time Mr. William J. Baxter, director of the Chain Stores Research Bureau, New York, and an expert on chain store management, said certain things which I should like to have in the RECORD. He referred to the fact that he had been associated with about 300 different chain-store organizations in their development, and, among other things, Mr. Baxter said:

To me there isn't any question as to the advisability of any retail store, if it can, to sell some nationally known product at cost to get the crowd. * * * A consumer will go to a grocery store and she is willing to pay 55 cents for a steak, whereas it might be sold for 52 or 50 cents elsewhere, if she at the same time can purchase Campbell's soup or some other package goods at cost. * * * Scientific retailing means studying the "blind" articles in the store and selling them at full prices. But what we call "open" articles, the ones that the consumer can go from store to store and compare, selling them at low prices.

Mr. WATSON. Mr. President-

Mr. COPELAND. Just one moment. I have no desire to continue the discussion, but I do want the RECORD to show that there are many very serious-minded persons, representing nobody but themselves and their own intelligence and the public, who believe that there is an evil here which should be dealt with effectively. Whether or not the Capper-Kelly bill can do that, or can not do it, I am not prepared to say; but I did want Mr. Brandeis to be properly represented regarding the statements he made in the hearing in 1916.

Mr. WATSON obtained the floor.

Mr. BINGHAM. Mr. President, will the Senator from Indiana yield to me?

Mr. WATSON. I yield.

Mr. BINGHAM. I understand the Senator from Indiana intends to move for an adjournment until to-morrow.

Mr. WATSON. I do.

PERMISSIBLE ALCOHOLIC CONTENT OF BEER, ALE, OR PORTER

Mr. BINGHAM. Mr. President, to-morrow, as soon as may be in order, I shall move that the Senate proceed to the consideration of the bill (S. 2473) to provide for increasing the permissible alcoholic content of beer, ale, or porter to 3.2 per cent by weight, and to provide means by which all such beer, ale, or porter shall be made of products of American farms. I shall then offer an amendment to substitute for that bill the language of the bill passed by the House of Representatives yesterday, which was messaged to the Senate to-day.

ADJOURNMENT

Mr. WATSON. Mr. President, that a quorum is not present is apparent, and I am satisfied that so many Senators have left the city and are not accessible that it would be difficult to get a quorum. Therefore I move that the Senate adjourn until to-morrow at noon.

The motion was agreed to; and the Senate (at 3 o'clock and 45 minutes p. m.) adjourned until to-morrow, Friday, December 23, 1932, at 12 o'clock meridian.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 22, 1932

The House met at 12 o'clock noon.

The Chaplain, Rev. James Shera Montgomery, D. D., offered the following prayer:

Our Heavenly Father, we rejoice because of that hour when Almighty God came out of a world grown weary and gray and made Himself vocal in the heavenly anthem by the overhanging hosts-" Glory to God in the highest, on earth it was when that angel song first beat on the hills of time. | His name is clothed with immortal remembrance, to which the generations of men have come to light their torches of undying hope. We would thus come with our prayers and dreams to the manger altar and pay our homage to Him who walks the highways of the ages. Holy Savior, as we come, may we forget the forced marches, the smiting aches, and any unbrotherly relations, and devoutly remember the One who has passed this way, manifesting love, mercy, and abundance for all. We pray that His spirit of brotherhood and good will may roll forward over the Republic like a golden river. Let it rule in triumph over street, market place, and home, clothed with beauty, love, and sympathy, opening the gates of a new life as it touches the hearthstones of our land. Through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

The Journal of the proceedings of yesterday was read and approved.

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A message from the Senate by Mr. Craven, its principal clerk, announced that the Senate had passed without amendment bills and a joint resolution of the following titles, in which the concurrence of the House is requested:

S. 4972. An act granting the consent of Congress to the State of Georgia to construct, maintain, and operate a highway bridge across the Savannah River near Lincolnton, Ga., and between Lincolnton, Ga., and McCormick, S. C.;

S. 5059. An act to extend the time for completion of a bridge across Lake Champlain at or near Rouses Point, N. Y., and a point at or near Alburgh, Vt.;

S. 5148. An act authorizing the Secretary of Agriculture to adjust debts owing the United States for feed, fuel, and crop-production loans:

S. 5183. An act granting the consent of Congress to the Board of County Commissioners of Allegheny County, Pa., to construct, maintain, and operate a toll bridge across the Monongahela River between the city of Pittsburgh and the borough of Homestead, Pa.; and

S. J. Res. 220. Joint resolution authorizing the Secretary of the Navy to sell obsolete and surplus clothing at nominal prices for distribution to the needy.

The message also announced that the Vice President had appointed Mr. Oddie and Mr. McKellar members of the joint select committee on the part of the Senate as provided for in the act of February 16, 1889, as amended by the act of March 2, 1895, entitled "An act to authorize and provide for the disposition of useless papers in the executive departments," for the disposition of useless papers in the Post Office Department.

The message also announced that the Senate agrees to the report of the committee of conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendment of the Senate to the bill (H. R. 7233) entitled "An act to enable the people of the Philippine Islands to adopt a constitution and form a government for the Philippine Islands, to provide for the independence of the same, and for other purposes."

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE APPROPRIATION BILL

Mr. BUCHANAN, from the Committee on Appropriations, reported the bill (H. R. 13872, Rept. No. 1807) making appropriations for the Department of Agriculture for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1934, and for other purposes, which was read a first and second time, and, with the accompanying report, referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union and ordered printed.

Mr. TABER reserved all points of order on the bill.

ADJOURNMENT OVER

Mr. RAINEY. Mr. Speaker, I am advised that the committee expects to get through with the Interior Department appropriation bill to-day; and if we get through to-day, it will not be necessary for Members to come back to-morrow. To-morrow we want to recess until next Tuesday, and I offer a resolution, which I send to the desk, and ask unanimous consent for its present consideration.

The Clerk read as follows:

House Resolution 333

Resolved, That when the House adjourns on Friday, December 23, 1932, it stand adjourned until 12 o'clock meridian Tuesday, December 27, 1932.

Mr. SNELL. Will the gentleman yield? Mr. RAINEY. I yield. Mr. SNELL. Will the gentleman explain it as carefully as possible so that the Members may know just what the program will be for the next week or 10 days?

Mr. RAINEY. The program for next week will be the Department of Agriculture appropriation bill.

Mr. SNELL. I have been informed that there are several important matters in the Agriculture Department appropriation bill, and one Member told me if that was taken up next week he will insist upon having a quorum present.

Mr. RAINEY. Well, general debate will consume considerable time, I have no doubt.

Mr. SNELL. If it is understood that there will be only general debate, that would not make any difference.

Mr. BYRNS. Will the gentleman yield?
Mr. RAINEY. I yield.
Mr. BYRNS. I hope the gentleman will not take two or three days on general debate on that bill.

Mr. SNELL. If there is something that is not controversial, it will not be necessary for the Members to come back.

Mr. BYRNS. I hope the gentleman will not say that it is not necessary for the Members to be here Tuesday, because I want to call attention to the fact that we ought to pass these appropriation bills and get them to the Senate just as quickly as we can.

Mr. RAINEY. I have not said that.

Mr. BYRNS. I understand the gentleman has not. Mr. SNELL. As far as I am concerned personally, it does not make any difference, because I do not go out of the city, anyway, but I think we ought to have an understanding whether there is to be important business taken up next week. I would also like to ask if it is intended to call up the conference report on the Philippine bill?

Mr. RAINEY. We might call that up to-day if we finish the Interior Department appropriation bill.

Mr. SNELL. Has it been reported in the House yet? The conference report has not yet been reported, has it?

Mr. RAINEY. I do not know. If it has not, of course, we can not take it up.

Mr. TARVER. Will the gentleman yield for an inquiry? Mr. RAINEY. I yield.

Mr. TARVER. What provision is contained in the bill reported to the House to-day for the continuance of Federal aid to roads?

Mr. RAINEY. I do not know.

Mr. TARVER. Has that been eliminated in accordance with the presidential recommendation, or is it contained in the bill?

Mr. RAINEY. Perhaps the gentleman from Texas, chairman of the subcommittee, can explain that.

Mr. BUCHANAN. There is no authorization for 1934. This House must pass an authorization bill, if any appropriation is made.

Mr. LAGUARDIA. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. RAINEY. I yield.

Mr. LaGUARDIA. Will the gentleman give the House the assurance at least that the conference report on the Philippine bill will not be called up in the days intervening between Christmas and the new year? There are a great many Members who are keenly interested in that.

Mr. RAINEY. I could not give that assurance, but perhaps some member of the committee might.

Mr. LaGUARDIA. I think that assurance would allay a great many fears on the part of many Members who have made other plans.

Mr. BLANTON. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. RAINEY. I yield.

Mr. BLANTON. Can the gentleman assure the House that there will be no questions involving the Constitution, or no liquor bills brought up next week?

Mr. RAINEY. I can assure the gentleman of that.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

Mr. BACON. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, can not the majority leader consult with the chairman of the Committee on Insular Affairs and perhaps give some assurance later in the day that the Philippine bill will not be considered until after the first of the year?

Mr. RAINEY. I will be glad to do that. The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

Mr. TARVER. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, in view of the insistence that the membership of the House, or at least a part of it, shall be kept here during the holidays and the apparent belief that it will not be necessary for but very few to remain, I would like to know just how many Members are participating in this decision to have only a 3-day recess? I therefore make the point of order that there is not a quorum present.

Mr. BLANTON. That would not get a vote on the resolution.

Mr. TARVER. I do not want a vote on the resolution. I want to find out how many Members are still here as an indication of how many will be here next week to transact the Nation's business. [Applause.]

Mr. RAINEY. I hope the gentleman will not insist upon the point of order, because that will only delay matters to-day.

The SPEAKER. Does the gentleman insist upon his point of order?

Mr. TARVER. I do, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. RAINEY. Mr. Speaker, I move a call of the House.

A call of the House was ordered.

The Clerk called the roll, and the following Members failed to answer to their names:

[Roll No. 133]

Abernethy Douglass, Mass. Jones Reid, III. Doutrich Kading Kelly, Ill. Rich Rogers, N. H. Rudd Amlie Drane Drewry Eslick Andrews, N. Y. Auf der Heide Kendall Kennedy, Md. Kennedy, N. Y. Kleberg Sabath Sanders, N. Y. Schuetz Baldrige Fishburne Beam Fitzpatrick Beck Bloom Flannagan Kniffin Shannon Kopp Shott Sirovich Bohn Free Kunz Smith, Idaho Smith, Va. Smith, W. Va. Brand, Ga. Brand, Ohio Freeman Fulbright Lambeth Larrabee Britten Browning Fuller Gibson Larsen Lehlbach Stafford Steagall Stevenson Stokes Bulwinkle Gifford Lewis Lichtenwalner Butler Campbell, Pa. Gilbert Gillen Lindsay Canfield Cannon McGugin McMillan Strong, Pa. Sullivan, N. Y. Golder Goldsborough Carley Carter, Wyo. Goodwin Major Sullivan, Pa. Sweeney Maloney Martin, Mass. Grandfield Griswold Hall, Miss Cartwright Tierney Underwood Vinson, Ky. Celler Martin, Oreg. May Mead Chavez Hancock, N. C. Christgau Hart Weaver Mobley Nelson, Mo. Christopherson Cole, Md. Whitley Hogg, Ind. Hollister Williams, Tex. Oliver, N. Y. Overton Wingo Withrow Connery Hopkins Cooke Owen Palmisano Corning Hornor Wolcott Horr Houston Wolfenden Wood, Ga. Wood, Ind. Yates Crosser Partridge Crump Hull, William E. Patman Peavey Curry Igoe Jeffers Purnell Davenport Yon Johnson, Ill. Ragon Johnson, S. Dak. Rankin Johnson, Wash. Reed, N. Y. Davis, Pa. Dominick Doughton

The SPEAKER. Two hundred and seventy-nine Members have answered to their names. A quorum is present.

Mr. RAINEY. Mr. Speaker, I move that further proceedings under the call be dispensed with.

The motion was agreed to.

CHRISTMAS RECESS

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the consideration of the resolution offered by the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. RAINEY]?

Mr. SNELL. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I would like to know if this is a privileged resolution?

The SPEAKER. It is not.

Mr. SNELL. Then unanimous consent is necessary for its consideration?

The SPEAKER. It is. Is there objection to the present consideration of the resolution?

There was no objection.

The resolution was agreed to.

WILLIAM EDWARD CLEARY

Mr. GRIFFIN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks in the Record in tribute to a former colleague of ours, the Hon. William Edward Cleary, who died on Tuesday and who is being buried to-day.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from New York?

There was no objection.

Mr. GRIFFIN. Mr. Speaker, in this very hour the mortal remains of our late colleague the Hon. William Edward Cleary are receiving the last of all earthly honors at the Holy Cross Cemetery in Brooklyn, New York City. While his family and friends are paying this token of respect to his memory, I submit it is fitting and proper that we in this House, which he adorned as a valuable Member for so many years, should make some note of his passing.

The older Members of the House will recall his genial presence, his wise counsel, and his persistent attention to his duties. He became a Member of this honorable body during the Sixty-fifth, or War, Congress. There was a bond of sympathy between us, because he and I, as well as the Hon. John J. Delaney and the Hon. Jerome F. Donovan, were elected at the same time at the special election held on March 5, 1913.

From the time that he was sworn in until the close of his congressional career on March 4, 1927, there were few men who gave more assiduous and devoted attention to their duties.

Never a session opened without finding him in his seat, a careful listener to the debates, in which he participated at rare but timely intervals; and on every such occasion when he was prompted to take the floor, his words were distinguished for their pertinency and wisdom. This was particularly so in matters which concerned rivers and harbors. He served on the important committee which had those subjects under its jurisdiction and, as he had spent his life in the lighterage and boat industry, his advice was sought and much relied upon by his colleagues.

Mr. Cleary was a man of broad and liberal views-a selfmade man in every sense of the term, since he had to earn his living from a very early age. He knew human nature and had a store of practical wisdom which was the fruit of his early struggles and contacts with his fellow men. Temperate in his habits, he had a tolerance for the weaknesses of others. He was well grounded in the principles of Jeffersonian Democracy, and a profound believer in the maxim that that government is best which governs least. He had no patience with Federal interference with State rights. He had an aversion to the eighteenth amendment, viewing it as an attempt to coerce the States and their citizenship into a policy of enforced total abstinence. Consequently and quite naturally he was opposed to the Volstead Act, and when President Wilson's veto came unexpectedly to the House on Monday, October 28, 1919, and a vote was forced despite a gentlemen's agreement to postpone the issue until the following Thursday, his vote was recorded to sustain the President's veto. He was always very proud of this vote, and it is to be regretted that he died on the very eve of the passage of the first bill intended to mitigate some of the evils of prohibition fanaticism.

Having entered politics late in life, after he had acquired a comfortable competency, Mr. Cleary was not hampered in the performance of his congressional duties by the necessity of dividing his time with his personal affairs. All that he had of time and experience he was able to devote, and did devote wholeheartedly, to public business, and when he

voluntarily laid down the honor of representing his constituency in this House the country lost one of its most valuable public servants.

FARM-LAND SECURITIES AS THE BASIS FOR CURRENCY

Mr. LANKFORD of Georgia. I ask unanimous consent to extend my own remarks in the RECORD.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. LANKFORD of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, never before since the beginning of our Government has there been such universal desire to help the farmer; and yet I very much fear nothing will be done at this session to anything like solve the problems of agriculture. I am praying and the country is praying for something to be done for the farmers at the earliest possible moment. And, by the farmers I mean the small individual farmers who now own their farms or who did own them at the beginning of this depression or who hope in the future to acquire a farm for home purposes.

I am opposed to all suggestions of chain farming or farming on a large scale by large corporations or monopolies. I feel that the very life not only of our farmers but of the Nation is in the balance.

If we are to save agriculture, the time is here for the immediate application of the most heroic remedy in sight. First, we want to stop loan foreclosures and undo the wrongs already done by them and then, of course, at the earliest possible moment, put into effect some real farm-relief measures, giving relief from taxes and bringing about a permanent scale of much better prices for farm products.

nent scale of much better prices for farm products.

My views on the farmers' tax and marketing problems are well known and I do not wish to further elaborate them now. I do, though, at this time wish to further discuss the awful farm-loan-foreclosure menace. To my mind, passive acquiescence of Congress in the present orgy of farm-loan foreclosures is inexcusable, and if continued will constitute one of the blackest pages of legislative history ever written by any free people.

Mr. Speaker, in addition to my other suggestions and observations in this connection I am proposing that the United States Treasury be authorized and required to accept farm-loan deeds or other loan papers constituting first liens on farm property securing indebtedness to the United States of America to the amount of 80 per cent of the present reasonable value of said property, due 30 years from date, and issue in exchange for said liens the full amount thereof in currency in the form of Treasury certificates to be used as a circulating medium throughout the country and to be redeemable upon demand in 30-year Government bonds drawing 2 per cent annual interest.

These first-lien farm securities would constitute the basis and security for the issuance of the currency; and, of course, as the currency was redeemed, the security for the redeemed currency would become the security for the bonds issued for the redemption.

I am to-day introducing a bill to carry into effect the plan just mentioned by me.

Anyone can see what would be the immediate effect of the enactment of my bill. This would provide a method for the refinancing of farm loans in a way to write off a large part of the loan, extend the balance—not written off—for 30 years without the payment of any interest or any accumulating for 10 or more years.

So far as the farmer is concerned at present, his loan would be paid up in full, except he would not be able to file a lien and receive the equivalent in currency unless he paid off all or a part of the lien against his land. Of course, at the end of 30 years this process could be repeated, or if land had increased in value, more money could be issued on the same land.

After times get better the farmer might be required to pay in, say, 2 per cent interest annually to be placed with his land papers as additional security for the issuance of his currency. This is a matter of detail. Of course, this plan provides for the farmer owning his farm and getting its value in money at the same time. Is not this just what we do for

the banks when we let them still own their gold, bonds, and other securities on deposit in the Treasury as the basis or security for currency issued by the banks?

I would provide that from time to time the farmer could take up, in whole or in part, the securities or bonds outstanding against his land. This plan would cause the farmers to deflate or inflate the currency as their necessities might demand.

Of course, this plan would at once make long-term farmloan papers very liquid. It would also relieve and greatly help the farmers and all those now holding long-term farmloan mortgages and loan deeds.

I very much desire that most careful attention be given to perfecting my bill, to the end that this plan prevent the amassing of very large tracts of land to be used in chain farming, but, on the contrary, bring about, perfect, and perpetuate a Nation of individual, independent, happy, and prosperous farmers.

I am convinced that my plan will expand the currency, put an ample abundance of money in circulation, absolutely stop farm-mortgage foreclosures, bring about a most splendid reduction and refinancing of farm indebtedness, and at once be another step toward putting the farmer on an equal footing with industry.

I know my plan is in the rough and that there are many details to be worked out; but why can not this great big rough suggestion be shaped into a wonderful piece of legislation for our farmers and for all our people?

SALE OF OBSOLETE AND SURPLUS CLOTHING OF THE NAVY

The SPEAKER. The Chair desires to make a statement. The Chair's attention has been called to a joint resolution providing for the sale of obsolete and surplus clothing of the Navy to the Red Cross. This resolution comes from the Naval Affairs Committee of the House, and the Chair is advised that this committee has unanimously recommended the passage of the resolution with an amendment.

The Chair is going to take the responsibility of recognizing the gentleman from Oklahoma [Mr. McClintic] to ask unanimous consent for its present consideration.

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent for the present consideration of House Joint Resolution No. 500.

The Clerk read as follows:

House Joint Resolution 500

Resolved, etc., That the Secretary of the Navy is hereby authorized, under such regulations as he may prescribe, to sell, at nominal prices, to recognized charitable organizations, to States and subdivisions thereof, and to municipalities, such nonregulation and excess clothing as may be available and required for distribution to the needy.

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment.

The Clerk read as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. McClintic of Oklahoma: At the end of the resolution as just read insert: "Provided, That such clothing shall be sold only after agreement by the purchaser that it shall not be resold but shall be given absolutely free to the needy: Provided further, That a fair proportionate allotment of such clothing shall be set aside for distribution in each State and the District of Columbia as provided herein and shall not be sold for distribution within any other State until after the expiration of 30 days."

Mr. SNELL. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, I do not know that there will be any objection, but I think the gentleman should make an explanation of what this resolution does.

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. Mr. Speaker-

Mr. HOUSTON of Hawaii. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. I yield.

Mr. HOUSTON of Hawaii. Will the gentleman accept an amendment making this resolution applicable to the Territories as well as the States and the District of Columbia?

Mr. LaGUARDIA. Puerto Rico, Hawaii, and Alaska.
Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. In just a minute I will direct my remarks to the request of the gentleman from

Mr. Speaker, the Navy Department advises the committee that they have on hand a number of different articles of clothing that are obsolete to the extent that they will not be used. Included in this list are overcoats, shoes, shirts, and a number of articles that could be used to great advantage by the different charitable organizations.

It is proposed to allow these articles to be sold at about 10 per cent of their original cost, with the understanding that such articles are to be distributed without cost to poor people, and the object of the amendment was to make certain that every State in the Union would have an allotment based upon population, and that this amount allotted to the various States would be reserved for a period of 30 days, so that if any State did not desire to use its allotment it then could be disposed of to charitable organizations that were in need of the same.

Mr. LAGUARDIA. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. I yield.

Mr. LAGUARDIA. The allocation or the allotment to the States is limited to the clothing, and once an organization buys that clothing in a certain State it can use all of that clothing within its own State or city?

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. It can.

Mr. LAGUARDIA. That is clear.

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. That is clear.

Mr. BLANTON. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. I yield to the gentleman.

Mr. BLANTON. This is virtually a gratuity. The 10 per cent will probably prevent some communities from getting any of it, hence the gentleman should explain why it could not be made an absolute gratuity.

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. If the gentleman will permit, take, for instance, shoes that cost \$3.50. The estimated price that a charitable organization will have to pay would be only 25 cents a pair. With respect to overcoats that cost \$10 or \$12, the estimated price that a charitable organization will have to pay, as I understand, is either \$1 or less. I think the maximum cost of underwear is only 10 cents per garment. The charge is very small, and it is very probable that the Navy Department had in mind there would be some cost in packing and making necessary plans for the shipment of this clothing. So the cost amounts to hardly anything in comparison with the good that will be accomplished.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the present consideration of the resolution?

Mr. SNELL. Mr. Speaker, I think we ought to have a full explanation about this matter. I would like to ask a question or two myself about it. There is no hurry, any-Wav.

Mr. SPARKS. Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask the gentleman a question.

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. I yield to the gentleman. Mr. SPARKS. Will the gentleman tell the Members of the House about how much surplus clothing there will be available under this resolution?

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. The list was put in the record of the hearings this morning; it will also be found in the Senate Record of yesterday, on page 825; and as I recall, there are about 84,000 overcoats, about 60,000 pairs of shoes, and other articles in a smaller amount.

Mr. WHITTINGTON. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. I yield.

Mr. WHITTINGTON. Is it not true that the Navy Department states that this nominal cost of 10 per cent is to provide for distribution so as to relieve them of the necessity of having an appropriation for distributing the clothing?

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. That is what was in the minds of those who considered the question.

Mr. WHITTINGTON. Is it not also true that this is substantially the same provision for the distribution of Navy clothing that the House has heretofore approved on numerous occasions for the distribution of obsolete Army

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. The prices charged the charitable organizations in this resolution are the same that the Army is getting for its articles that are not needed.

Mr. SCHAFER. Will the gentleman yield? Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. I yield.

Mr. SCHAFER. In what respect are these Navy shoes obsolete? Do they have to have the latest style in the Navy or are they defective?

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. A great many pairs of these shoes were bought 8 or 10 years ago, and they fear that unless we dispose of them the leather will deteriorate. In addition to this, some styles have been changed and they do not conform to the regulations that are now in force and consequently can not be used.

Mr. SCHAFER. Can not the United States Veterans' Bureau use some of this surplus clothing? Under the law they must furnish clothing to certain veterans of the World War who are without funds and who are hospitalized under the Veterans' Bureau. They must furnish clothing, in this way, to the inmates of 12 or 13 soldiers' homes.

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. I take it the branch of the Government to which the gentleman refers could use some of it, but the need by the charitable organizations is so much greater at the present time, it was the thought of the committee, that inasmuch as the Army had disposed of their surplus clothing in this way, the Navy should be allowed to dispose of their surplus so that the charitable organizations may have the benefit of same for the needy people in the country at the present time.

Mr. SCHAFER. Why would it not be a good idea not to sell it to charitable institutions, but to give it to them through the Red Cross the same as we disposed of the wheat

and the cotton?

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. This is virtually a gift, I will say to the gentleman from Wisconsin.

Mr. SNELL. Will the gentleman yield? Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. I yield.

Mr. SNELL. Who is it that makes these purchases in such a way that we have such a surplus of overcoats as

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. The Navy Department explained that these overcoats, when they had been finished, were bound up in bales and because of the dye which was purchased during the World War being more or less of an inferior quality, they had changed in color or had faded in some respects and for this reason could not be used for the purpose of taking care of the men at the present time.

Mr. SNELL. How long in advance do they buy this clothing for the Navy?

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. That is a question I can not answer.

Mr. SNELL. I think that is an important question to be considered by this House. For instance, in your naval appropriation bill this year, how much money will you carry for new uniforms and various articles of this kind?

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. Of course, that comes under the jurisdiction of the Appropriations Committee.

Mr. SNELL. I did not know but what the gentleman could give me the information.

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. We have appointed a sub-committee of the Naval Affairs Committee to meet with a subcommittee of the Military Affairs Committee to make an exhaustive investigation of the very point that the gentleman has in mind.

Mr. SNELL. I think now is the time to make this investigation. One gentleman suggested that the Army got rid of their clothing in this way and for that reason we should give this opportunity to the Navy. I do not agree with that argument. I would like to know whether there are any excess purchases being made and whether we are authorizing more purchases than they actually need. There is some reason for having 84,000 extra overcoats, and I do not believe anybody can explain that.

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. I am in hearty accord with the gentleman. I think that is a question that ought

to be looked into.

Mr. SNELL. I think the gentleman ought to be able to give us that information before we vote to give away these 84.000 overcoats.

Mr. HILL of Alabama. If the gentleman will yield to me, I think I can answer the gentleman's question.

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. I yield to the gentleman from Alabama, who is a member of the Military Affairs Committee.

Mr. HILL of Alabama. In reply to the gentleman's question, we had a number of hearings on the question of the sale of this surplus War Department property and the evidence showed that the surplus property came from property that was bought during the World War.

Mr. SNELL. Let me ask the gentleman if this is property that was purchased during the World War?

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. It is. Some of it was purchased 10 or 12 years ago.

Mr. SNELL. But the war has been over 15 years.

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. Yes; but the material was bought at that time and has been made up since the World War, and because the garments have faded in color they will never be used by the Navy, according to their regulations.

Mr. SNELL. What about the underwear—has that gone out of style? [Laughter.] I think that is nothing to be laughed at. I think that is important in spending money for this purpose.

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. The underwear was probably made for severe weather, such as existed during the war, but it is not the kind that is being used at the present time.

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. I demand the regular order. Mr. SNELL. If there is too much of a demand for the regular order, I shall object.

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. I hope the gentleman will allow me to answer these questions.

Mr. McSWAIN. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. Yes.

Mr. McSWAIN. Is not this property what is strictly called surplus property of the Navy, and the property will not be used by the Navy?

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. No; it will not be used. It will lie there and deteriorate.

Mr. SNELL. How did it get this surplus amount? Let us cut it down, so that they will not buy another surplus. I think now is the time to call attention to it.

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. I am heartily in accord with the gentleman's views.

Mr. LaGUARDIA. I want to say that I heartily concur in the views of the gentleman from New York and the chairman of the Committee on Military Affairs, but the reason we have this surplus is not on account of the war. We are going to have a surplus every year. It is a matter that I have called to the attention of Congress every year when we have had the appropriation bill under consideration. The purchase of clothing is not according to the number constituting the standing Army. These purchases are made annually according to a certain number, which enables the War Department to demand a minimum requirement in case of emergency. That is a policy that the gentleman from New York and the gentleman from South Carolina have accepted in the appropriation bills every year. It is not the fault of the department. It is the fault of Congress.

Mr. SNELL. Why not cut it down, so that we will not have a surplus every year to become obsolete?

Mr. LaGUARDIA. I agree to that, but under the present policy you are going to have a surplus each year.

Mr. COCHRAN of Missouri. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. I yield.

Mr. COCHRAN of Missouri. Where is this surplus clothing stored?

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. In the Bush Terminal Building, in New York,

Mr. COCHRAN of Missouri. Will it be purchased f. o. b. there? If so, it may be quite a hardship on the gentleman's State and my own State.

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. I take it that the small price charged will be utilized in a proper way to hasten the distribution.

Mr. SNELL. Will the gentleman yield further?

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma, Yes.

Mr. SNELL. I have heard it stated that there are 75,000 sweaters and 75,000 jerseys in this surplus; are they out of style?

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. I am told that they are not used by the men. The regulations have been changed so that they will not be used. I raised the same point in the committee that the gentleman has raised.

Mr. SNELL. The gentleman will admit that the system is a mighty poor one, when we accumulate such surpluses that we have to give them away.

Mr. DYER. But it is fortunate that it occurs at this time, when it can go to those who can use it and who need it.

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. The committee was of the opinion that it would be better to dispose of this surplus clothing through the charitable organizations rather than to let it remain in storage.

Mr. LaGUARDIA. Will the gentleman accept the amendment to include the Territories of Hawaii, Puerto Rico, and Alaska? They are in terrible condition in Alaska.

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. I hope the gentleman will take into consideration the fact that the distances to those places are so great the people there would probably not desire to make the purchases on this basis.

Mr. LaGUARDIA. But we have regular transports going to Puerto Rico. There will be no harm done.

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. I have authority only to present this one amendment.

Mr. EATON of Colorado. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. Yes.

Mr. EATON of Colorado. I did not hear the gentleman state what type of distribution or allocation in the different States would be used to determine how these goods shall be distributed.

Mr. McCLINTIC of Oklahoma. The population of the various States will be taken into consideration and then percentages based on the population will be allocated to the various States. This amendment makes it sure that each State will participate in a fair way.

Mr. COLE of Iowa. Mr. Speaker, I demand the regular

The SPEAKER. The regular order is demanded. Is there objection?

Mr. JOHNSON of South Dakota. Reserving the right to object—

The SPEAKER. The regular order is demanded. Is there objection? [After a pause.] The Chair hears none. The question is on agreeing to the amendment.

The amendment was agreed to, and the resolution, as amended, was ordered to be read a third time, was read the third time, and passed, and a motion to reconsider laid on the table.

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT APPROPRIATION BILL

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House resolve itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill (H. R. 13710) making appropriations for the Department of the Interior for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1934, and for other purposes.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly the House resolved itself into the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union for the further consideration of the bill H. R. 13710, with Mr. Bland in the chair.

The Clerk read the title of the bill.

The CHAIRMAN. When the committee rose the point of order had been reserved against the paragraph beginning on page 67 and ending on line 6, page 68.

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Chairman, the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. Stafford] reserved the point of order against this paragraph when the bill was last discussed in the Committee of the Whole. He is temporarily out of the Hall, and has directed me to announce that he withdraws his point of order.

Mr. ARENTZ. Mr. Chairman, I offer the following amendment, which I send to the desk.

The Clerk read as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. ARENTZ: Page 68, line 2, after the word "furnishings," insert "including maps, globes, stationery, books, schoolroom equipment."

Mr. HASTINGS. Mr. Chairman, I reserve the point of order against the amendment.

Mr. ARENTZ. Mr. Chairman, a little time spent now is going to be well spent, rather than to rush over this thing hurriedly without bringing to the attention of the Secretary of the Interior and the Commissioner of Reclamation the things that should and must be done at Boulder City. I am a defeated candidate, I am not coming back next Congress, but I do want to see the children of workmen at Boulder City taken care of. My purpose in rising to-day and offering this amendment is to bring certain things to the attention of the gentleman from Colorado [Mr. TAYLOR], the gentleman from Oklahoma [Mr. HASTINGS], and also to the members of the subcommittee and the Members on the floor of the House on the Republican side of the House. As these gentlemen know, in September there were 651 school children at Boulder City. The first, the second, the third, and the sixth grades only could attend school half a day because there was no room. I told these facts to the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. Stafford] a few days ago when this bill was being considered, and I asked him to please look into them. Undoubtedly he has done so and for that reason has withdrawn his reservation of the point of order. The \$18,000 appropriated is for the building of four more rooms in the temporary structure. At the present time, instead of 651 attending this school, they have 700. The schoolrooms are crowded. The fathers and mothers of these children live in Boulder City. It is a governmental city. The State of Nevada has nothing to do with this, because it is forbidden to have any participation in the city because of the fact that it is declared to be a Federal reservation, according to a decision made by the Secretary of the Interior. He has been talking about taking care of the children of the United States and giving them proper school facilities. I insist that if they do not permit the State of Nevada to do it, the Federal Government must do it. You can not expect a corporation to do certain things for the Federal Government unless in return that corporation gets something.

Mr. DICKSTEIN. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. ARENTZ. Not now. For instance, the Six Companies that have the contract for the building of Boulder Canyon Dam pay for the teachers. There is one firm of Wilcox & Babcock who have the contract for the 30-foot diameter pipe 2½ inches thick that is going to be put into the 50-foot tunnel. They have been asked to pay for the teachers in the high schools. It is a sad commentary on this Government that the Federal Government itself can not step into the picture and pay for its own teachers and supply the necessary school facilities for the children of the workmen at Boulder Dam, or better still, allow the State of Nevada to tax private property within the reservation and have its superintendent of education administer Boulder City schools.

Mr. HASTINGS. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield? Mr. ARENTZ. Yes.

Mr. HASTINGS. I have consulted with the members of the committee, and inasmuch as this does not increase the appropriation any, I shall withdraw the point of order and accept the amendment. Mr. ARENTZ. Just a moment, if I may finish-

Mr. SWING. Mr. Chairman, I desire to have it recorded that I reserve the point of order.

Mr. SNEIL. Let us have the point of order and get rid of it now.

Mr. SWING. The point of order is that there is no existing legislation authorizing the expenditure of money for this purpose.

Mr. SNELL. Does the gentleman make the point of order against the whole section or against the amendment?

Mr. ARENTZ. Mr. Chairman, a parliamentary inquiry. The gentleman from Oklahoma reserved the point of order. There has been debate since he made that point of order and I think the gentleman from California is too late to make the point of order.

Mr. HASTINGS. Mr. Chairman, I make the point of order that the gentleman from California is not too late because the gentleman was on his feet immediately I withdrew the reservation, and renewed it. I do not think the gentleman should be precluded from making the point of order. The gentleman was on his feet immediately after I withdrew the reservation of the point of order.

The CHAIRMAN (Mr. Bland). The Chair is ready to rule. The position taken by the gentleman from Oklahoma is correct.

Mr. SWING. May I reserve the point of order for just a moment although I do not intend to press it? This will probably be the last statement I will have occasion to make regarding the Boulder Dam project, with which I had something to do in the beginning.

The language in the authorization, as carried in the Swing-Johnson bill is:

The Secretary of the Interior is hereby authorized to construct a dam and incidental works in the main stream of the Colorado River at Black Canyon.

Expanding that authorization the Secretary of the Interior has spent several hundred thousand dollars building permanent structures, separate and distinct from the dam, creating a new city with sewers, sidewalks, paved streets, parks, electric lights, a water system, a fire department, police department, and everything else incident to a modern city in the State of Nevada, all of which must be repaid with 4 per cent interest by the contract users of water and power residing in the State of California.

Now, I have no desire or intention of acting niggardly or small regarding expenditures already made in connection with a project so great and so important to my State as this Boulder Dam project is, but I do want to take this opportunity to call the attention of the committee to the strict limitation of the language of the Boulder Dam act, and to ask that in the future when requests are made for appropriations from this fund for extraneous matters that the language of the bill be looked at, and that the Committee on Appropriations confine itself to the authorization contained in the act, at least, until such time as a new authorization is brought in. It has even been suggested that the Government build swimming pools and such things, which must be paid back by the users of the water and power, with 4 per cent interest. Such objects, no matter how worthy, are clearly outside the law authorizing the project.

I withdraw the reservation of the point of order, Mr. Chairman

Mr. BANKHEAD. Mr. Chairman, I renew the point of order and will reserve it in order that I may get some information. I have no quarrel with the gentleman from Oklahoma, chairman of the subcommittee, in stating that he will not make the point of order inasmuch as this does not increase the authorization; but I am disturbed about the proposition of whether or not this is to be considered as a precedent hereafter to place the obligation upon the Federal Government when it gives employment to men on public works, to furnish schools, school-teachers, and educational equipment in plants of that sort, simply because it is under Federal jurisdiction. I would like to have some information on that as a matter of principle.

Mr. ARENTZ. If the officials at Boulder City will turn over to the State of Nevada the right to tax the property in that city to raise sufficient funds to erect a school building and pay for the teachers, the State of Nevada will gladly do this. This area is declared a Federal reserve by the Secretary of the Interior. The State of Nevada has been foreclosed, apparently, by decision of the Secretary of the Interior, with which we do not agree, from having anything to say about this reservation. There are 700 school children here at this moment.

Mr. BANKHEAD. This question of Federal interference in education of children is a rather delicate question in the House of Representatives. I must confess I have somewhat changed my views on it, because I formerly advocated the establishment of a department of education. I do not think I would do so now. But if the Federal Government is given the right to furnish this schoolhouse and to employ teachers, can it not direct the method of education that is to be conducted in those schools?

Mr. ARENTZ. Oh, certainly it can. It does, for through Mr. Sims Ely, who is in charge of Boulder, it does as it

Mr. GOSS. In view of the statement of the gentleman I make the point of order, Mr. Chairman, on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Nevada.

Mr. SNELL. Mr. Chairman, I would like to be heard on that

Mr. O'CONNOR. Will the gentleman reserve the point of order until I ask a question?

Mr. GOSS. Yes; I reserve the point of order.

Mr. O'CONNOR. I understand at West Point the Federal Government furnishes the schools and the education. Also in the Canal Zone and in Alaska.

Mr. GOSS. But the students are free to worship under whatever creed they desire.

Mr. ARENTZ. Well, of course that is true at Boulder City.

Mr. GOSS. That was my objection.

Mr. ARENTZ. Well, the gentleman should not have that

Mr. GOSS. I understood the gentleman to say that.

Mr. ARENTZ. No, no. Nothing of the kind. Mr. GOSS. Then I withdraw the reservation of the point of order.

Mr. BANKHEAD. I do not intend to object to this proposition, but my inquiry was based on the proposition of undertaking to secure some information as to whether this might be a dangerous precedent for us to establish. Under the circumstances, I will withdraw the reservation of the point of order, Mr. Chairman.

The CHAIRMAN. The reservation of the point of order is withdrawn. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Nevada [Mr. ARENTZ].

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. ARENTZ. Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent to extend my remarks and to insert a letter at this point from Doctor Mead, Commissioner of the Bureau of Reclamation.

The CHAIRMAN. Without objection, it is so ordered. There was no objection.

Mr. ARENTZ. In all that I have said here my sole purpose has been to help the school system at Boulder City, a city created by legislation introduced by me to carry on or facilitate the construction of a great work advanced through this House by my colleague, Mr. Phil Swing, and myself.

In the first place, I want the walls of each room in the new schoolhouse retinted and refinished. They are now painted a dead white and reflect sunlight like a mirror.

Maps, globes, and scratch paper should be supplied to each room and stationery to the principal. Free books should be supplied to each child in attendance, and the school should be made an accredited one as soon as possible by making it equal in standing to all the schools of Nevada. The principal should be given full authority.

The letter referred to is as follows:

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, BUREAU OF RECLAMATION, Washington, December 19, 1932.

Hon. SAMUEL S. ARENTZ,

HOUSE of Representatives, United States.

DEAR MR. ARENTZ: Miss Schnurr has told me of your interest in the schools at Boulder City and your desire to have them better equipped. A letter has gone to Mr. Sims Ely about the information you requested.

Let me say that I was in the schools at Boulder City last week and came away impressed with their needs. To begin with these

and came away impressed with their needs. To begin with, there are now 700 children in that school building—580 in the grades and 120 in the high school. I do not believe there is a finer group of teachers to be found anywhere, nor one whose hearts are more fully in their work. They are all college or university graduates. One has been a teacher in the University of London. It is certain that after the beginning of the year the number of pupils will be increased and more room must be provided. While I was there it was arranged to have the two unfinished basement rooms made ready for use.

Last year the Library of Congress gave us about 2,000 books, which have not yet been shipped because we did not know where to get the money to meet the expense. While at Boulder City we made provision for housing the books in the municipal building, which is close enough to the school to make them available for the children and also to the public. The Union Register Religed has which is close enough to the school to make them available for the children and also to the public. The Union Pacific Railroad has agreed to give us reduced rates over its road, and we are at work now trying to get reduced rates for the rest of the way.

As soon as Mr. Ely replies I will come up and see you. Mean-

while your interest in this matter is appreciated.

Very truly yours,

ELWOOD MEAD, Commissioner.

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent to return to page 64 of the bill, to the paragraph beginning with line 11 for the purpose of offering a perfecting amendment which I discussed with the members of the committee. The amendment I offer is after the word "of" to insert the word "existing."

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Connecticut?

Mr. COLTON. Mr. Chairman, I object to that.

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Chairman, I offer an amendment which I have sent to the desk.

The Clerk read as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. Goss: On page 68, in line 6, after the word "act," insert the following: "Provided further, That no part of any appropriation in this act for the Bureau of Reclamation shall be used for investigations to determine the economic and/or financial feasibility of any new reclamation project."

Mr. COLTON. Mr. Chairman, I make the point of order that that is legislation on an appropriation bill.

The CHAIRMAN (Mr. BLAND). The Chair is ready to rule. The amendment is a limitation, and consequently held to be in order.

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Chairman, I have consulted with the chairman of the subcommittee with reference to this matter, and it simply carries out what the committee attempted to do when the committee considered this bill a few days ago, in that the debate showed there was no disposition on the part of the committee to survey new projects. That I would construe not to mean a unit of a new project of an existing project; and so in order to clarify the language when the word "new" was stricken out and excepted by the committee, I have offered this amendment which I understand the chairman of the subcommittee does not object to.

Mr. LEAVITT. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield? Mr. GOSS. I yield.

Mr. LEAVITT. The money that is being limited by the gentleman's amendment is now used in cooperation with the local communities in some cases to determine the feasibility of putting water on existing areas that are being cultivated.

Mr. GOSS. I would say to the gentleman from Montana that this amendment does not affect any existing projects; it applies only to new projects.

Mr. LEAVITT. Some of them have no water at this time. The question of how to put water on them is very vital to the local communities and to the people that are involved.

Mr. GOSS. I understand the gentleman voted against the amendment I offered the other day. He would be opposed to this one.

Mr. LEAVITT. That is not the question. I want to know whether the gentleman intends to cut out all the cooperative work. That is one of the valuable things that is carried on by the Reclamation Service.

Mr. GOSS. I will say to the gentleman the purpose of my amendment is only to stop investigations or surveys of new projects, as was brought out in the hearing by the gentleman from Idaho. There was no intention of going into new projects. All my amendment does is to stop new projects, not any work on existing projects.

Mr. LEAVITT. Does the gentleman mean by that the existing governmental projects, or does the gentleman want to cut out this cooperative work with the local States and communities that has to do with determining the feasibility of placing water on farms that are already occupied?

Mr. GOSS. No; I have no objection to that. That was taken care of in another part of the bill. That is not contained in this paragraph. I have no objection to that.

Mr. LEAVITT. I feared the gentleman's amendment would preclude the use of any funds for cooperative work, and I wanted to be sure.

Mr. GOSS. I will say to the gentleman from Montana that had not objection been made to my returning to page 64 and offering an amendment, the matter would have been cleared up.

Mr. LEAVITT. The gentleman knows I did not make any objection to that.

Mr. CULKIN. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. GOSS. I yield.

Mr. CULKIN. I may state to the gentleman from Connecticut that it has been definitely stated by the chairman of the Appropriations Committee for the past three years that no new projects are contemplated.

Mr. GOSS. That is correct.

Mr. CULKIN. And I can not see where there could be any objection to the gentleman's amendment, assuming that the statement is in good faith, which I do not doubt.

Mr. GOSS. I wish to ask the chairman if he has any objection to this amendment?

Mr. HASTINGS. We have examined the amendment and we think it is in the nature of a perfecting amendment to line 14, page 64, and so far as I am concerned I have no objection.

Mr. COLTON. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the amendment.

Mr. Chairman, it is well known that the present policy of the Interior Department is not to undertake any new projects by putting water on virgin unreclaimed lands. There has been no appropriation made for that purpose, and so far as I know none is contemplated; but there is a service, and a very useful service, in the Bureau of Reclamation which enables that bureau to cooperate with the States in making investigation of units of the projects already undertaken. This service ought not to be handicapped.

I fear the effect of this amendment, as I read it, would be to stop all investigation and to stop all this cooperative work, and in my judgment we will make a mistake. I hope that interpretation is not justified and will not be accepted. It is in the power of Congress to say when new construction work should be started, and I do not think there ought to be any attempt to stop investigation work. I do not think there should be a limitation placed on the very useful and necessary cooperative work that is being carried on between the States and the bureau. That is the reason I objected to the gentleman returning to page 64, because I think that would have a very limiting and detrimental effect.

Mr. GOSS. I will say to the gentleman there is no intention of having this apply to a new unit already existing. What I meant was that it should not apply to a new investigation for a new unit which is not in existence.

Mr. COLTON. The gentleman has partly removed my apprehension. I think if the gentleman had made that

clear in his first statement I should not have objected to returning to page 64; but I want it perfectly clear that this fine work that is being carried on before the construction of new units is commenced shall not be interfered with in any way.

Mr. GOSS. On existing projects.

Mr. COLTON. Yes; new units of existing projects. Mr. CULKIN. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. COLTON. Yes.
Mr. CULKIN. Is it not a fact that on the Columbia River project, which involves the reclamation of 1,250,000 acres, the Department of the Interior and the Department of Agriculture are entirely at variance?

Mr. COLTON. I am not advised on that and could not answer the question.

Mr. CULKIN. Is it not a fact that the Secretary of the Interior and his associates favor that project?

Mr. COLTON. As I say, I am not advised on that.

Mr. CULKIN. The gentleman is not familiar with that?

Mr. COLTON. No; I am not.
Mr. CULKIN. The gentleman does not know that the Department of the Interior is committed on this proposition and is bound to go on with the reclamation of the Columbia

Mr. COLTON. No; I could not subscribe to that, if the gentleman has in mind reclaiming new lands.

Mr. CULKIN. That is what I understand, I may say to the gentleman.

Mr. COLTON. I think the Department of the Interior is carrying out in good faith the policy that there will be no new construction of new projects under the Reclamation Service.

Mr. SUMMERS of Washington. Will the gentleman

Mr. COLTON. Yes.

Mr. SUMMERS of Washington. Referring to the query just made as to the Columbia Basin, the Secretary of the Interior is not recommending that we proceed with this project at this time at all. There is the construction of a dam contemplated at a future time when conditions justify it and when the power is contracted for; and the construction of that dam, if the power is sufficiently contracted for to repay cost of construction, would require some 10 years, and reclamation would not be considered until years after that.

Mr. CULKIN. If I may ask the gentleman a question at this point. Is it not a fact that the employees of the Interior Department are writing and spreading propaganda throughout the United States at this time in favor of that project? Men in the employ of the Government are advocating the disbursement of \$450,000,000 for the purpose of this Columbia River project.

Mr. SUMMERS of Washington. Not to my knowledge, and there is not that amount of money involved, in any event.

Mr. CULKIN. That is the figure. I have seen it repeatedly.

[Here the gavel fell.]

The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Connecticut [Mr. Goss].

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. McKEOWN. Mr. Chairman, pursuant to an agreement to return to a previous provision in the bill, I offer the following amendment.

The Clerk read as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. McKEOWN: On page 52, after line 23,

insert a new paragraph, as follows:
"The Secretary of Interior is hereby authorized to pay to members of the Sac and Fox Tribes in Oklahoma born on or after October 20, 1923, the date of approval of the final roll of said tribe, and living on the date of the passage of this act, the sum of \$82.84 each from any funds now on deposit in the Treasury of the United States to the credit of said Sac and Fox Indians of

Mr. SNELL. Mr. Chairman, reserving the right to object, how did we get back to this part of the bill?

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Chairman, I make the point of order that | the amendment is not authorized by existing law and is not

Mr. McKEOWN. It was agreed that we would go back to this part of the bill when the amendment was first offered. The CHAIRMAN. By unanimous consent this paragraph

was passed over the other day.

Mr. SNELL. That is all right, but I reserve a point of order.

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Chairman, I make the point of order that the amendment is not germane and is also legislation on an appropriation bill.

Mr. McKEOWN. May I ask the gentleman if that is all the point of order that he has?

Mr. GOSS. That is enough.

Mr. McKEOWN. We have a statute based on the treaty of 1837, and we have been paying under that all the time.

Mr. GOSS. The gentleman is not speaking to the point of

The CHAIRMAN. Does the gentleman desire to be heard on the point of order?

Mr. McKEOWN. I just want to call the attention of the Chair to the fact-

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Chairman, I make the point of order that the gentleman is not discussing the point of order.

Mr. McKEOWN. How can I discuss the point of order unless the gentleman from Connecticut will wait a moment? I am trying to tell you that it is provided by law. The treaty of 1837, under which all of these per capita payments have been made to the Sac and Fox Indians, provides that in the Treasury of the United States there shall be kept a fund of their own money-this does not come out of the Treasury-of \$100,000 to be invested at a rate of not less than 5 per cent and to be paid out in per capita payments. That is the authority for this amendment. What is the matter with that authority?

Mr. GOSS. I also made the point of order that the amendment was not germane.

Mr. McKEOWN. What is not germane?

Mr. GOSS. The gentleman's amendment.

Mr. McKEOWN. Why is it not germane?

Mr. GOSS. Let the Chair settle that.

Mr. McKEOWN. I want the gentleman to tell us why it is not germane.

Mr. GOSS. That is up to the gentleman and not up to me.

Mr. McKEOWN. It is not up to me at all. Mr. HASTINGS. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McKEOWN. Yes.

Mr. HASTINGS. Mr. Chairman, with respect to the point of order, I have examined the statute, and the statute does not authorize appropriations by Congress for the purpose of making these per capita payments. I very deeply regret it, but this amendment has not been considered by the committee, was not presented to the committee, and there was no information as to how many members of the tribe there are or where they are or how much money they have to their credit, and for these reasons I must join in insisting upon the point of order, both as to not being authorized by the treaty that is referred to by my colleague and also because it is not germane at this place in the bill.

Mr. McKEOWN. If the gentleman will reserve it, I want to call attention to this condition. The Appropriations Committee calls its meetings before Congress convenes, and we who live in a distant part of the country have no opportunity to present these matters, so there ought to be some freedom allowed in presenting these things to the committee.

Mr. WILLIAMSON. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. McKEOWN. I yield.

Mr. WILLIAMSON. Let me say to the gentleman from Oklahoma that there is a bill now pending before the Committee on Indian Affairs that will be reported soon taking care of this matter.

The CHAIRMAN. The Chair is of the opinion that this amendment is germane, but the Chair thinks there is no amount of money.

authorization of law for the amendment, and therefore sustains the point of order.

The Clerk read as follows:

Topographic surveys: For topographic surveys in various portions of the United States, \$450,000, of which amount not to exceed \$275,000 may be expended for personal services in the District of Columbia: Provided, That no part of this appropriation shall be expended in cooperation with States or municipalities except upon the basis of the State or municipality bearing all of the expense incident thereto in excess of such an amount as is necessary for the Geological Survey to perform its share of standard topographic surveys, such share of the Geological Survey in no case exceeding 50 per cent of the cost of the survey: Provided further, That \$254,000 of this amount shall be available only for such cooperation with States or municipalities: for such cooperation with States or municipalities;

Mr. COYLE. Mr. Chairman, I offer the following amendment.

The Clerk read as follows:

Page 69, line 8, strike out the figures "\$450,000" and insert in lieu thereof "\$512,000."

Mr. COYLE. Mr. Chairman and gentlemen of the committee, I have offered this amendment for the purpose of bringing to the attention of the committee and the subcommittee in charge of the bill facts which I think are peculiarly within my own knowledge.

In my first appearance here I made a promise to the committee and to the House that when I spoke it would be when I figured I had something worthy to bring to the attention of the House, and that I would not speak on other occasions.

This is perhaps one of my last appearances before the committee, and I expect to carry out that same idea.

The Geological Survey is the place where I had my first job. For four years I saw these men start their work at daylight in the morning and close when it got too dark to read the vernier on the instruments. I worked on this work in five different States. To-day they are doing the same kind of work. The limitation that has been made on the Budget estimate for the Geological Survey will take them out of that particular field work, which is the predominant work that they do.

Now, I have no desire to press this amendment, but I would like to ask the chairman of the subcommittee whether it would not be possible for him to state if the bureau finds additional sums are being appropriated from individual States which would require additional contribution from the Federal Government-whether he would not consider making a statement that the deficiency committee would consider additional appropriations.

Mr. HASTINGS. If the gentleman's inquiry is addressed to me. I would say that I am not a member of the Deficiency Subcommittee on Appropriations. Of course, I can not make any answer to the gentleman's question or any promise. I take it that the subcommittee in considering the Geological Survey or any other department of the Government would give it proper consideration regardless of anything I might say here now.

Mr. COYLE. I will say to the gentleman that I realize that limitation which he states. My remarks were addressed to his interest and good will to have the Budget take into consideration the reduced amount of the survey and that expected to be received from individual States. The amount was first reduced and then again reduced by \$62,000. In other words, reductions have been twice made.

Mr. HASTINGS. The subcommittee having the bill in charge considered that there was no necessity for a larger appropriation for the Geological Survey. They did not believe that during these exceptionally hard times that the money would be forthcoming from the various States that are so highly burdened with taxes. They therefore thought that \$450,000 would be adequate.

Now, the amount appropriated in 1927 was \$451,700. When the Treasury was behind last June \$903,000,000 and when it was behind last year \$2,885,000,000 and every State in the Union finds itself in great need of money to run the State, we thought that this was a liberal allocation of the

Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the amendment.

Mr. COYLE. Mr. Chairman, if the gentleman will yield to me, any amount appropriated by the Federal Government at this time might very well be so limited I take it that it would not be expended unless the cooperative appropriation did come from the individual States.

Mr. HASTINGS. That is correct.

Mr. COYLE. If it did not so come, it would not be an added expenditure, and I suppose the committee rather fears they would ask in subsequent years that it be kept alive from year to year.

Mr. HASTINGS. The committee felt that this amount would be all that would be needed for the coming year, owing to economic conditions.

Mr. COYLE. If it were found that the additional amount were needed, could we call on the good offices of the committee to see that those funds were provided?

Mr. HASTINGS. These figures are those that the present subcommittee and the full committee have recommended to the House, and we believe, in view of appropriations that have been made, and in view of the very hard time the States are having in recent years to meet their expenses, that \$450,000, which is approximately the amount appropriated in 1927, will be adequate and will be more than would be met by the several States for this class of work.

Mr. COYLE. I have no desire to press the amendment, with the comparatively few Members who are here, and as a consequence, Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent to withdraw the amendment.

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read as follows:

Volcanologic surveys: For volcanologic surveys, measurements, and observatories in Hawaii, including subordinate stations elsewhere, \$12,500.

Mr. HOUSTON of Hawaii. Mr. Chairman, I offer the following amendment, which I send to the desk.

The Clerk read as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. Houston of Hawaii: Page 70, line 3, strike out "\$12,500" and insert "\$18,500."

Mr. HOUSTON of Hawaii. Mr. Chairman and members of the committee, this may appear to be a very small question. It has to deal with the prediction and warning as to earthquakes and volcanic activity. In 1932 the appropriation which the Budget recommended was cut 57 per cent and this year the budgetary requirements and estimates as they were sent to the committee have been cut a further figure of 30 per cent, so that the operations which have been conducted in the past have been reduced from the year 1932 to a level of about 34 per cent. To those who do not live in the neighborhood of volcanoes this may not appear to be very important, but may I not point out to the committee that since the year 1906, when the San Francisco earthquake and fire took place, up to the year 1930, nearly 400,000 people have lost their lives by reason of earthquakes and volcanic phenomena, and nearly, we might say, a billion dollars worth of property has been destroyed. Does it not seem worth while to take an ounce of prevention ahead of time in order that we may know at least as near as science may be able to anticipate such occurrences, what is to take place? It is interesting to know that in connection with the last explosive eruption at the Volcano of Kilauea, in the Territory of Hawaii, which, by the way, is within a Federal reservation, a national park, as is Mauna Loa within a national park, it is estimated that a volume of matter equivalent to \$20,000,000,000 cubic feet disappeared from underneath the crust of the earth. That is a situation which it is almost impossible to contemplate. So I have asked for this small increase in the appropriation of from \$12,500 to \$18,500 in order that the very small and restricted scientific observations that are now being carried on under the auspices of our Federal Government, aided and assisted by local contributions to the extent of more than dollar for dollar, may be continued, that we may receive warning and predic-

tion ahead of time, in order that we may not be overwhelmed with a catastrophe.

May I not ask the chairman of the subcommittee if he will accept the amendment?

Mr. HASTINGS. Mr. Chairman, I want to rise in opposition to the amendment.

Mr. HOUSTON of Hawaii. Then I ask unanimous consent to withdraw the amendment.

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

The Clerk read as follows:

Printing and binding, etc.: For printing and binding, \$100,000; for preparation of illustrations \$15,000; and for engraving and printing geologic and topographic maps, \$85,000; in all, \$200,000.

Mr. EATON of Colorado. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike out the last word, to ask the chairman of the subcommittee if in this change, amounting to \$50,000 in the amount appropriated for printing and binding and illustrating, there has been taken into consideration the piled-up mass, I might say, of the work of the topographic and geological survey that has not been printed, has not been edited, and is not available for any purpose whatsoever? For example, in his State and mine, year after year, we appropriate from \$15,000 to \$25,000 to use in connection with work of the Geological Survey. The work is done in the field in the summer time. The plates and records are first sent in to the offices in the West and then, I assume, come here to the offices in Washington, and every time that we ask them for a report of what has been done in that State they tell us they have insufficient money to edit or print the reports, and we can get hardly any of the work that has been done in the last six or eight years, because the appropriation for printing and binding is so little. We continue to appropriate by every legislature, and our legislature appropriates biennially, a sufficient sum to meet the requirement of the Geological Survey, and I am wondering if by this cut you have now absolutely cut out for the next 2-year period any continuance of the work, either from the standpoint of the topographic and geological survey or of printing reports. We ought to have an answer from Congress this time so that the legislature of our State, which will meet the first of next month, may know how to continue our appropriations for the ensuing 2-year period.

Mr. HASTINGS. In answer to the gentleman from Colorado [Mr. EATON], permit me to say that we think this is a rather large amount—\$200,000. In view of the fact that the work of the Geological Survey for topographic maps and other work done by this bureau is slowing down, the committee, after very careful consideration, felt that \$200,000 would be adequate. If we go back to the year 1927, for instance, when times were unquestionably much better than they are now, the appropriation for this particular item was but a little more, namely, \$214,000. So this is within \$14,000 of the appropriation made in 1927.

Mr. EATON of Colorado. The gentleman misses my point. I am not complaining about cutting down this amount, but I want a definite statement of the committee whether in cutting down this amount to \$200,000 you are giving notice to the State of Colorado, for example, that it shall no longer continue its joint appropriations and that we are not going to get any of these records printed in the next two years. The gentleman from Colorado [Mr. Taylor] probably knows more about these details than the gentleman from Oklahoma or I.

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. If my colleague will yield, the Interior Department Subcommittee on Appropriations is very sympathetic with the Geological Survey and nearly all of its work, and there is no disposition among the members of that committee or the general Committee on Appropriations to cripple or seriously impede or delay their services. But, with all due respect, it sometimes seems to me personally that that bureau has less disposition to properly recognize the serious financial condition of the country and the depleted condition of the Federal Treasury and the absolute necessity of reducing expenditures in this department as

well as the other departments than it should have. The ately available, and is in accordance with amendments members of this committee are persistently beseeched to make no reductions for this bureau, and we have not made any that we feel are unjust. In fact, we have considered them all very carefully and, as the gentleman from Oklahoma [Mr. Hastings], has well said, we felt that this reduction is not going to jeopardize seriously the work provided for by this appropriation.

[Here the gavel fell.]

Mr. EATON of Colorado. Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent to proceed for two additional minutes.

The CHAIRMAN. Without objection, it is so ordered. There was no objection.

Mr. HASTINGS. Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent that all debate on this paragraph be concluded in two

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

Mr. EATON of Colorado. May I inquire of my colleague whether he and I should advise our legislature, therefore, not to appropriate for the next biannum to meet any part of expenditures which might otherwise come out of this appropriation which has been reduced 20 per cent-from \$250,000 to \$200,000. That is a very appreciable reduction.

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. There is no disposition upon the part of this committee to do anything that would tend to limit the action of the Colorado Legislature. What we think is that this amount appropriated is enough to amply cover the necessary work of the Geological Survey during the coming fiscal year, and we have recommended this appropriation accordingly.

Mr. EATON of Colorado. Let me ask the gentleman directly, then: By this cut is the work done by the United States in cooperation with the topographical and geological surveys of the State of Colorado intended to be cut out? And no printing thereof is to be available during the next year?

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. No. We are not intending to cut out that work at all. I think the appropriation is enough to take care of all the work the State will want to do. As a matter of fact, the information which comes to the committee is that there will be very small or no appropriations made by any of the States for this work during this next year.

The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman from Colorado has expired.

The Clerk read as follows:

The total of the foregoing amounts shall be immediately available in one fund for the National Park Service: Provided, That the Secretary of the Interior shall not authorize for expenditure prior to July 1, 1933, any of the amounts herein appropriated except those for construction of physical improvements, for tree-disease and insect-control work, for fire-prevention measures, and for the purchase of equipment: Provided further, That in the settlement of the accounts of the National Park Service the amount herein made available for each national park and other main headings made available for each national park and other main headings shall not be exceeded, except that 10 per cent of the foregoing amounts shall be available interchangeably for expenditures in the various national parks named, and in the national monuments, but not more than 10 per cent shall be added to the amount appropriated for any one of said parks or monuments or for any particular item within a park or monument: Provided further, That any interchange of appropriations hereunder shall be reported to Congress in the annual Budget.

Mr. HASTINGS. Mr. Chairman, I offer a committee amendment, which I have sent to the desk.

The Clerk read as follows:

Committee amendment offered by Mr. Hastings: On page 85, strike out lines 17 to 25, inclusive, and on page 86, strike out lines 1 to 11, inclusive, and insert the following:

"The foregoing amounts for the National Park Service available for construction of physical improvements, for tree-disease and insect-control work, for fire-prevention measures, and for the purchase of acquirment, shell be immediately available for such purchase. chase of equipment, shall be immediately available for such pur-

Mr. HASTINGS. Mr. Chairman, that just strikes out the 10 per cent interchangeable and makes the other immediheretofore adopted.

The committee amendment was agreed to.

The Clerk read as follows:

For the Commissioner of Education and other personal services in the District of Columbia, \$250,000.

Mr. COLLINS. Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent to return to page 5 and vacate the proceedings by which the two amendments offered by the gentleman from Idaho [Mr. FRENCH! were adopted in this bill.

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Mississippi?

Mr. FRENCH. Mr. Chairman, reserving the right to object, I beg to say that the gentleman from Mississippi, chairman of one of the subcommittees of the Committee on Appropriations, was conducting hearings at the time my amendments were offered and while I am still in favor of the amendments, and think they should prevail, I think it is only fair to the gentleman that he should have the privilege of being here, and I shall be glad to join with the gentleman in the request, so that he may be present when the items are considered.

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Mississippi?

There was no objection.

The CHAIRMAN. The amendments offered by the gentleman from Idaho [Mr. French] are pending. The gentleman from Mississippi [Mr. Collins] is recognized.

Mr. COLLINS. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the amendments offered by the gentleman from Idaho.

I am defending the action of the full Committee on Appropriations in reducing this item from \$40,000 to \$20,000. The Department of Education was originally founded for the purpose of supervising the educational activities of governmental operated educational activities. It was never intended originally that the Bureau of Education should supervise educational work throughout the States. However, gradually this bureau has assumed more authority and is steadily growing. In its desire to gather additional power it has seen fit to engage in political activities. For instance, last year when the War Department appropriation bill was pending this department published as an official document, written by a Major Bishop, a reserve officer, in favor of compulsory military education in the schools of the country. It does not make any difference whether one believes in compulsory military education in the schools or not. That is immaterial. The fact to which I direct your attention is that we have a Bureau of Education that is taking sides on a purely political subject and subjects beyond the scope of its authority. A new Commissioner of Education perhaps will differ with the present one and may publish a pamphlet on the other side of that question. The present commissioner has made a speech against the election of United States Senators by direct vote of the people. I do not know whether he has put out a pamphlet on that subject, but he can with equal propriety get out a pamphlet on this subject, and I dare say if he continues as commissioner we may look for a pamphlet on the whole category of political and economic subjects.

The thought I am presenting to you is that so long as this bureau is engaged in educational work or the direction of educators toward proper methods of instructing the youth of the country its activities are rightly directed, but taking sides on purely political and economic subjects is something entirely different and should be condemned.

Mr. SMITH of Idaho. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. COLLINS. I yield.

Mr. SMITH of Idaho. Were these pamphlets printed at public expense?

Mr. COLLINS. Yes; and not only printed at public expense, but sold to certain organizations that distributed them under Government frank.

Mr. SMITH of Idaho. But is it not a matter of general information, and are not the people interested in the opinion of such a man as the Commissioner of Education?

Mr. COLLINS. The pamphlet referred to was not written by him. It was written by Major Bishop, not a Regular Army officer, who is the paid representative of a propaganda organization with headquarters in Washington.

I make the contention that your views on the merits of the subject of the pamphlet is beside the question. The question for us to decide is whether this is a subject matter about which this bureau is concerned, and that I deny. Second, even though it has the power and authority to make an investigation of the subject, the commissioner, or some one under him, should do it and not lend itself to a propaganda agency such as that represented by the major in the instance I have cited.

Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent to proceed for five additional minutes.

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Mississippi?

There was no objection.

Mr. HASTINGS. Mr. Chairman, I move that all debate on these amendments and all amendments hereto close in 25 minutes, to be equally divided between those favoring the amendment and those opposing it.

The motion was agreed to.

Mr. COLLINS. Furthermore, Mr. Chairman, this appropriation was \$40,000 last year. It is proposed to make it \$40,000 this year.

The entire Public Health Service of the United States had only \$53,000 for printing and binding.

The entire appropriation for the District of Columbia is \$70,000. This covers tax notices and receipts, notices of all kinds, administration printing, water bills, auto notices, and every kind of printing done by the District of Columbia.

The appropriation for the Public Health Service covers all of their bulletins, their scientific research, their public health reports, and all of the printing done for them.

This bureau should take its share of reduction in printing the same as the other departments and activities of this Government, yet no proposal is made to reduce this appropriation from the \$40,000, which it was last year, but rather to continue it the same for this fiscal year. I submit that the reduction for printing and binding for this bureau from \$40,000 to \$20,000 is a reasonable one. Twenty thousand dollars should cover all of the printed matter that they can properly put out. I do not have a copy of all of the publications it puts out, but I have been advised that many of them are of a questionable nature, as was the one to which I have already directed your attention.

This matter was presented to the full Appropriations Committee and fully discussed by the members of the whole committee, and the reduction from \$40,000 to \$20,000 was written into the bill. I urge the House to stand by the action of the full Appropriations Committee and vote down the amendment to increase this item from \$20,000 to \$40,000. Let this bureau take its share of reduction the same as the other activities of the Government.

Mr. Chairman, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. ELLZEY. Mr. Chairman, I want to bring a few facts to the attention of the committee in favor of this appropriation in the sum of \$40,000 to be used by the Office of Education for printing. The appropriation for this office for 1932 was \$62,000. Last year it was reduced to \$40,000, a decrease of \$22,000, or 36 per cent. Now, my colleague from Mississippi [Mr. Collins] proposes to reduce it again to \$20,000, making in two years a total reduction of 68 per cent.

It is my understanding that the sum expended by the Office of Education represents the total cost for printing periodicals, survey reports, and so forth, which are distributed throughout the entire Nation. I am in favor of rigid economy, and I think the Bureau of Education should be willing to effect economies in its own operation; but a reduction of 68 per cent within two years is almost destructive. I do not believe you favor this drastic reduction.

The gentleman from Mississippi [Mr. Collins] made a comparison relative to the cost of printing in some of the other departments. I have some figures here which I shall submit for your consideration. For the year 1933, for the Office of Education, and you understand this includes the surveys, magazines, and periodicals which go to the libraries, the presidents of universities, and departments of education throughout the entire Nation, the cost of printing was only \$40,000. The gentleman from Mississippi forgot to tell you that in the Department of Agriculture last year the printing amounted to the sum of \$925,000; for the Department of Commerce, \$600,000; for the Army, \$500,000; and for the Navy, \$550,000.

Mr. Chairman, it is my understanding that about a year ago Mr. Bishop advised the United States Commissioner of Education that he had some money available that might be used for a scientific survey or study of the educational value of military instruction in universities and colleges.

After receiving this information the Commissioner of Education sent out 16,000 questionnaires to Reserve Officers' Training Corps graduates and received 10,000 replies. Following this the Bureau of Education printed 6,000 copies of this pamphlet, No. 28, and I presume this is the one to which the gentleman from Mississippi refers. They have been distributed very largely to libraries, to presidents of colleges and universities. I am advised by the Bureau of Education that the Research Organization on Military Education made large purchases from the Superintendent of Documents and then had them distributed.

I want to also call your attention to the laughable suggestion about political propaganda. I say this with a bit of apology, because I voted in most instances with the gentleman from Mississippi on appropriations for the Army last year; but I say to you now that I shall not in some cases do so this time, because of a careful study which I have recently made. This pamphlet was sent to my district, just the same as it was sent to my colleague's district in Mississippi and to yours. Let me call your attention to a few facts about the pamphlet No. 28, which contains the so-called propaganda. It is nothing on earth but a scientific, educational survey and the report thereon.

I submit to you one of the questions and ask if this sounds like political propaganda. Please note that this is a typical question in this survey, which was sent to 16,000 Reserve Officers' Training Corps graduates:

No. 5. In your opinion did military training aid or make easier the development in your own life of one or more of the qualities or characteristics listed below: Leadership, initiative, orderliness, disciplinary value—

And so forth. Next, there is a list of 54 institutions included in this study, and I submit to you that these institutions represent the best educational institutions of learning in all sections of the Nation. Is this political propaganda?

[Here the gavel fell.]

Mr. ELLZEY. Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent to proceed for two additional minutes.

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Mississippi?

There was no objection.

Mr. ELLZEY. Another item is an analysis of findings by institutions. Then, in this so-called political pamphlet, is a detailed analysis of the opinions.

Gentlemen, I submit to you, with these facts before you, this is just a scientific survey of this question, the Educational Value of Military Instruction in Universities and Colleges. It is simply information that the young manhood of the Nation is entitled to, and I for one, although I voted with the gentleman from Mississippi last year, have not one bit of objection to this information going to every man, woman, boy, and girl in my district. This is the so-called propaganda.

I repeat now that I hope the gentlemen of this committee will leave the appropriation where it has already been placed by the Committee of the Whole at \$40,000, which is a reduction, within the past two years, of 68 per cent. I cer-

tainly urge you to give this matter your careful consideration. Mr. Chairman, I yield back the remainder of my time.

Mr. HASTINGS. Mr. Chairman, I desire to be recognized for two minutes. Mr. Chairman, I think the Government of the United States appropriates less for education than any other government in proportion to its other appropriations. We appropriate \$270,000 for the Office of Education—\$250,000 for personal service in the District of Columbia and \$20,000 for traveling expenses, and so forth.

As has been explained here, the office of the Bureau of Education keeps in touch with State superintendents, with libraries, and educational thought everywhere throughout the country.

There are approximately 700,000 public-school teachers in the United States, and there are probably more than a million teachers all together.

This item of \$40,000 was presented to the subcommittee, which prepared the bill, as a most urgent one and one much needed. The committee, after full and careful consideration, thought it was not an excessive sum. It was the amount estimated by the Bureau of the Budget, and the same as the appropriation for last year. If we are going to have an Office of Education, it should have a sufficient sum to insure its activities being published.

Mr. COCHRAN of Missouri. Mr. Chairman, last week when this item came up I was surprised at the action of the members of the subcommittee in not opposing the motion to double the appropriation. I rose and wanted to know why the members of the Appropriations Committee were not taking some interest in it. I was of the opinion the committee was going to stand by its recommendation, and that was the reason I asked the question.

An effort is always made to justify the printing of any Government document. I recall some years ago when the annual report of the Bureau of Education was issued. It consisted of two volumes, each volume 2 inches or more thick.

This present month you were notified to get your obsolete public documents out of the basement of the Capitol. Ask the foreman of that room downstairs about the obsolete documents and you will find that this old report, issued annually, of the Commissioner of Education, in two volumes, is stored down there by the thousands and thousands. I had 50 or more to my credit.

The question is not whether you are justified in printing certain documents, but whether you are going to reduce Government expenditures. [Applause.] There is no reason why this appropriation should not be reduced, especially in these times of want and distress. Let it be reduced as all appropriations are being reduced.

So far as I am concerned I feel this item for printing documents for the Bureau of Education should be reduced. If you are going to keep your pledge to the people to reduce expenditures, here is an opportunity.

[Here the gavel fell.]

Mrs. ROGERS. Mr. Chairman and members of the committee, I wish to speak in favor of the amendment. If I did not know something of this amendment I should be inclined to follow the gentleman from Idaho [Mr. French] anyway, for he is one of the most assiduous watchdogs of the Treasury. Although a sincere believer in wise Government economy I have not always agreed with him when he has wanted to save or reduce appropriations, as I have felt he sometimes went too far. I do heartily agree with him now that we should appropriate enough money to enable this Department of Education to secure vital statistics for the schools and people of this country. A gap must not be created in these school statistics which have been gathered every year, by law, for the past 60 years. We ought to have all the available information that the school teachers need. In these times of great unrest there is an especial need for carefully prepared material upon educational matters. The Department of Education acts as a clearing house upon these matters. That the publications of this department are useful to the people of the United States is shown

by the fact that during the fiscal year 1932, more than 500,000 copies of publications were sold, for which the Government Printing Office received approximately \$25,000. Personally I have had a great many requests for a simple plan of money management. The Department of Education could secure material upon money management, and could distribute it to the school teachers who could teach it to the school children. The people all over the country could learn how to manage and balance their own budgets. As a result they could tell the officials who manage their municipal governments and their State governments and also the National Government, how to budget more wisely the State and municipal funds, as well as Uncle Sam's. The present economic crisis shows how economically illiterate most of us are. It is vital to my mind that the 60 years' material which has been authorized to be collected by Congress should not be stopped at this time. It is just giving our schools and the children a chance. [Applause.]

Mr. WOOD of Indiana. Mr. Chairman, while this item is not large, to my mind there is no reason why it should be treated differently from any other item in this or any other bill when it comes to the reduction of public expenditures. In my opinion, for a year at least, or until times get a little better, this and many other items could be cut out entirely without any great detriment to the Government or to the people of the United States. Let me call attention to something that is going on in the Interior Department where they are taking money out of the Treasury, some out of this item, though not so much, for a purpose not intended in the appropriation at all. We have down there a man named Depew, or something like that, who goes out into our western country and spends his vacation in some of the parks and other places, has a glorious time for three or four months. and then comes back and writes some sort of a pamphlet, the most of which is boosting himself. I understand now that after his last sojourn at the expense of the Government he is writing a book upon our parks and forests, and that it is going to be published and put on sale. He is to receive the benefit of it. A portion of this item is for the purpose of paying that gentleman, and that is only one of the many abuses of much of the appropriation that is being made not only in the Department of the Interior but in the Agricultural Department and some others. Vacations are spent at the expense of the Government, some going up to Alaska every year for no other purpose than to spend their vacation at the expense of the Government.

Mr. ELLZEY. Is not that a matter of administration in this department as in any other department?

Mr. WOOD of Indiana. Yes. If it were simply the expenditure of this money and the printing of things that are of use and worth while, the \$20,000 stated in the bill would be amply sufficient. It is the abuse of the thing to which we object. They have to cart out of the basement of this building every year not only wagonloads but trainloads of useless material printed at the expense of the Government without any good return.

Mr. ELLZEY. If this were properly administered, would the gentleman favor the appropriation?

Mr. WOOD of Indiana. The trouble with the administration is that it is left to those people who are trying to aggrandize themselves. That is true in the Department of the Interior, and I have given one example of it. This is not confined to the Interior Department alone; it is also true in various other departments. If this Government could save to the Treasury of the United States the money that is uselessly wasted in printing and in half a dozen other useless things, I dare say we would not have so much deficit at this time.

Mr. FRENCH. Mr. Chairman, just a word with respect to the main argument of my friend from Mississippi [Mr. Collins] who is opposed to the amendment. He rests his opposition chiefly upon the ground that the Bureau of Education published a pamphlet on military matters, and that in doing so it went far afield. That pamphlet is one of numerous publications of the bureau and I submit that its publication was in line with the clear authority given by the

law. On July 2, 1862, the Congress laid the foundation for colleges for the benefit of agriculture and the mechanic arts, and placed in large part the supervision and expenditure of moneys for these institutions upon the Department of the Interior. If you will turn to section 4 of the act to which I have referred, you will find that it is required that military instruction be offered. The Attorney General has ruled that while the States may not require the individual student to take military instruction, the States having these institutions must offer such courses. Hence I say that the particular pamphlet referred to, and for which a very small amount of money was expended, is one that properly comes under the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Education.

No one can be more in earnest than am I in the matter of reducing expenditures of printing in this and other bureaus of the Government.

Mr. COLLINS. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield? Mr. FRENCH. Not now. In 1902 we appropriated \$82,000 for printing for the Bureau of Education. Two years ago the Congress appropriated \$62,000. Last year the appropriation was cut to \$40,000. This year the Bureau of the Budget and your committee recommended \$40,000, and that is the sum in the amendment now pending, which I have offered. This expenditure of money may mean the saving of hundreds of thousands of dollars and perhaps millions to the people of the United States. Let me illustrate.

Mr. COOPER of Ohio. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. FRENCH. In a moment. In the city of Portland, Oreg., 10 years ago the people were confronted with a building program to accommodate the increased number of children in their city schools. At that time the board of education of the city came forward with a program that would cost \$17,000,000 for school buildings. There was grave doubt upon the part of thoughtful people of the need for expenditure of so large a sum.

A bond issue was proposed and it was voted down by the people of the city. A year or so later it was proposed again, and again it was voted down. The friends of education of children in Portland called upon the Bureau of Education to make a study. A study was made—a careful survey—by a specialist, who reported to the board. A new plan was adopted upon the basis of this report and that would care for every child it was proposed be cared for under the \$17,000,000 plan, at a cost of \$11,000,000 to the city. Again a vote was had upon issuing bonds to carry forward the project, and the project was approved. This piece of work alone of the Bureau of Education saved the city of Portland \$6,000,000.

Mr. COOPER of Ohio. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. FRENCH. I yield.

Mr. COOPER of Ohio. The office of education in the city of Youngstown called upon the Bureau of Education to make a survey about two years ago and they went into the question very thoroughly, and upon the recommendation of the bureau they reorganized their board of education and their school system and it has been the means of saving thousands of dollars to the taxpayers of our community.

Mr. FRENCH. The same experience has occurred in other cities. Only recently there has been completed a study of the schools of 74 cities, where a demand existed for more school buildings. Through the agency of the Bureau of Education a study was made of those 74 cities and recommendations adopted under which 10,000 children will be accommodated without the building of a single additional room, but through rearrangement and better use of present facilities. The Bureau of Education, in these and other matters, ought to have the opportunity of giving the public at large the benefit of the valuable work performed. The amendment ought to prevail.

The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman has expired. All time has expired. The Clerk will again report the amendment for information.

The Clerk again reported the pending amendment.

The CHAIRMAN. The question is on the amendment offered by the gentleman from Idaho [Mr. French].

The question was taken; and on a division (demanded by Mr. Collins) there were ayes 41 and noes 21.

So the amendment was adopted.

The CHAIRMAN. The Clerk will report the second amendment offered by the gentleman from Idaho.

The Clerk read as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. French: Page 5, line 22, strike out \$20,000" and insert in lieu thereof "\$40,000."

The amendment was agreed to. The Clerk read as follows:

For necessary traveling expenses of the commissioner and employees acting under his direction, including attendance at meetings of educational associations, societies, and other organizations; for compensation, not to exceed \$500, of employees in field service; for purchase, distribution, and exchange of educational documents, motion-picture films, and lantern slides; collection, exchange, and cataloguing of educational apparatus and appliances, articles of school furniture and models of school buildings illustrative of foreign and domestic systems and methods of education, and repairing the same; and other expenses not herein provided for, \$20,000.

Mr. HASTINGS. Mr. Chairman, I offer a committee amendment.

The Clerk read as follows:

Amendment offered by Mr. Hastings: Page 87, after line 25, insert the following: "The unexpired balance of the appropriation for the investigation of teacher training, contained in the Interior Department appropriation act for the fiscal year 1933 shall remain available for the fiscal year 1934 for the purpose of editing and printing the reports prepared under provisions of those appropriations, including the payment of salaries in the District of Columbia and elsewhere."

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Chairman, I reserve a point of order on the amendment to ask the gentleman a question. How much is in that fund?

Mr. HASTINGS. This is simply a continuance of the unexpended balance from this year until next year. The Bureau of Education thought that would be expended between now and June 30, but for fear it would not be, this authorizes it to be carried over into the next fiscal year and makes no additional appropriation. It will amount to about \$12,000 or \$15,000.

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Chairman, I withdraw the reservation of the point of order.

The amendment was agreed to.

Mr. WICKERSHAM. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment which I intended to offer at the conclusion of the Geological Survey paragraph. It should have come immediately after the last of the Geological Survey items, and I ask unanimous consent to return to page 74, line 9, in order to offer the amendment just after line 9, where it should have been offered. By mistake it was noted as coming under the items in relation to the government of the Territories and Alaska, and not under the Geological Survey.

Mr. HASTINGS. Mr. Chairman, I very much regret, but we are opposed to the amendment, and I would have to oppose it, but if the gentleman wishes, I will reserve the objection so that the gentleman can make a statement.

Mr. WICKERSHAM. Mr. Chairman, in the consideration of the appropriation bill the committee has dropped the item of appropriation for the investigation of mineral resources in the Territory of Alaska.

For many years we have had a small group of geologists who have been engaged in making mineral investigations in the Territory of Alaska. That group of men has been interested there for over 20 years and has performed most excellent work in organizing the mineral industry there. They have been doing an immense amount of good work during that period in investigating the geological and mineral resources of Alaska and have been of inestimable value to us in the development of gold and other minerals in the Territory of Alaska. That appropriation is now dropped from this bill, though it was carried in that of the last session. That little bureau of five or six geologists and three or four topographic men has been destroyed. These men, so familiar with Alaska work, are dropped entirely. Without any notice to me or any of the people interested in the item, it was dropped, though no intimation was given to us in the hearings that the committee contemplated any such drastic action.

The testimony in respect to that matter was taken by the committee on the 22d day of November, two weeks before Congress met. It was printed, and the bill was introduced on the 15th day of December. Nobody knew what was in the bill until it was introduced last week and taken up for reading on the next morning. There was nothing in the evidence taken before the committee to intimate that this work would be stopped. It is of inestimable value to the development of mining in our Territory. You could take almost any other item out of the appropriation for Alaska with less harm to the Territory than this, Mr. Chairman.

We took out between \$5,000,000 and \$6,000,000 in gold in 1927. It has increased a million dollars every year from that time to this. Last year we took out \$9,500,000 of gold. This year we will take out probably \$10,000,000. The output of gold is increasing in Alaska every year, and this little group of nine men who have never had more than \$60,000 for their support has been the backbone of the development of the gold resources of Alaska for the last 20 years. It is a damage to you, it is a damage to the whole country to have this work stopped, and I am disappointed that we did not have any opportunity to consider the matter. It is a damage not only with respect to Alaska but to the general country. We are doing an increasing work there in gold mining, and we need the support of these men in developing, organizing, and coordinating the work. They do prospecting, surveying, they give instructions and advice to these men in the Territory who are taking out the gold and other minerals, and it is of great aid to our miners and prospectors. It is important to you; it is important to every man who wants the gold resources of this country developed and the gold mined and put into the general system of finance.

We have taken out more than \$410,000,000 in gold alone in the Territory of Alaska. We are increasing the output every year and we need these men to aid the miners and prospectors and to assist us in the development of the gold resources of Alaska.

Accidentally this proposed amendment was cited in the wrong place. It was my fault, and I ask now that we may return to the items under the Geological Survey, where it belongs. It is only for \$30,000. Thirty thousand dollars is only one-third of 1 per cent of the output of gold in Alaska for the last year. It is the smallest tax anybody could possibly pay for the development of these great resources-and they are your resources-and this money goes into your financial system. You ought not to take this small appropriation away from Alaska. It is a mistake to do so. These geologists are first-class men in their field of work. They are doing a great amount of work there and they ought to be allowed to go ahead with it. They have been doing it for 20 years to the great profit of the Territory of Alaska and to the great profit of the mineral development of that Territory that you need so much. So I hope the House will let us return to the Geological Survey items, where this one belongs, and permit my Territory to have the benefit of this small appropriation which is so beneficial to the development of its mineral resources.

Mr. HASTINGS. Mr. Chairman, the members of the sub-committee who framed this bill do not want to do anything to retard the development of Alaska, but the subcommittee does not think that the cutting out of this amendment will do that. They will continue to do the mining development in Alaska this next year just as they have in the past. The mining up around Fairbanks and Juneau will be carried on just exactly the same as it has been this year. These are the reasons why I object to returning to that portion of the bill.

The Clerk read as follows:

For defraying the deficits in the treasuries of the municipal governments because of the excess of current expenses over current revenues for the fiscal year 1934, municipality of St. Thomas and St. John, \$105,000, and municipality of St. Croix, \$105,000; in all, \$210,000: Provided, That the amount herein appropriated for each municipal government shall be expended only if an equivalent amount is raised by municipal revenues and applied to

the operating costs of the respective government, except that for the fiscal year 1934 the contribution to either municipal government shall not be less than \$100,000: Provided further, That should the revenues of the municipality of St. Thomas and St. John, during the fiscal year 1934, exceed \$105,000, and/or the revenues of the municipality of St. Croix exceed \$105,000, such excess revenues may be expended for municipal improvements and operating costs of the municipalities under such rules and regulations as the President may prescribe.

Mr. GOSS. I move to strike out the last word.

Mr. Chairman, I wish to ask the chairman of the subcommittee a question. In the proviso why was it stated that the contribution to either municipal government shall not be less than \$100,000? And does the gentleman know that that figure is the minimum, provided rigid economy were exercised?

Mr. FRENCH. Mr. Chairman, may I say that the Government of the United States has a certain very definite responsibility in the islands. It requires much more to carry forward the share on what was supposed to be a 50-50 basis than \$100,000. We thought we would fix that as a minimum so that we could, if possible, encourage the islands to themselves come forward with as much money as we could obtain from them for the administration of the government. So we felt we were justified in including a minimum of \$100,000.

Mr. GOSS. Of course, the gentleman knows their most famous product has been dispensed with by the prohibition act, that is, St. Croix rum. That was the big product of that island, and since that time I suppose that has actually increased our contribution toward the running of their government.

Mr. FRENCH. Of course, the gentleman will recall that we acquired the islands about 1916 or 1917 and that the greatest resource of the island of St. Thomas at that time

Mr. GOSS. The one I was talking about is St. Croix.

Mr. FRENCH. Well, there the greatest resource was sugar, and in St. Thomas the greatest resource was income derived by inhabitants of the island working in connection with the shipping business. At that time we had coal centers there. It was a great coal fueling station for many ships.

Mr. GOSS. The gentleman assures us, then, that this \$100,000 is not in any way a wasteful expense, when the minimum is fixed at \$100,000?

Mr. FRENCH. Yes; I believe it is absolutely essential to do the work and carry the responsibility that our Government has assumed.

The Clerk read as follows:

For such projects for the further development of agriculture and industry, and for promoting the general welfare of the islands as may be approved by the President, including the acquisition by purchase, condemnation, or otherwise, of land and the construction of buildings for use in administering the affairs of the islands; the purchase of land for sale as homesteads to citizens of the Virgin Islands; and the making of loans for the construction of buildings, for the purchase of farming implements and equipment, and for other expenses incident to the cultivation of land purchased for resale as homesteads, \$15,000, and in addition thereto the unexpended balance of the appropriation for the temporary government for the Virgin Islands contained in the Interior Department appropriation act, fiscal year 1933.

Mr. KETCHAM. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike out the last word.

Mr. Chairman, this paragraph has to do with the agriculture of the Virgin Islands and the general improvement of conditions there. I have asked for just this brief moment to insert some matter in the Record at this point, and for that reason I ask unanimous consent at this time to extend my remarks in the Record.

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

There was no objection.

Mr. KETCHAM. This is a brief statement concerning the proposition now before the Committee on Agriculture, presented thereto by the farm organizations of the country in the way of farm-relief organizations. A good many Members of the House have made some inquiry of me concerning its provisions, and in response to these questions from a considerable number of Members I have taken the time to write out a very brief statement which I think will present to you in just a moment's reading the essentials of the proposition as it has been presented by the farm organizations. I may say it is a bit different from those presented heretofore, in that a different yardstick than we have heretofore had for these farm-relief proposals is introduced in this measure.

I shall not take time to refer to it at this time, more than to call your attention to the details of the plan as they are worked out in a very brief statement, which I have just obtained permission to extend at this particular moment.

I yield back, Mr. Chairman, the balance of my time.

The matter referred to follows:

FARM-RELIEF PROPOSAL OF THE FARM ORGANIZATIONS

Students of the farm problem in the United States emphasize three major proposals to aid agricultural recovery.

First, better prices for farm products; second, readjustment of farm-mortgage indebtedness, and third, reduction of taxes.

These three proposals have been the subject of special consideration at recent national meetings of the leading farm organisideration at recent national meetings of the leading farm organizations, and the theme of wide editorial and congressional discussion in the order named: Better farm prices, both actual and relative, is believed by many to be the first essential to economic recovery for all our people. The farm-mortgage problem is critical but does not affect all sections, nor all farmers, as does the low price for farm products. Taxation on farms is almost entirely a State and local matter. Furthermore, better farm prices would help immediately and effectively toward improving both the mortgage and tax situations.

For the first time since farm-relief legislation has been under

For the first time since farm-relief legislation has been under consideration by Congress the farm organizations have agreed upon a legislative plan to improve farm prices on four principal farm products, and have just outlined their proposal before the House Committee on Agriculture. Because of the widespread in-terest in the improvement of the farm economic situation, I felt it would be of unusual interest to the members of the committee

to sketch the plan briefly.

The purpose of the plan is to reduce production and thus avoid the surpluses that have been so ruinous to farm prices. To encourage farmers to reduce acreage of crops and tonnage of hogs, the bill provides for a tax to be levied upon the first processing of such commodity. The proceeds of this tax will be paid to farmers who make the required reductions. The form of such payment will be an adjustment certificate. Its value will be the difference between the market value of the particular commodity and the fair exchange value. By "fair exchange value" is meant the average value of the commodity for the years 1909–1914, when farm prices were on a parity with other commodity prices.

Wheat, cotton, hogs, and tobacco are the products included in the bill. It is limited to these four crops because the prices on them are claimed to control other farm prices, because they have exportable surpluses and are therefore in competition with the lower world markets, and finally because they are practically all processors. the surpluses that have been so ruinous to farm prices.

The average farm price for average grades of these products on November 1, 1932, was as follows: Wheat, 32.8 cents per bushel; cotton, 5.1 cents per pound; hogs, 3.05 cents per pound; and tobacco, 8.9 cents per pound. The fair exchange value prices proposed for the marketing period of each crop for 1933 are: Wheat, 93.7 cents per bushel; cotton, 13.7 cents per pound; hogs, 7.67 cents

per pound; tobacco, 11 cents per pound.

Taking the November market price of wheat of 32.8 cents as the year's average and comparing it with the bill's fair exchange value of 93.7 cents, farmers are to be paid practically 61 cents per bushel premium. Only such farmers as reduced their wheat acreage 20 premium. Only such farmers as reduced their wheat acreage 20 per cent in 1931 and agree to the necessary 1933 quota acreage reduction, can qualify for the 61-cent premium. Furthermore, they would receive such premiums on about 75 per cent of this crop, their quota of the average American consumption of wheat. If a farmer meeting the above conditions had 100 bushels of wheat to sell, he would receive \$32.80 cash at the time of sale and a so-called adjustment certificate for \$45.75—seventy-five times 61 cents. This certificate will be an obligation of the United States, transferrable and payable in two installments during the marketing year.

As acreage and tonnage is reduced, the market price will rise. The adjustment charge is determined and proclaimed at the opening of the marketing period by the Secretary of Agriculture. Obviously, this charge will decrease as the market price approaches the fair exchange value, or parity price, as it is ordinarily called. The plan involves no charge upon the Treasury and the expense of administration will be limited to 21/2 per cent of the adjustment

The pro forma amendment was withdrawn. The Clerk read as follows:

ST. ELIZABETHS HOSPITAL

For support, clothing, and treatment in St. Elizabeths Hospital for the Insane of insane persons from the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Coast Guard, insane inmates of the National Home for

service of the Army, insane persons transferred from the Canal Zone who have been admitted to the hospital and who are indi-gent, American citizens legally adjudged insane in the Dominion of Canada whose legal residence in one of the States, Territories, or the District of Columbia it has been impossible to establish, insane beneficiaries of the United States Employees' Compensa-tion Commission, and insane beneficiaries of the United States tion Commission, and insane beneficiaries of the United States Veterans' Administration, including not exceeding \$27,000 for the purchase, exchange, maintenance, repair, and operation of motor-propelled passenger-carrying vehicles for the use of the superintendent, purchasing agent, and general hospital business, and including not to exceed \$200,000 for repairs and improvements to buildings and grounds, \$1,116,700, including maintenance and operation of necessary facilities for feeding employees and others (at not less than cost), and the proceeds therefrom shall reimburse the appropriation for the institution; and not exceeding \$1,500 of this sum may be expended in the removal of patients to their friends, not exceeding \$1,500 in the purchase of such books, periodicals, and newspapers as may be required for the purposes sl,500 of this sum may be expended in the removal of patients to their friends, not exceeding \$1,500 in the purchase of such books, periodicals, and newspapers, as may be required for the purposes of the hospital and for the medical library, and not exceeding \$1,500 for the actual and necessary expenses incurred in the apprehension and return to the hospital of escaped patients: Provided, That so much of this sum as may be required shall be available for all necessary expenses in ascertaining the residence of inmates who are not or who cease to be properly chargeable to Federal maintenance in the institution and in returning them to such places of residence: Provided further, That no part of this appropriation shall be expended for the purchase of oleomargarine or butter substitutes except for cooking purposes: Provided further, That during the fiscal year 1934 the District of Columbia, or any branch of the Government requiring St. Elizabeths Hospital to care for patients for which they are responsible, shall pay by check to the superintendent, upon his written request, either in advance or at the end of each month, all or part of the estimated or actual cost of such maintenance, as the case may be, and bills rendered by the superintendent of St. Elizabeths Hospital in accordance herewith shall not be subject to audit or certification in advance of payment; proper adjustments on the basis of the extent of the care of patients not for advance shall he rendered by the superintendent of St. Elizabeths Hospital in accordance herewith shall not be subject to audit or certification in advance of payment; proper adjustments on the basis of the actual cost of the care of patients paid for in advance shall be made monthly or quarterly, as may be agreed upon between the superintendent of St. Elizabeths Hospital and the District of Columbia government, department, or establishments concerned. All sums paid to the superintendent of St. Elizabeths Hospital for the care of patients that he is authorized by law to receive shall be deposited to the credit on the books of the Treasury Department of the appropriation made for the care and maintenance of the patients at St. Elizabeths Hospital for the year in which the support, clothing, and treatment is provided, and be subject to requisition by the disbursing agent of St. Elizabeths Hospital, upon the approval of the Secretary of the Interior: Provided further, That there shall be available for replacement of boilers and remodeling of the power plant, including preparation of plans and specifications, advertising for proposals, and not to exceed \$11,000 for necessary traveling expenses and personal services without reference to the classification act of 1923, as amended, or civil-service rules and regulations, \$250,000, from funds accrued, or which may accrue, prior to July 1, 1934, under the act approved February 2, 1909 (U. S. C., title 24, sec. 165), such portions of funds as have accrued under said act to be immediately available for this use.

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Chairman, I reserve a point of order on

Mr. GOSS. Mr. Chairman, I reserve a point of order on the proviso. I notice that in the permanent appropriations the appropriation is jumped from \$80,000 to \$295,000, and I understand from talking with the members of the committee that this \$250,000 comes out of that fund. Is that correct?

Mr. HASTINGS. I did not hear the inquiry of the gentleman.

Mr. GOSS. In the indefinite and permanent appropriation this item has been raised from \$80,000 to \$295,000, and I understand from conversation with the gentleman that the \$250,000 in this proviso comes out of the fund accrued under this law. The law is cited here as the United States Code, title 24, and refers to pensions only. I am wondering why the pensions jumped from \$80,000 to \$295,000.

Mr. FRENCH. The gentleman is confused with regard to the expenditure of this money.

Mr. GOSS. I just got that explanation.

Mr. FRENCH. I think the gentleman refers in his inquiry now to moneys that are carried in the permanent and indefinite appropriation.

Mr. GOSS. Yes; that is correct. This proviso states in line 6 that \$250,000 is applicable to these repairs from funds accrued or which may accrue prior to July 1 under this act, and the act, as the gentleman knows, is an act that refers only to pensions. How can you take into an indefinite appropriation which has been made law funds which are for pensions and use them to repair boilers, and so forth?

Mr. FRENCH. The general law to which the gentleman refers provides that when those who are drawing pensions are sent to St. Elizabeths the disbursing officer of the institution is made the custodian of the funds of such person.

Mr. GOSS. To be expended in an amount not to exceed what he has to his credit.

Mr. FRENCH. If the gentleman will permit me to follow up, I do not have the law before me, but I can quote it essentially from memory.

Mr. GOSS. I have it right here.

Mr. FRENCH. The law provides not only that the moneys shall be expended for the use of the individual but that the moneys upon the death of the individual may be allocated in a certain way to certain immediate relatives, and then, when certain requirements of the law shall have been complied with, any balance shall go to the institution itself

Mr. GOSS. So that when we passed that permanent law, which provided a pension for the individuals that transferred or came there from the Army, Navy, or the District of Columbia, that fund was supposed to be used for the comfort and use of the individual patients alone. This is the provision of the original act as I read it.

Mr. FRENCH. Yes.

Mr. GOSS. Now, the Appropriations Committee comes along in this proviso and provides for taking out of funds already accumulated or which may accrue up until July 1, 1934, money to replace boilers or to remodel the power plant, or that sort of thing, which seems to me to be definitely legislation that was not intended in the original act with respect to the accruing of pensions to the individual, and this is what I want to have explained.

Mr. FRENCH. No; not at all. The moneys would not be deducted from the compensation or pension due to a living person, but there are certain balances that finally go to the institution after the requirements of the law have been complied with. The law itself says, after providing for the distribution of moneys upon the death of a person in the institution who was drawing a pension, that any further balance to his or her credit shall be applied to the general uses of the hospital. So we are not appropriating money or providing for the use of money of living persons. These are in the nature of remnants of estates left by inmates of the institution, the remnants going to the support and general maintenance of the institution, under the law that the Congress itself passed nearly 30 years ago.

Mr. GOSS. Then, on that basis, out of the \$295,000 carried in the indefinite appropriation, you would expect to spend upward of \$250,000 for improvements, which would cut the actual pension money received by the inmates by \$40,000 next year?

Mr. FRENCH. No; the moneys received by those inmates who are living would not be cut at all.

Mr. GOSS. I refer the gentleman to the indefinite appropriation raised from \$80,000 to \$295,000. If you use \$250,000 of that fund that would cut the original pensions by \$40,000.

Mr. FRENCH. No; let me turn back a little. The total amount of money that is now in the fund is not \$295,000 but \$600,000. This represents accumulations of a good many years. Of that amount the bill provides \$250,000 for the power plant. In addition to this the institution has estimated it would use \$45,000 for purposes authorized in the law.

Mr. GOSS. You have less patients than you had a year ago.

Mr. FRENCH. No; somewhat more.

[Here the gavel fell.]

The Clerk read as follows:

General expenses: For equipment, supplies, apparatus, furniture, cases and shelving, stationery, ice, repairs to buildings and grounds, and for other necessary expenses, including reimbursement to the appropriation for Freedmen's Hospital of actual cost of heat and light furnished, \$220,000.

Mr. DE PRIEST. Mr. Chairman, I offer the following amendment.

The Clerk read as follows:

Page 98, line 12, after the figures "\$220,000," add the following: "For construction and completion of a heating, lighting, and power plant for Howard University, \$460,000, to be immediately available."

Mr. DE PRIEST. Mr. Chairman and gentlemen of the committee, I would not present this if it was not an absolute emergency. Howard University is completing two new buildings now, and they will be finished some time this summer.

The present heating plant is antiquated and obsolete. It is overloaded about 40 or 50 per cent during this cold weather. It is only a 100-horsepower plant, and it is unable to do the required work.

The Bureau of the Budget put in \$460,000 to be immediately available, but the Bureau of the Budget afterward decided to withdraw its support in favor of the sum in this present appropriation bill. They did that for the sake of economy.

While I agree that we should exercise economy in every possible way that we can, it must be remembered that if this present plant breaks down that institution will be without heat and light and without power. The hospital would be without its heat and light; you might get light in an emergency, but it is impossible to get heat.

The university is spending \$225,000 to build a distributing tunnel. That tunnel will soon be completed to the site of the new proposed power plant.

I ask you gentlemen and ladies not to close this institution on a plea of economy. Howard University is the only great educational institution in this country for our group.

We ask you, since this emergency has existed and since it was once reported by the Bureau of the Budget, to give Howard University an appropriation of \$460,000 for the express purpose of building this heat, light, and power plant.

These figures were sent over from the Bureau of Mines as late as last November. The Bureau of Mines checked the figures of the committee as to light, heat, and power, and they claim it will take \$460,000 to do the work. They ought to know, for they are the experts for Congress. Some Members thought it might be done for less, but I do not think any member of the committee will say that this is not an emergency.

He will say that he does not think the Government is able to do that under this economy program, and that we must pay something; but there are some things where we can not afford to practice economy, when it is liable to shut down such an institution as Howard University or Freedmans Hospital. Freedmans Hospital is the only public hospital in the city of Washington where the people of my group go. We do not happen to be as favored as the rest of you, because limitations are prescribed. I appeal to you all not to make it possible that there will be no place where the sick and the wounded of my group may be sheltered. [Applause.]

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the amendment. All the members of the Interior Department appropriation subcommittee have a very kindly feeling toward Howard University. We all thoroughly appreciate its importance and the great work it is doing, and during the past few years we have made very large appropriations for it. There is no question but that the university will have to have a new heating plant some time in the near future. Last year we recommended an appropriation of \$300,000 for that purpose. But this matter was fully presented to the Bureau of the Budget this year, and that bureau declined to recommend an appropriation for that purpose in this bill. We have not put a single item in this entire bill from beginning to end that has not been recommended by the Budget, with the approval of the President of the United States. The committee has been following this policy of economy, and we felt when the Budget made no recommendation after a thorough investigation of this matter this past summer, that the committee was safe in following the Budget and leaving it out as we did. The Budget evidently did look upon this matter as urgent. Furthermore, I may say that as chairman of this subcommittee,

the university, including the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. DE PRIEST] and that we have in very friendly way considered the matter.

I have said to them that this estimate of \$460,000 was first made a year or two or three years ago, and that if a suitable heating plant could be built at that time for that amount that certainly, with reduction in the cost of everything, we felt-and we so reported a year ago-that \$300,000 was amply sufficient to build a suitable plant; and if \$300,000 was enough a year ago, less than that amount would be sufficient now. I felt then-and I feel now-that these people, including the gentleman from Illinois, should have gone before the Bureau of the Budget and obtained a supplemental estimate from the Budget and should have brought it before this committee or before the main committee or before this House. They have declined to do so. There was no formal request made before to the subcommittee for this item. There was no presentation of the matter. When the Budget eliminated it, and when nobody came before our subcommittee with a supplemental estimate for it; when there is no showing that there is an emergency at this time, we felt that we ought not to open the gate and put in an item of \$460,000 to build a plant that the Bureau of the Budget would not say was emergent; and your committee does not think it is emergent.

We feel that if it was really urgent, the Budget would have said so, and, because they did not and do not now recommend it, we think it can safely go over until next fall or some other future time when it can be taken up in an intelligent and systematic way. It can be presented to a deficiency appropriations committee at any time. In other words, these gentlemen who are so solicitous about Howard University ought to present the urgency of it to the Budget itself and get the approval of the Budget and not insist on forcing it on the House of Representatives without any showing or supplemental estimate or approval by the administration.

Mr. WILLIAM E. HULL. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. Yes.

Mr. WILLIAM E. HULL. Has the gentleman taken the two new buildings into consideration and when they are to be completed?

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. Yes.

Mr. WILLIAM E. HULL. They are going to be completed within the next year?

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. Yes; I think so.

Mr. WILLIAM E. HULL. And how are they going to be able to run them without heat?

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. All these matters were presented to and considered by the Budget, and the Budget has decided that there will be no harm done in putting this over until next year some time when Congress has an opportunity to make the necessary investigations and appropriate whatever amount is necessary.

Mr. WILLIAM E. HULL. Would the gentleman's committee be willing to consider those two buildings and let the appropriation come in on that account at this time?

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. I said to these gentlemen a few days ago that if they would present this matter to the Budget and obtain a supplemental estimate from the Budget the committee would consider it. But they seem unwilling to go before that bureau or present the matter in a businesslike way and they have declined to come before us and make a showing of any kind. In these desperate financial times our committee is unwilling to make appropriations

Mr. WILLIAM E. HULL. It seems to me that we ought to be reasonable. The gentleman admits they are going to have these two buildings completed, and I think we ought to appropriate enough money to heat them. I think we ought to consider it.

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. My thought is that Congress

I have been approached at various times by the friends of any showing or hearing before any committee or Budget recommendations or anything to base it on. It is utterly unbusinesslike. No one can justify making appropriations this way.

> The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman from Colorado has expired.

> Mr. HASTINGS. Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent that debate upon this section and all amendments thereto close in 20 minutes.

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. GAVAGAN. Mr. Chairman and ladies and gentlemen of the committee, a good part of my constituency are vitally interested in the fine work that Howard University is doing for the colored race.

Furthermore, that constituency deeply appreciates the aid and assistance given to Howard University by the Federal Government. That constituency has no quarrel whatever with the committees of this House for failing to provide in this bill for the construction of a new power plant. The fault lies directly with the Bureau of the Budget. They originally recommended \$460,000 for the beginning of work and the completion of the new power plant, and then withdrew their recommendation, for what reason no one knows. That is where the fault lies. Wherever the fault may lie, the fact remains that next fall, two buildings, the educational building and the chemistry building, will have been completed, and the present power plant is wholly inadequate to provide heat, light, and power. Now we are faced with an absolute fact, admitted by the subcommittee of this House and by the records of the Bureau of Mines. What are we going to do about it? Are we going to have two buildings, completed, without heat, light, and power? There is something else we can do about it. We can go out and purchase the power necessary, but that is not economy. So I urge the Members of this House that now is the time to start work on this power plant so it will be completed by the time these two buildings, the educational building and the chemistry building, are completed.

I sincerely hope that this House to-day will approve of the amendment offered by the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. DE PRIEST .

I yield back the balance of my time, Mr. Chairman. Mr. HASTINGS. Mr. Chairman, I rise in opposition to the amendment.

Let us see what the situation is with reference to the amendment. In the first place, permit me to say that neither did the subcommittee nor the full committee allow a single item in any bureau in the entire bill that was not estimated for by the Bureau of the Budget. I grant that improvements are asked for all over the country in connection with all kinds of schools, but the committee did not recommend a single item anywhere that was not recommended by the Bureau of the Budget. Now, is that not the safe thing to follow?

Mr. MOUSER. Will the gentleman yield for a question? Mr. HASTINGS. No; I do not have time to yield now.

There was not a single item, not one in my State, not one in the whole United States, that was allowed, that was not recommended by the Bureau of the Budget, nor did we increase a single item.

Here is an item of \$460,000. That is a very sizable item. That is a very large amount of money. We have tried to economize in every way. I want to call attention to the fact that Howard University is not a Government school. That must be kept in mind. This is not a Government institution. It is not a Government school. It is not incumbent upon the Government of the United States to pay the entire expenses of Howard University. It is true we contribute to it. It is purely an act of grace. I want to ask if we have not made a pretty fair contribution to this school? In this bill we contribute \$632,500 toward Howard University. That is a fair contribution by the Federal Government to an institution that is not a Government institution. I ask you what has no right to appropriate a half million dollars without | would any one of you say about a member of this committee, when we are trying in every possible way to economize, if we it. If you are going to keep Howard University running, do had come here and recommended \$460,000 that was not not cripple it, but put in the heating plant that is required. recommended by the Bureau of the Budget? You know we would have been criticized by every Member of the House. We would have been turned down by the full committee, and we would not have been justified in making the recommendation.

Mr. SCHAFER. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. HASTINGS. I yield. Mr. SCHAFER. The gentleman states that there were not any items favorably reported by his committee that were not reported by the Bureau of the Budget. Is it not a fact that the Bureau of the Budget did not recommend an estimate of \$250,000 to increase the heating-plant capacity at St. Elizabeths Hospital?

Mr. HASTINGS. Oh, that was done by the Bureau of the Budget. They estimated for it. I repeat now to Members on both sides of the Chamber that there is not an item recommended by this committee in this bill that was not estimated for by the Bureau of the Budget.

Mr. BANKHEAD. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. HASTINGS. I yield.

Mr. BANKHEAD. Is there any substantial evidence, either before the gentleman's committee or otherwise, that this is, as a matter of fact, an emergency situation?

Mr. HASTINGS. In answer to the gentleman, it was only casually suggested before the committee.

Mr. MOUSER. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. HASTINGS. I beg the gentleman's pardon. I only have five minutes. I want to answer the gentleman from

It was not considered by the subcommittee when the Howard University items were presented and when we allowed the \$632,500. There was some reference to it, but the committee did not consider it at all, and there was not any estimate furnished.

The CHAIRMAN. The time of the gentleman from Oklahoma has expired.

Mr. WILLIAM E. HULL. Mr. Chairman, I rise in favor of the amendment.

I read from the RECORD a letter addressed to Albert I. Cassell, architect for Howard University, signed by Scott Turner, of the Bureau of Mines, in which he says:

Your letter dated Washington, D. C., November 29, regarding statements and calculations covering the proposed power plant for Howard University and Freedmen's Hospital, has been received. The Bureau of Mines has checked the various surveys made and

has arrived at \$460,000 as the minimum sum required at this time for a power plant. It is considered that an emergency need is involved; at least \$40,000 would be needed to change over the group of buildings to be able to use alternating current; a study of the calculations submitted shows them to be essentially correct.

Yours faithfully,

SCOTT TURNER. Director.

That letter was written on November 30, 1932.

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. WILLIAM E. HULL. I yield.

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. They made the same report a year ago.

Mr. WILLIAM E. HULL. That is all right. But the gentleman in his statement said that he wanted them to go back to the Budget. This letter is less than three weeks old.

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. But that was furnished to the gentleman from Illinois [Mr. DE PRIEST] and not to our committee.

Mr. WILLIAM E. HULL. But the statement is right here in the Congressional Record. The gentleman could have read it. Now, what is the use quibbling over something that is a necessity?

We all know what Howard University is. If you are going to stop Howard University, bring in a resolution to stop it; but if you are going to continue it as a hospital, then provide sufficient funds for its operation.

Mr. HASTINGS. It is not a Government school; we do not pay all the expenses of Howard University.

Mr. WILLIAM E. HULL. I will admit all the gentleman says, but if you are going to stop Howard University, stop

not cripple it, but put in the heating plant that is required.

Mr. MOUSER. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. WILLIAM E. HULL. I yield.

Mr. MOUSER. As a matter of fact, the Government has recognized it at least as a quasi-governmental institution, because it has been the practice to appropriate money to give poor colored boys an opportunity for an education.

Mr. WILLIAM E. HULL. Certainly; we all know that. There is not any Member in this House, be he Democrat or Republican, but who wants to see Howard University continue. That being so, why cripple it now by refusing to appropriate for this heating plant this year? It will be in the bill again next year if we do not provide for it now.

Mr. HASTINGS. Does not the gentleman think that \$632,500 is a generous contribution toward Howard Uni-

versity for the present?

Mr. WILLIAM E. HULL. I will admit it is a good, big item; but when we are putting out money for other things, why not put it out also for this heating plant which is so necessary? I tell you again, right now, if you do not do it now, you will have to do it next year, and you will probably find it harder to do next year than you find it now, and what do you want to let it go over for?

I think this ought to go through. I am earnest about it. I am not trying here to secure something I do not think is right, and I am not doing it because of the colored people. I am doing it because this is an institution Congress has been helping for the last 10 years I have been in Congress. Why do you want to destroy it? Why not pass this emergency appropriation of \$460,000? The money will not all be spent at once; you are simply authorizing the appropriation and they will use the money as they need it.

Mr. GAVAGAN. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. WILLIAM E. HULL. I yield.

Mr. GAVAGAN. I think the gentleman wants to be fair and I know the gentleman is usually very fair in his statements, but when he says we want to destroy it, or when he asks why do we wish to destroy it-

Mr. WILLIAM E. HULL. You will destroy it if you do not

Mr. GAVAGAN. Does not the gentleman think the Bureau of the Budget could have found that out?

Mr. WILLIAM E. HULL. I am not running the Bureau of the Budget. I am in Congress. So is the gentleman from New York.

Mr. GAVAGAN. I do not want the gentleman's remarks to be interpreted in the least that this House rejected or attempted to strike out from the appropriation bill that

Mr. WILLIAM E. HULL. I did not say that. I said we have tried to carry this thing along and why destroy it? That is what I said. I did not say, "We are going to destroy it." I said, "Why destroy it?"

Mr. SCHAFER. Mr. Chairman, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. WILLIAM E. HULL. I yield.

Mr. SCHAFER. Our Democratic colleague is trying to place responsibility upon the Bureau of the Budget for political purposes instead of placing it upon Congress; and if this heating plant fails, the responsibility will not be placed upon the Director of the Budget but upon the Democratic majority.

Mr. WILLIAM E. HULL. I think the members of the Democratic Party are as much in favor of it as I am. I know they are.

Mr. MOUSER. Mr. Chairman, I rise in favor of the amendment.

As a matter of fact, this is the only institution helping colored people in the United States with governmental assistance. This institution trains colored men and women for the professions to administer among their own race. This institution turns out doctors, lawyers, engineers, dentists, and other professional men. This Congress is spending several hundred thousands of dollars for new buildings. I say to you it is the falsest kind of economy to permit new structures intended to meet the needs of the rapidly growing population of this university because of the interest of colored people in enlightenment and education to permit these buildings to be unoccupied under the guise of economy and stop these men and women from getting learning in the professions to administer among their own people.

Mr. Chairman, if this money for the power plant is not appropriated, a considerable investment will necessarily have to lie idle. The gentleman from Illinois [Mr. De Priest] put in a letter from the power company of Washington advising us that the Government could manufacture power cheaper for that school than they as a public utility could furnish it. It is only in the interest of good public business to appropriate \$460,000 for a power plant to light and heat those new buildings. Otherwise you are leaving them

Mr. BYRNS. Mr. Chairman, this is an absolutely simple proposition that confronts us. We are asked here to appropriate \$460,000 out of the Public Treasury, without an estimate from the President or the Director of the Budget or without one line of testimony before the committee which considered this appropriation bill. There has not been a particle of testimony presented to the Committee on Appropriations showing why this \$460,000 should be appropriated at this time.

I am not here to attach responsibility to anyone; but I submit that if any responsibility attaches at the outset, and if anybody is responsible for failure to get this matter properly before the committee and before the Congress, that responsibility rests with the President of the United States and the Director of the Budget, who failed to include it in their estimates, and I assume for a perfectly good reason because I am not here criticizing them. I assume they did it after a thorough investigation because they felt that in the interest of economy it was not necessary to carry it in the appropriations for this year. There could be no other assumption. If it had been necessary, or if the investigations of the Director of the Budget had shown that they needed this central heating plant to be appropriated for in this bill, do you not know that the President of the United States and the Director of the Budget would have sent it up here and said that we ought to appropriate the money?

We have not made appropriations here that have not been estimated for. Why are you asked to make an exception in this instance and do it, as I say, without the slightest evidence from anybody who is officially responsible for presenting the evidence to you and to me, and vote \$460,000 out of the Treasury of the people? Those who vote for it will do so for purely political purposes, and it will be so recognized by the people.

I am astounded at the position some of my friends have taken with reference to this particular appropriation. What are the facts? How do you and I know that it is going to take \$460,000 to put up this central heating plant? The gentleman from Colorado told you that several years ago they said it would cost \$460,000. You know that the cost of material has gone down considerably; and if you are going to build a central heating plant or if the Director of the Budget and the President had thought it necessary, do you not know it could be done for much less than the estimates that were submitted a year ago?

We are spending millions of dollars on a central heating plant here in the city of Washington. I do not know and I am not going to tell you or even intimate that it can be done, but we are building a large heating plant; and how do you and I know but that there will be a way devised whereby heat can be furnished to the university from this heating plant, just as we are undertaking to furnish it to the Pan American Union Building in a bill which passed here a few days ago.

So I say, let us not be driven off our feet by a proposition of this sort. This university can survive and furnish itself with heat for another year. Let us have a little time to look into this, and let us have somebody come before us

with authority and with information who can tell us whether or not it is necessary. I hope the amendment will not be adopted. [Applause.]

[Here the gavel fell.]

The question was taken; and on a division (demanded by Mr. DE PRIEST) there were—ayes 35, noes 61.

So the amendment was rejected.

The Clerk read as follows:

SEC. 2. Appropriations herein made for field work under the General Land Office, the Bureau of Indian Affairs, the Bureau of Reclamation, the Geological Survey, and the National Park Service shall be available for the hire, with or without personal services, of work animals and animal-drawn and motor-propelled vehicles and equipment.

Mr. BYRNS. Mr. Chairman, I move to strike out the last word.

Mr. Chairman, this concludes the reading of the bill. I shall detain the committee only a moment to get a little information and call the attention of the House and some of the departments to a situation which I understand exists in some of them.

The House will recall that the economy bill carries a provision authorizing the departments and various independent activities of the Government to transfer from one appropriation to another within the department or independent establishment, 12 per cent of the amount of the appropriation. Of course, Congress, in making this provision, intended that this sum should be used so as to avoid any possible deficiency, in view of the very heavy reductions that were being made in many of the appropriations last year. I dare say it was never intended-I know it was never intended-that where Congress had made a specific appropriation for salaries and for clerical service in these various activities, that any head of department or any chief of bureau should undertake to transfer from some other fund in his department, for instance, a lump-sum appropriation for construction 12 per cent or any other amount under 12 per cent in order to frustrate the will of Congress and provide more money for salaries and clerical expenses than Congress had originally appropriated.

In the hearings on the Post Office and Treasury bill the subcommittee, as members of the subcommittee who are here now will recall, went into the matter with every bureau, and I can say that I think this was not done in any one of those two departments. We found no evidence of any such transfer.

I have had my attention called to the fact that in the War Department transfers have been made, if I remember correctly, to the War College from lump-sum appropriations made for War Department purposes in order to keep a force there that Congress had cut out and did not intend should be kept during this year.

This is one instance that has been called to my attention, and I want to ask the gentlemen in charge of this bill whether or not in their investigations with reference to the Interior Department they found any similar occurrences?

Mr. HASTINGS. If the gentleman from Tennessee will permit, I will say we did, and I invite the gentleman's attention and also the attention of the members of the committee to the statement on pages 7 and 8 of the hearings showing such transfers.

There was a transfer from the National Park Service for roads and trails in the national parks of four items totaling \$150,000. There was a further transfer from the roads and trails in national parks to salaries of the Office of Education, \$30,000. There was a transfer from the roads and trails appropriation for national parks to the Geological Survey, \$284,400. There was a transfer from certain items within the Bureau of Indian Affairs of various items shown on page 8 totaling \$241,700.

The total of all these transfers, according to the statement furnished the subcommittee, aggregates \$706,100. With the permission of the House, I will insert the details of these estimates in the Record.

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Oklahoma?

There was no objection.

The table is as follows:

Transfers between appropriations of the Department of the Interior for the fiscal year 1933 under the provisions of section 317 of the legislative act of June 30, 1932

From—	То—	Amount	
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE	GENERAL LAND OFFICE	Top 1	
Roads and trails, national parks	Surveying the public lands,	\$75,00	
Do	1933. Protecting public lands, tim-	60,00	
Do	ber, etc., 1933. Contingent expenses of land	10,00	
	offices, 1933.		
Do	Salaries, General Land Office, 1933.	5, 00	
Total		150, 00	
	OFFICE OF EDUCATION		
Roads and trails, national parks	Salaries, Office of Education, 1933.	30, 00	
	GEOLOGICAL SURVEY		
Do	U. S. Geological Survey, 1933 (salaries, Geological Survey).	18, 75	
Do	U. S. Geological Survey, 1933 (topographic surveys).	38, 40	
Do	U S. Geological Survey. 1933 (geological surveys in the United States).	48, 75	
Do	U. S. Geological Survey, 1933 (fundamental research in geologic science).	6, 00	
Do	U. S. Geological Survey, 1933	2, 25	
Do	(volcanologic surveys). U. S. Geological Survey, 1933	9,00	
Do	(mineral resources of Alaska.) U. S. Geological Survey, 1933	75, 00	
Do	(gaging streams). U. S. Geological Survey, 1933	15, 00	
Do	(classification of lands). U. S. Geological Survey, 1933	18, 00	
Do	(printing and binding). U. S. Geological Survey, 1933	3,00	
Do	(preparation of illustrations). U. S. Geological Survey, 1933	16, 50	
Do	(engraving and printing geo- logic and topographic maps). U. S. Geological Survey, 1933	33, 75	
Total	(mineral leasing).	284, 40	
		201,10	
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS	BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS	albij.	
improvements, Fort Hall irrigation project, Idaho, reimbursement, 1932-33.	Salaries, Bureau of Indian Af- fairs, 1933.	15, 00	
Indian school buildings, 1932-33 (new construction).	do	7, 50	
Indian school buildings, 1933 (repairs and improvements).	do	7,50	
Conservation of health among Indians, 1931-1933 (Pierre, S. Dak., Sanatorium and employees' quarters, construction and equipment).	do	7, 50	
and equipment). Conservation of health among Indians, 1931-1933 (Albuquerque, N. Mex., sana- torium and employees' quarters, con-	do	7, 50	
struction and equipment). Roads, Indian reservations, 1932-33	do	15, 00	
Do	Pay of judges, Indian courts,	2,00	
Indian school buildings, 1932-33 (construc- tion of physical improvements).	Pay of Indian police, 1933	10, 00	
Improvements, Fort Hall irrigation pro-	Supervising mining operations	9, 00	
ject, Idaho, reimbursement, 1932-33. Indian boarding schools, 1933	Supervising mining operations on leased Indian lands, 1933. Education, Sioux Nation, 1933. Education of natives of Alaska, 1932-33.	20, 00 40, 00	
Do	Asylum for insane Indians,	5,00	
Improvements, Fort Hall irrigation project, Idaho, reimbursement, 1932-33.	Canton, S. Dak., 1933. Support of Indians and admin- istration of Indian property,	6, 00	
Indian boarding schools, 1932-33	1933. do	28, 90	
Do_ Indian schools, support, 1933	do	4, 30	
Indian schools, Five Civilized Tribes, Oklahoma, 1933.	do	5, 00	
Roads, Indian reservations, 1932-33	do	21, 50	
Total		241, 70	
Grand total		706, 10	

Mr. BYRNS. Mr. Chairman, the statement made by the gentleman from Oklahoma bears out the information to the effect that in these transfers there has been a plain violation of the intent of Congress, when they took from the emergency construction fund \$30,000 and other sums, as

read by the gentleman from Oklahoma, and transferred them to clerical expenses in another bureau which has nothing to do with emergency relief or construction.

I submit that it is a practice that ought to be stopped, and stopped at once, because I know there was not a single Member of Congress who contemplated that this construction fund, this money voted for the relief of unemployment, should be diverted simply because we did the unusual thing, in order to avoid deficiencies, of giving the heads of departments authority to transfer from one fund to another 12 per cent of the amount of the appropriation.

If that matter had been called to our attention when the Post Office and Treasury bill was up, we would have included a provision to prevent it. But I hope if that be necessary—and it certainly ought not to be necessary—the Economy Committee and the Appropriations Committee of the Senate, where the Treasury and Post Office bills are now pending, will write into it a provision preventing any further misuse of the funds appropriated by this Congress.

Mr. BANKHEAD. Will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BYRNS. I yield.

Mr. BANKHEAD. I think these transfers have been more prevalent than the gentleman has stated. Twelve per cent of the gross appropriation constitutes an immense sum of money. It seems to me, that in orderly procedure, as a matter of legislation, there ought to be restrictions put in all these appropriation bills or some general restriction prohibiting the use by any department head of any excess of funds except those appropriated by specific appropriation, and if it is necessary for a department in its administration of affairs to expend more, to come back and ask for a deficiency appropriation.

Mr. BYRNS. I agree with the gentleman.

Mr. BANKHEAD. I have had occasion to give some little examination to this matter. I think it has been grossly abused, and that the Congress itself ought to put strict limitations upon the part of the executive officers who abuse the confidence of Congress.

Mr. BYRNS. Mr. Chairman, I agree with the gentleman from Alabama. That was one of the reasons which actuated me, and I think my friend from Indiana [Mr. Woodlast year when we expressed our personal disapproval of the provision authorizing the transfer of funds within the departments. But it was agreed on then simply because heavy reductions were being made, and we felt in the interest of economy we ought to try it out for a limited period.

Mr. WOOD of Indiana. Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent to proceed for five minutes.

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. WOOD of Indiana. Mr. Chairman and members of the committee, the instances just cited by the gentleman from Tennessee [Mr. Byrns] have demonstrated the very great danger of making it possible to transfer from one fund to another. I know both the gentleman from Tennessee and I have opposed such a transfer ever since I have been a member of the Committee on Appropriations, and the abuse that is now going on demonstrates the necessity of not permitting it, when the emergency that caused it to be granted at this time is past. They are defeating the very purpose of the economy bill, and they are doing it in absolute violation of the intent of Congress.

As stated by the gentleman from Tennessee, when this privilege was granted, this authorization put in the various appropriation bills, it was intended for but one purpose, and that was when they found that they were going to have a surplus appropriated for in one division or bureau, in order to carry out the same purpose in another division where there was a deficiency, it might be transferred in order to carry out the purposes for which the bureau was created. There is no one who has been abusing it but knows it was never intended to be used in the payment of salaries or in keeping on the pay roll those that Congress intended should be released therefrom. It is a bad practice, and unless inquiry is made and close watch is kept Congress

is not able to find out the extent of these abuses. If the testimony before the subcommittee on the Treasury and Post Office bill is truthful, then I am glad to say that up to the time of the presentation of that testimony that practice had not obtained down there; but with the knowledge now, as disclosed in this discussion, that it is being done by at least two departments, it is notice to the others, if we let it go by unchallenged, that they can do it, too, and they will do it, and that thwarts the very purpose for which this privilege has been given. As suggested by the gentleman from Alabama [Mr. BANKHEAD], there should be a limitation put on every one of these bills or a rule brought in making it in order to apply it to all in one measure, so that this abuse can not be continued.

Mr. FRENCH. Mr. Chairman, I ask unanimous consent to proceed for three minutes.

The CHAIRMAN. Is there objection?

There was no objection.

Mr. FRENCH. Mr. Chairman, I regret that the general criticisms that have been made could not have been made on some other bill than the Interior Department appropriation bill. The Interior Department bill was the only bill, as Members will recall, that last year was not sent to conference. The Interior Department bill passed this House, went over to the Senate, was there reported by the committee of the Senate to the Senate, and then upon the floor of the Senate was subjected to an arbitrary reduction of 10 per cent. Then the bill as it passed the Senate was concurred in by the House, without having been sent to conference at all. Generally speaking, I think that these provisions transferring 12 per cent or any other per cent under the head of interchangeable funds is a mistaken policy. Yet, in view of what occurred last year, I was one of those who went before the Economy Committee and urged that for the time being and to meet the emergency we should carry that provision into the bill.

But we did not limit the department to the use of money transferred. For instance, there are activities where personnel means practically everything. Of course, a bureau or a department ought not to expend money for a purpose not authorized: but if Congress authorizes transfers, it may be quite necessary to apply part of the money transferred to payment of existing personnel in carrying forward a project fully within the general plan of the Congress. The department within the limited range of 12 per cent was called upon to choose the most urgent needs.

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. Mr. Chairman, I move that the committee do now rise and report the bill back to the House with the amendments, with the recommendation that the amendments be agreed to and that the bill as amended do pass.

The motion was agreed to.

Accordingly the committee rose; and the Speaker having resumed the chair, Mr. BLAND, Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, reported that that committee, having had under consideration the bill H. R. 13710, the Interior Department appropriation bill, had directed him to report the same back to the House with sundry amendments, with the recommendation that the amendments be agreed to and the bill as amended do pass.

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question on the bill and all amendments to final passage.

The previous question was ordered.

The SPEAKER. Is a separate vote demanded on any amendment? If not, the Chair will put them en gros.

The amendments were agreed to.

The SPEAKER. The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

Mr. DE PRIEST. Mr. Speaker, I move that the bill be recommitted to the Committee on Appropriations.

The SPEAKER. Is the gentleman opposed to the bill? Mr. DE PRIEST. I am.

The SPEAKER. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. DE PRIEST moves to recommit the bill to the Committee on Appropriations with instructions to that committee to report the same back forthwith with the recommendation that the amendment relating to Howard University be agreed to.

Mr. BANKHEAD. Mr. Speaker, I make a point of order against the motion, that it is indefinite and uncertain and does not conform to the rules of the House.

Mr. SNELL. Mr. Speaker, there is nothing indefinite about that motion.

Mr. BANKHEAD. The House is entitled to be acquainted with the amount involved, at least.

The SPEAKER. The Chair understands the amendment previously offered is now in the office of the reporters of debates. The gentleman from Illinois will have to reduce his motion to writing, as required by the rules of the House.

FURTHER MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

A further message from the Senate by Mr. Craven, its principal clerk, announced that the Senate had passed without amendment a joint resolution of the House of the following title:

H. J. Res. 500. Joint resolution authorizing the Secretary of the Navy to sell obsolete and surplus clothing at a nominal

price to the needy.

The message also announced that the Vice President had appointed Mr. Johnson and Mr. Fletcher members of the joint select committee on the part of the Senate, as provided for in the act of February 16, 1889, as amended by the act of March 2, 1895, entitled "An act to authorize and provide for the disposition of useless papers in the executive departments," for the disposition of useless papers in the Department of Commerce.

LAWS AND REGULATIONS RELATING TO RELIEF OF VETERANS

Mr. McDUFFIE. Mr. Speaker, I send to the desk a resolution and ask unanimous consent for its immediate consideration.

The Clerk read as follows:

House Joint Resolution 527

Resolved, etc., That the time within which the joint committee to investigate the operation of the laws and regulations relating to the relief of veterans, created by section 701 of the legislative appropriation act for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1933, approved June 30, 1932, shall report to the Senate and the House of Representatives is hereby extended to and including the 3d of March,

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Alabama?

Mr. SNELL. Reserving the right to object, as I understood the reading of the resolution it simply extends the time for the report. It does not call for any appropriation or anything else except an extension of time. Is that correct?

Mr. McDUFFIE. That is correct. The committee did not ask and has not asked, and we hope it will not be called upon to ask for any appropriation at the hands of Congress to carry on its work.

Mr. SNELL. If the gentleman has not asked for any appropriation but is simply asking for an extension of time, I think it ought to be given to him.

Mr. McDUFFIE. May I say to the Members that this committee was not able to function in the interim, after the adjournment of Congress, for various reasons,

Mr. SNELL. There was other business before the coun-

try. [Laughter.]

Mr. McDUFFIE. We endeavored to organize as soon as possible. The gentleman will recognize it is a large subject, broad in scope, to investigate all laws dealing with relief for all veterans. The committee is trying to make of the work an intelligent report. It can not do it within the limited time allowed, and we hope to be able to make a report by February 1, but in order to have time and to avoid the necessity of coming back and asking for further time to carry out the provisions of the resolution properly, we are Allen

Amlie

Baldrige

Beam Beck Beedy

Biddle

Bloom Bohn

Bowman

Brand, Ga. Brand, Ohio

Browning Brumm

Bulwinkle Burdick

Campbell, Pa. Canfield

Busby

Butler

Cannon

Carley Cartwright

Cary Cavicchia

Celler Chapman

Chindblom

Clancy Clark, N. C.

Cochran, Pa. Cole, Iowa Cole, Md.

Connery

Cooke Corning Cox Coyle

Crail

Crosser

Chiperfield Christopherson

Chas

Clague

Brunner

Boylan

Andrew, Mass. Andrews, N. Y. Auf der Heide Bacharach

asking now to be permitted to make the report not later | M than the 3d of March.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection?

Mr. KVALE. Reserving the right to object, the gentleman from Alabama [Mr. McDuffie] can assure the House that there is no possibility of having the report brought in on March 3 as a basis for legislation, which would then be considered under suspension of the rules?

Mr. McDUFFIE. Any report by the committee would doubtless be referred to a standing committee of the House, and the committee's hope is that the new Congress will take up this subject and deal with it immediately.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Alabama?

There was no objection.

The House joint resolution was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, was read the third time, and passed. A motion to reconsider the vote by which the House

joint resolution was passed was laid on the table.

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT APPROPRIATION BILL

The SPEAKER. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. DE PRIEST moves to recommit the bill to the Committee on Appropriations with instructions to that committee to report the same back forthwith with the following amendment: "On page 98, line 12, after the figures '\$220,000,' add the following: 'for construction and completion of a heat, light, and power plant at Howard University, \$460,000, to be immediately available.'"

Mr. TAYLOR of Colorado. Mr. Speaker, I move the previous question on the motion.

The previous question was ordered.

The question was taken; and on a division (demanded by Mr. DE PRIEST) there were-ayes 34, noes 73.

Mr. DE PRIEST. Mr. Speaker, I object to the vote on the ground that there is not a quorum present.

The SPEAKER. Evidently there is not a quorum present. The Doorkeeper will close the doors, the Sergeant at Arms will notify the absent Members, and the Clerk will call the roll.

The question was taken; and there were-yeas 110, nays 95, not voting 224, as follows:

[Roll No. 134] YEAS-110

Adkins	Eaton, Colo.	Knutson	Sinclair
Andresen	Englebright	Kurtz	Snell
Arentz	Finley	Kvale	Snow
Bachmann	Fish	Lambertson	Stalker
Bacon	Foss	Lankford, Va.	Stewart
Black	French	Leavitt	Strong, Kans.
Bolton	Garber	Lonergan	Stull
Britten	Gilchrist	Lovette	Summers, Wash,
Burtness	Goss	Luce	Sutphin
Cable	Guyer	McClintock, Ohio	
Campbell, Iowa	Hadley	McCormack	Swick
Carter, Calif.	Hall, N. Dak.	Magrady	Swing
Carter, Wyo.	Hancock, N. Y.	Mapes	Taber
Christgau	Haugen	Michener	Taylor, Tenn.
Clarke, N. Y.	Hawley	Millard	Temple
Colton	Hoch	Moore, Ohio	Thatcher
Condon	Hollister	Mouser	Tinkham
Cooper, Ohio	Holmes	Murphy	Wason
Crowther	Hope	Nelson, Me.	Watson
Culkin	Houston, Del.	Parker, N. Y.	Weeks
Curry	Hull, Morton D.	Person	Welch
Darrow	Hull, William E.	Pettengill	White
Davis, Pa.	James	Pittenger	Wigglesworth
Delaney	Jenkins	Ransley	Williamson
De Priest	Kahn	Robinson	Woodruff
Dieterich	Keller	Rogers, Mass.	Yates
Dowell	Kelly, Pa.	Schneider	
Dyer	Ketcham	Shreve	
	NAT	78-95	

	NA	YS-95	
Allgood Almon Arnold Ayres Bankhead Barton Bland Blanton Boehne	Castellow Chavez Cochran, Mo. Collier Collins Cooper, Tenn, Cross Crowe Davis, Tenn,	Filzey Fernandez Fiesinger Fulmer Gasque Glover Green Gregory Hare	Johnson, Mo. Johnson, Okla. Johnson, Tex. Jones Kemp Kunz Lambeth Lamneck Lanham
Boileau Boland	DeRouen Dickinson	Hastings Hill, Ala.	Lankford, Ga. Lozier
Briggs Buchanan	Dies Disney	Hill, Wash. Huddleston	McClintic, Okla. McDuffie
Byrns	Doughton	Jacobsen	McReynolds McSwein

Mansfield Milligan Mitchell Montague Moore, Ky. Morehead Norton, Nebr. Oliver, Ala.	Parks Parsons Patterson Polk Pratt, Harcou Rainey Rayburn Reilly	Sanders, Tex. Sandlin Shallenberger Spence rt J. Stevenson Sumners, Tex Swank Tarver
Parker, Ga.	Romjue	Taylor, Colo.
	NOT	VOTING-223
Abernethy Aldrich	Crump Cullen	Igoe Johnson, Ill.

NOT VO	TING-223	
Crump	Igoe	Prat
Cullen	Johnson, Ill.	Puri
Davenport	Johnson, S. Dak.	
Dickstein	Johnson, Wash.	Rag
Dominick		
	Kading	Ran
Douglas, Ariz.	Kelly, Ill.	Ran
Douglass, Mass.	Kendall	Reed
Doutrich	Kennedy, Md.	Reid
Drane	Kennedy, N. Y.	Rich
Drewry	Kerr	Rog
Driver	Kinzer	Rud
Eaton, N. J.	Kleberg	Saba
Erk	Kniffin	San
Eslick	Kopp	Sch
Estep	LaGuardia	Sch
Evans, Calif.	Larrabee	Sege
Evans, Mont.	Larsen	Seib
Fishburne	Lea	Selv
Fitzpatrick	Lehlbach	Shar
Flannagan	Lewis	Sho
Flood	Lichtenwalner	Sim
Frear	Lindsay	Siro
Free	Loofbourow	Smi
Freeman	Ludlow	Smi
Fulbright	McFadden	Smi
Fuller	McGugin	Som
Gambrill	McKeown	Spar
Gavagan	McLeod	Staf
Gibson	McMillan	Stea
Gifford	Maas	Stol
Gilbert	Major	Stro
Gillen	Maloney	Sull
Golder	Manlove	Sull
Goldsborough	Martin, Mass.	Swa
Goodwin	Martin, Oreg.	Thu
Granfield	May	Tier
Greenwood	Mead	Trea
Griffin	Miller	Tur
Griswold	Mobley	Und
Haines	Montet	Und
Hall, Ill.	Nelson, Mo.	Vins
Hall, Miss.	Nelson, Wis.	Vins
Hancock, N. C.	Niedringhaus	War
Hardy	Nolan	Wea
Harlan	Norton, N. J.	Whi
Hart	O'Connor	Win
Hartley	Oliver, N. Y.	Wit
Hess	Overton	Wol
Hogg, Ind.	Owen	Wol
Hogg, W. Va.	Palmisano	Wol
Holaday	Partridge	Woo
Hooper	Patman	Woo
Hopkins	Peavey	Wri
Hornor	Perkins	Wya
Horr	Pou	Yon
Howard	Proll	1011

Timberlake Whittington Williams, Mo. Williams, Tex. Wilson Woodrum

tt, Ruth nell nseyer kin d, N. Y. d, Ill. ers, N. H. ath ders. N. Y. afer uetz erling nnon mons vich ith, Idaho ith, Va. ith, W. Va. ners, N. Y. rks fford agall kes ong, Pa. livan, N. Y. livan, Pa. nson irston ney adway pin ierhill ierwood son. Ga. son, Ky. rren itley igo hrow cott fenden verton od, Ga. od, Ind. ght ant Yon

The Clerk announced the following pairs:

Howard

On this voic.
Mr. Hess (for) with Mr. Warren (against). Mr. Connolly (for) with Mr. Kniffen (against).
Mr. Celler (for) with Mr. Miller (against).
Mr. Beck (for) with Mr. Wingo (against).
Mr. Golder (for) with Mr. Fuller (against).
Mr. Wolfenden (for) with Mr. Browning (against).
Mr. Doutrich (for) with Mr. Eslick (against).
Mr. Stokes (for) with Mr. Ragan (against).
Mr. Gavagan (for) with Mr. Driver (against).
Mr. Martin of Massachusetts (for) with Mr. Kleberg (against).
Mr. Bloom (for) with Mr. Mobley (against).
Mr. Kinzer (for) with Mr. Larsen (against).
Mr. Cullen (for) with Mr. Gilbert (against).
Mr. McLeod (for) with Mr. Drane (against).
Mr. Granfield (for) with Mr. Cartwright (against).
Title Committee (100) Have been committee (100)

Connery (for) with Mr. McKeown (against).
Buckbee (for) with Mr. Flanagan (against).
Fitzpatrick (for) with Mr. Vinson of Georgia (against).
Chindblom (for) with Mr. Bulwinkle (against).

Until further notice:

Mr. Greenwood with Mr. Biddle.
Mr. Lindsay with Mr. Evans of California.
Mr. Crosser with Mr. Manlove.
Mr. Gamble with Mr. Frear.
Mrs. Norton of New Jersey with Mr. Barbour.
Mr. Douglas of Arizona with Mr. Bowman.
Mr. Beam with Mr. Free.
Mr. Maloney with Mr. Hopkins.
Mr. Harlan with Mr. McGugin.
Mr. Carley with Mr. Holaday.
Mr. Rankin with Mr. Cavicchia.
Mr. Burch with Mr. Kopp.
Mr. Overton with Mr. Campbell of Pennsylvania.
Mr. Kennedy of New York with Mr. Coyle.

Mr. McMillan with Mr. Freeman.
Mr. Boylan with Mr. Horr.
Mr. Rudd with Mr. Johnson of Washington.
Mr. Clark or North Carolina with Mr. Kendall.
Mr. Douglass of Massachusetts with Mr. Davenport.
Mr. Haines with Lehlbach.
Mr. Steagall with Mr. Niedringhaus.
Mr. Brunner with Mrs. Pratt.
Mr. Underwood with Mr. Reed of New York.
Mr. Mead with Mr. Whitley.
Mr. Lewis with Mr. Thurston.
Mr. Wood of Georgia with Mr. Underhill.
Mr. Tierney with Mr. Chiperfield.
Mr. Busby with Mr. Turpin.
Mr. Cox with Mr. Hooper.
Mr. Auf der Heide with Mr. Clague.
Mr. Cole of Maryland with Mr. Wolverton.
Mr. Evans of Montana with Mr. Treadway.
Mr. Kennedy of Maryland with Mr. Wyant.
Mr. Dickstein with Mr. Sparks.
Mr. Wright with Mr. Selvig.
Mr. Montet with Mr. Selvig.
Mr. Montet with Mr. LaGuardia.
Mr. Pou with Mr. Nelson of Wisconsin.
Mr. Fishburn with Mr. Simmons.
Mr. Vinson of Kentucky with Mr. Hogg of West Virginia.
Mr. O'Connor with Mr. Cochran of Pennsylvania.
Mr. Smith of Virginia with Mr. Clancy.
Mr. Weaver with Mr. Lofbourow.
Mr. Palmisano with Mr. Ramseyer.
Mr. Ramspeck with Mr. Nolan.
Mr. Yon with Mr. Schafer.
Mr. Abernethy with Mr. Nolan.
Mr. Yon with Mr. Schafer.
Mr. Abernethy with Mr. Allen.
Mr. Cannon with Mr. Allen.
Mr. Canfield with Mr. Allen.
Mr. Griswold with Mr. Aldrich.
Mr. Fulbright with Mr. Hardy.
Mr. Drewry with Mr. Estep.
Mr. RAINEY. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House now adjourn.

Mr. RAINEY. Mr. Speaker, I move that the House do now adjourn.

Mr. SNELL. Mr. Speaker, may we have the vote announced?

The SPEAKER. It has developed there is not a quorum present.

Mr. SNELL. Mr. Speaker, what was the vote?

The SPEAKER. It is not necessary to give that out, so the Parliamentarian informs the Chair, but the Chair may announce that so far the vote is-yeas 110, nays 95. There is not a quorum present.

The gentleman from Illinois moves that the House do now adjourn.

The Chair puts the question of seconding the motion. Those seconding the motion of the gentleman from Illinois that the House do now adjourn will rise. [After counting.] Those opposed to seconding the motion will now rise.

The question being taken, the House divided; and there were-ayes 140, noes 21.

So a second was ordered.

The SPEAKER. The question is on the motion of the gentleman from Illinois to adjourn.

The question was taken, and the motion was agreed to.

ADJOURNMENT

Accordingly (at 4 o'clock and 41 minutes p. m.) the House adjourned until to-morrow, Friday, December 23, 1932, at 12 o'clock noon.

COMMITTEE HEARINGS

Tentative list of committee hearings scheduled for Friday, December 23, 1932, as reported to the floor leader:

EXPENDITURES

(10.30 a. m.)

Continue hearings on President's message relative to consolidation of governmental activities.

EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

835. Under clause 2 of Rule XXIV, a letter from the chairman of the Reconstruction Finance Corporation, transmitting a report of activities and expenditures for November, 1932, together with a statement of loans authorized during that month, showing the name, amount, and rate of

interest in each case (H. Doc. No. 515), was taken from the Speaker's table, referred to the Committee on Banking and Currency, and ordered to be printed.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 2 of Rule XIII,

Mr. BUCHANAN: Committee on Appropriations. H. R. 13872. A bill making appropriations for the Department of Agriculture for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1934, and for other purposes; without amendment (Rept. No. 1807). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. KNUTSON: Committee on Indian Affairs. H. R. 11810. A bill providing for payment of \$25 to each enrolled Chippewa Indian of Minnesota from the funds standing to their credit in the Treasury of the United States; without amendment (Rept. No. 1808). Referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. WILSON: Committee on Flood Control. H. R. 13523. A bill in reference to land in the Bonnet Carre floodway area; without amendment (Rept. No. 1809). Referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

CHANGE OF REFERENCE

Under clause 2 of Rule XXII, the Committee on Pensions was discharged from the consideration of the bill (H. R. 13259) for the relief of Edward F. Smith, and the same was referred to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 3 of Rule XXII, public bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. SHANNON: A bill (H. R. 13871) to extend the times for commencing and completing the construction of a bridge across the Missouri River at or near Randolph, Mo.: to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. BUCHANAN: A bill (H. R. 13872) making appropriations for the Department of Agriculture for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1934, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Appropriations.

By Mr. MARTIN of Massachusetts: A bill (H. R. 13873) to amend section 751 of the revenue act of 1932; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. McLEOD: A bill (H. R. 13874) to save the United States Government the sum of approximately \$150,000,000 annually in interest charges by the issuance of low-interest rate tax-exempt bonds to retire the \$6,286,099,450 41/4 per cent Liberty bonds callable October 15, 1933; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

By Mr. SIROVICH: A bill (H. R. 13875) to amend an act entitled "An act for the retirement of employees in the classified civil service, and for other purposes," approved May 22, 1920, and acts in amendment thereof, approved May 29, 1930; to the Committee on the Civil Service.

By Mr. LANKFORD of Georgia: A bill (H. R. 13876) to provide for the acceptance of farm-land securities as the basis for the issuance of currency, and for other purposes; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

By Mr. EVANS of Montana: A bill (H. R. 13877) to regulate service of contest notices in all cases affecting mining locations or claims, and for other purposes; to the Committee on the Public Lands.

By Mr. McLEOD: A bill (H. R. 13878) to amend the Reconstruction Finance Corporation act, as amended by the emergency relief and construction act of 1932, by adding thereto a new section providing for direct loans to banks, trust companies, insurance companies, and building and loan associations, for the specific purpose of preventing foreclosures on homes and farms; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

By Mr. COCHRAN of Missouri: A bill (H. R. 13879) authorizing the Secretary of the Treasury to sell certain property in St. Louis, Mo.; to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. McSWAIN: A bill (H. R. 13880) to authorize the adjustment of a part of the western boundary line of the Plattsburg Barracks Military Reservation, N. Y.; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mrs. OWEN: A bill (H. R. 13881) to authorize the Secretary of War, on behalf of the War Department, to make, execute, and deliver a deed to Fort Marion and all of the Fort Marion Reservation, in the city of St. Augustine, Fla., to the city of St. Augustine, a municipal corporation under the laws of Florida, for exhibition and park purposes; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. McLEOD: Joint resolution (H. J. Res. 524) requesting the President of the United States to call a conference of the governors of the several States and the mayors of the various cities for the purpose of discussing ways and means of declaring a moratorium on sales of homes and farms by States and municipalities because of tax delinquency; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. PERSON: Joint resolution (H. J. Res. 525) authorizing the issuance of a special postage stamp in honor of Brig. Gen. Thaddeus Kosciusko; to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

By Mr. LEA: Joint resolution (H. J. Res. 526) authorizing the Secretary of the Navy to sell surplus coal at nominal prices for distribution to the needy; to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

PRIVATE BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS

Under clause 1 of Rule XXII, private bills and resolutions were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. BRITTEN: A bill (H. R. 13882) for the relief of Michael Anderson; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. CAMPBELL of Iowa: A bill (H. R. 13883) for the relief of Mrs. H. H. Brugmann; to the Committee on Claims.

By Mr. GASQUE: A bill (H. R. 13884) granting a pension to Lillian T. Skinner; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions. By Mr. GUYER: A bill (H. R. 13885) granting an increase of pension to Averill A. Jury; to the Committee on Invalid

Pensions.

By Mr. HOGG of West Virginia: A bill (H. R. 13886)

granting a pension to Anna DeBussey; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 13887) granting a pension to Samuel Edwards; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 13888) granting a pension to Ella Beagle; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions,

Also, a bill (H. R. 13889) granting a pension to Austin S. Elliott; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 13890) for the relief of William McMurray; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, a bill (H. R. 13891) for the relief of Robert Moyer; to the Committee on World War Veterans' Legislation.

Also, a bill (H. R. 13892) granting an increase of pension to Beuna J. Mills; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 13893) granting an increase of pension to Lucy A. Cartmell; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 13894) granting an increase of pension to Clarissa J. Morgan; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 13895) granting an increase of pension to Nannie Queen; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 13896) granting a pension to J. E. Barrows; to the Committee on Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 13897) for the relief of Garfield Maynard; to the Committee on Claims.

Also, a bill (H. R. 13898) granting a pension to Stella B. Holstein: to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, a bill (H. R. 13899) granting a pension to Victoria Boalman; to the Committee on Pensions.

By Mr. HUDDLESTON: A bill (H. R. 13900) granting a pension to Mary Ware; to the Committee on Pensions.

By Mr. JOHNSON of Missouri: A bill (H. R. 13901) granting an increase of pension to Delilah J. Chapman; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. McCORMACK: A bill (H. R. 13902) for the relief of Charles F. Hult; to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Also, a bill (H. R. 13903) for the relief of Walter E. Patten; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, a bill (H. R. 13904) for the relief of Alice O'Brien; to the Committee on Claims.

Also, a bill (H. R. 13905) for the relief of Charles Mc-Carren; to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Also, a bill (H. R. 13906) for the relief of Albert Edward Vincent; to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

By Mr. SHANNON: A bill (H. R. 13907) granting a pension to Hattie M. Warner; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. STOKES: A bill (H. R. 13908) granting a pension to Charles S. Benson; to the Committee on Invalid Pensions. By Mr. TARVER: A bill (H. R. 13909) for the relief of James Harold Hunter; to the Committee on Claims.

By Mr. WELCH: A bill (H. R. 13910) for the relief of Charles T. Moll; to the Committee on Military Affairs.

PETITIONS, ETC.

Under clause 1 of Rule XXII, petitions and papers were laid on the Clerk's desk and referred as follows:

9178. By Mr. BACHMANN: Petition of C. J. Snider and other citizens of Monongah, W. Va., urging the passage of the stop-alien-representation amendment to the United States Constitution to cut out the 6,280,000 aliens in this country and count only American citizens when making future apportionments for congressional districts; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

9179. Also, petition of A. H. Billingsley and other citizens of Fairview and Marion County, W. Va., urging the passage of the stop-alien-representation amendment to the United States Constitution to cut out the 6,280,000 aliens in this country and count only American citizens when making future apportionments for congressional districts; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

9180. By Mr. BOYLAN: Letter from the National Council of Business Mail Users, New York City, opposing increased rate in postage, etc.; to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

9181. Also, letter from the National Federation of Federal Employees, Brooklyn, N. Y., opposing further reduction in Federal employees' salaries; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9182. By Mr. BUCKBEE: Petition of Mrs. Bert Ashton and 56 citizens of Morris, Ill., asking the House to vote favorably on the Sparks-Capper alien representation amendment to the Constitution; to the Committee on the Judiciary

9183. By Mr. COCHRAN of Pennsylvania: Petition of citizens of Oil City, Pa., urging the passage of the stop-alien-amendment to the United States Constitution to cut out the 6,280,000 aliens in this country, and count only American citizens, when making future apportionments for congressional districts; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

9184. By Mr. CONDON: Petition of Manel Davis and 35 other citizens of Rhode Island, protesting against any repeal or modification of existing legislation beneficial to Spanish War veterans, their widows, or dependents; to the Committee on World War Veterans' Legislation.

9185. Also, petition of Charles J. Maguire and 83 other citizens of Rhode Island, protesting against any repeal or modification of existing legislation beneficial to Spanish War veterans, their widows, or dependents; to the Committee on World War Veterans' Legislation.

9186. By Mr. CROWTHER: Petition of residents of Amsterdam, N. Y., urging passage of the stop-alien-representation amendment to the United States Constitution; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

9187. Also, petition of International Brotherhood of Paper Makers, Local No. 45, of Deferiet, N. Y., asking Congress for immediate tariff protection of the pulp and paper industry; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9188. By Mr. DOWELL: Petition of citizens of the towns of Hartford, Carlisle, and Swan, Iowa, protesting against the counting of aliens for representation; to the Committee on

9189. Also, petition of citizens of the towns of Indianola, Hartford, Carlisle, and Swan, Iowa, protesting against the counting of aliens for representation; to the Committee on

9190. By Mr. ESTEP: Memorial of the First United Presbyterian Church of Pittsburgh, Pa., protesting against repeal of the eighteenth amendment or modification of the national prohibition act; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9191. By Mr. FOSS: Petition of Walter A. Lane and 11 other residents of Southbridge, Mass., urging the passage of the stop-alien representation amendment to the United States Constitution: to the Committee on the Judiciary.

9192. By Mr. GOLDSBOROUGH: Petition of 25 members of Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Rising Sun, Md., favoring stop-alien representation amendment to the United States Constitution; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

9193. By Mr. HILL of Washington: Petition of Women's Home Missionary Society of St. Paul's Methodist Episcopal Church, of Spokane, Wash., asking for Government regulation and censorship of the motion-picture industry, and urging the passage of Senate bill 1079 and Senate Resolution 170: to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Com-

9194. By Mr. HOGG of West Virginia: Petition of Pittsburgh Central Labor Union, protesting against a continuance of the furlough provision in the economy law beyond the present fiscal year; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9195. Also, petition of citizens of Tyler County, W. Va., opposing the legalizing of beer and the repeal of the eighteenth amendment or modification of the Volstead Act; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9196. By Mr. KVALE: Petition of National Association of Post Office Carriers, Local No. 45, Minneapolis, Minn., protesting against further reductions in salaries of postal clerks and letter carriers; to the Committee on Expenditures in the Executive Departments.

9197. Also, petition of Farmers Covenant of Blue Earth County, Minn., urging that (1) all foreclosures be stopped during the depression; (2) that a 2-year moratorium be granted; (3) that lower rates of interest be arranged; (4) that a pure fabrics law be enacted by Congress; (5) that a flat rate of railroad freight be granted on all perishable farm products; (6) that a world conference on silver be called to relieve the money stringency by giving us more primary money; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

9198. Also, petition of Central Labor Union, Minneapolis, Minn., protesting against cancellation of foreign debts; to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

9199. Also, petition of Farmers Union of Pipestone County, Minn., urging enactment of the Swank-Thomas bill, and (2) that Congress grant a moratorium on all feed and seed loans; to the Committee on Agriculture.

9200. Also, petition of Farmers Union of Pipestone County, Minn., urging a moratorium on all farm mortgages; (2) that the farmers be refinanced at as low a rate of interest as given foreign countries; (3) that the Federal reserve bank issue new currency and place it in circulation; to the Committee on Banking and Currency.

9201. Also, petition of 27 residents of Evansville, Minn., urging enactment of the stop-alien-representation amend-9202. Also, petition of Anderson Miller Post, No. 163,

ment; to the Committee on Immigration and Naturalization. American Legion, Willow River, Minn., urging immediate

payment of the adjusted-service certificates: to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9203. Also, petition of Women's Home Missionary Society of Montevideo, Minn., urging enactment of bill 1079 on the Senate Calendar and Senate Resolution 170; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

9204. By Mr. LAMBETH: Petition of the Women's Home Missionary Society of the Methodist Episcopal Church of Randleman, N. C., requesting Congress to enact a law which will establish a Federal motion-picture commission, and urging the passage of bill 1079 on the Senate Calendar and Senate Resolution 170; to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

9205. By Mr. LANKFORD of Virginia: Petition of Franklin (Va.) Chapter of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union, expressing disapproval of repeal of the eighteenth amendment and opposing modification of the Volstead Act; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

9206. By Mr. LINDSAY: Petition of Mailograph Co., New York City, urging the reduction of first-class postage to the 2-cent letter rate; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9207. Also, petition of Naval Militia of the State of New York, urging appropriations for their proper maintenance; to the Committee on Appropriations.

9208. By Mr. NIEDRINGHAUS: Petition of 15 names sent in by the St. Louis Woman's Christian Temperance Union, protesting against the passage of any measures providing for the manufacture of beer, for the nullification of the Constitution, or against any proposal to repeal the eighteenth amendment; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

9209. By Mr. PERSON: Petition of J. C. Gibson and 83 other residents of Lansing, Mich., urging the passage of the stop-alien-representation amendment to the United States Constitution to cut out the 6,280,000 aliens in this country, and count only American citizens, when making future apportionments for congressional districts; to the Committee on the Judiciary.

9210. By Mr. ROBINSON: Petition signed by Rev. C. W. Cleveland, pastor of the Methodist Episcopal Church of Geneva, Iowa, and about 50 other citizens of Geneva, Iowa, protesting against the repeal of the eighteenth amendment and the beer bill; to the Committee on Ways and Means.

9211. By Mr. RUDD: Petition of the State of New York, headquarters Naval Militia, office of the commanding officer, opposing reduction in drills and training, and favoring \$600,000 be added to the Naval Reserve item of the naval appropriation bill, with the proviso that the seagoing units of the Fleet Naval Reserve be allowed 48 drills and 15 days' training for the year; to the Committee on Appropriations.

9212. Also, petition of Marine Corps League (New York), Detachment No. 1, Brooklyn, N. Y., opposing any reduction of the personnel of the United States Marine Corps; to the Committee on Appropriations.

9213. Also, petition of Mailograph Co., of New York City, favoring the reduction of first-class postage to the 2-cent rate; to the Committee on the Post Office and Post Roads.

9214. By Mr. SPARKS: Petition of citizens of Barclay and Osage City, Kans., submitted by Edna Wilson and Mrs. H. W. Miller and signed by 42 others, opposing any measure permitting the sale of beer or wine; to the Committee on the

9215. Also, resolution of the Woman's Christian Temperance Union of Phillipsburg and Spring Creek, Kans., submitted by Jessie C. Taubion and Phebe Moore, respective presidents of the above-named organizations, protesting against the annulment or modification of the Volstead Act or repeal of the eighteenth amendment; and petition of the Ellis County Woman's Christian Temperance Union and majority of the members of the Protestant churches of Ellis County, Kans., submitted by D. O. Hemphill, committee chairman, protesting against any alteration in the eighteenth amendment or the Volstead Act; to the Committee on the Judiciary.